Before the **Federal Communications Commission** Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Amendment of Section 73.622(b),)	MM Docket No. 01-162
Table of Allotments,)	RM-10183
Digital Television Broadcast Stations.)	
(Cocoa, Florida))	
)	
)	

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Adopted: July 24, 2001 Released: July 27, 2001

Comment Date: September 17, 2001 Reply Comment Date: October 2, 2001

By the Chief, Video Services Division:

substitution of DTV 25 for DTV 51, is hereby dismissed.

1. The Commission has before it a petition for rule making filed by Good Life Broadcasting, Inc. ("Good Life"), licensee of station WTGL-TV, NTSC channel 52, Cocoa, Florida. Good Life requests the substitution of DTV channel 53 for station WTGL-TV's assigned DTV channel 51.

- 2. Good Life states that its desires to co-locate its NTSC/DTV facilities at a site located at the Bithlo antenna farm. Good Life claims that it could not co-locate WTGL-TV's assigned DTV channel at the antenna farm because it would cause prohibited interference to station WOGX(TV), Ocala, Florida, which operates on channel 51. While Good Life acknowledges that DTV channel 53 is a "non-core" channel, it claims that there is no alternative in-core DTV channel available for use at the WTGL-TV's site at the Bithlo antenna farm.
- 3. We believe Good Life's proposal warrants consideration. DTV Channel 53 can be substituted for DTV Channel 51 at Cocoa, Florida, as proposed, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at coordinates (28-35-12 N. and 81-04-58W.). In addition, we find that this channel change is acceptable under the 2 percent criterion for de minimis impact that is applied in evaluating requests for modification of initial DTV allotments under Section 73.623(c)(2). We propose to substitute DTV Channel 53c for DTV Channel 51 for station WTGL-TV at Cocoa with the following specifications:

On October 29, 1999, Good Life filed a petition for rule making requesting the substitution of DTV channel 25 for DTV channel 51. However, Good Life has determined that the substitution of DTV channel 53 is preferable and will enable Good Life to co-locate its NTSC and DTV facilities. Good Life requests the dismissal of its previously filed petition. Based upon our issuance of this Notice, Good Life petition for rule making requesting the

State & City	DTV Channel	DTV power (kW)	Antenna HAAT (m)
FL Cocoa	53c	13.0	514

4. Accordingly, we seek comments on the proposed amendment of the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules, for the community listed below, to read as follows:

	<u>Channel No.</u>		
City	Present	<u>Proposed</u>	
Cocoa, Florida	*30, 51	*30, 53c	

- 5. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein. In particular, we note that a showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be allotted.
- 6. Interested parties may file comments on or before September 17, 2001, and reply comments on or before October 2, 2001, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures. Comments should be filed with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. Additionally, a copy of such comments should be served on the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows:

Sally A. Buckman Leventhal, Senter & Lerman PLLC Suite 600 2000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1809 (Counsel for Good Life Broadcasting, Inc.)

- 7. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the TV Table of Allotments, Section 73.606(b) and 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules. See Certification That Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend Sections 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules, 46 FR 11549, February 9, 1981. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 would also not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules.
- 8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600. For purposes of this restricted notice and comment rule making proceeding, members of the public are advised that no ex parte presentations are permitted from the time the

Commission adopts a Notice of Proposed Rule Making until the proceeding has been decided and such decision is no longer subject to reconsideration by the Commission or review by any court. An <u>ex parte</u> presentation is not prohibited if specifically requested by the Commission or staff for the clarification or adduction of evidence or resolution of issues in the proceeding. However, any new written information elicited from such a request or a summary of any new oral information shall be served by the person making the presentation upon the other parties to the proceeding unless the Commission specifically waives this service requirement. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an <u>ex parte</u> presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment, to which the reply is directed, constitutes an <u>ex parte</u> presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Barbara A. Kreisman Chief, Video Services Division Mass Media Bureau

Attachment: Appendix

APPENDIX

- 1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(c)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, IT IS PROPOSED TO AMEND the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.
- 2. <u>Showings Required</u>. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the <u>Notice of Proposed Rule Making</u> to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed allotment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is allotted and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.
- 3. <u>Cut-off protection</u>. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.
- (a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See Section 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules).
- (b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposals in this <u>Notice</u>, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.
- (c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to allot a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.
- 4. Comments and Reply Comments; Service. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in Sections 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or by persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See Section 1.420(a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.) Comments should be filed with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.
- 5. <u>Number of Copies</u>. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs,

or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. <u>Public Inspection of Filings</u>. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room CY-A257) at its headquarters, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.