

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Roseville Telephone Company	)	
	)	ASD File No. 01-43
Extension of Time to File ARMIS Reports and to	)	
Submit Cost Allocation Manual Attestation Report	)	
	)	

**ORDER**

**Adopted: October 11, 2001**

**Released: October 15, 2001**

By the Chief, Accounting Safeguards Division:

1. On December 8, 2000, we granted to Roseville Telephone Company (Roseville)<sup>1</sup> a six-month extension of time, until October 1, 2001, to file its initial Automated Reporting Management Information System (ARMIS)<sup>2</sup> reports.<sup>3</sup> On December 21, 2000, Roseville was granted an extension of time, until January 15, 2002, in which to file its cost allocation manual (CAM) initial attestation report.<sup>4</sup> On September 13, 2001, Roseville requested additional extensions of time to file its initial ARMIS and CAM attestation reports.<sup>5</sup> As discussed below, we grant further extensions of time for Roseville to file the ARMIS reports and the CAM attestation report.

2. Roseville argues that a significant amount of time is needed to prepare the initial ARMIS reports and the CAM. Roseville asserts that, due to its limited resources, it should be granted an additional

---

<sup>1</sup> Roseville is a mid-sized incumbent LEC, *i.e.*, a carrier whose operating revenue equals or exceeds the indexed revenue threshold and whose revenue when aggregated with the revenues of any LEC that it controls, is controlled by, or with which it is under common control is less than \$7 billion. *See* 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review – Review of Accounting and Cost Allocation Requirements, CC Docket No. 98-81, *Report and Order*, 14 FCC Rcd 11396 (1999) (*Accounting Reductions Report and Order*).

<sup>2</sup> ARMIS is an automated reporting system developed by the Commission for collecting financial, operating, service quality, and network infrastructure information from certain incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs). *See* Automated Reporting Requirements for Certain Class A and Tier 1 Telephone Companies (Parts 31, 43, 67, and 69 of the Commission's Rules), CC Docket No. 86-182, *Order*, 2 FCC Rcd 5770 (1987), *modified on recon.*, *Order on Reconsideration*, 3 FCC Rcd 6375 (1988).

<sup>3</sup> *See* Roseville Telephone Company Request for an Extension of Time to File ARMIS Reports, ASD File No. 00-43, *Order*, 15 FCC Rcd 24093 (Com.Car.Bur. 2000).

<sup>4</sup> *See* Roseville Telephone Company Request for an Extension of Time to Submit Cost Allocation Manual Attestation Report, ASD File No. 00-46, *Order*, 16 FCC Rcd 10069 (Com.Car.Bur. 2000).

<sup>5</sup> *See* Roseville Telephone Company Request for an Extension of Time to File ARMIS Reports and CAM Attestation, filed September 13, 2001 (Roseville Letter).

extension of time.<sup>6</sup> Roseville also claims that it should not prepare the initial CAM attestation and ARMIS Reports before the Commission releases a Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-199 resolving the accounting and reporting requirements raised in that proceeding. Roseville contends that, if the Commission's proposals CC Docket No. 00-199 are adopted, it will soon have revise its system and train its staff based on a new Class B system of accounts, after learning the current system of accounts for the initial filing.<sup>7</sup> Roseville further argues that, if the Commission adopts the proposal in CC Docket No. 00-199 to raise the indexed revenue threshold to \$200 million,<sup>8</sup> it will no longer be required to comply with ARMIS reporting and CAM attestation requirements.<sup>9</sup> Roseville contends that the Commission should grant it an extension of time until ninety days after the Commission releases a Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-199 resolving the accounting and reporting issues raised in that proceeding.<sup>10</sup>

3. We have reviewed Roseville's request for an extension of time to file its initial ARMIS reports and obtain a CAM audit. We do not routinely grant extensions of time; however, we find merit in Roseville's argument. Roseville should receive an extension until after the Commission rules on the broader issues raised in CC Docket No. 00-199. We therefore conclude that an additional extension of three months, until January 1, 2002 for the ARMIS Reports and April 15, 2002 for the CAM attestation report, is sufficient.

4. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.46 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 1.46, that the Roseville Telephone Company request for additional extension of time is GRANTED and Roseville Telephone Company has an extension of time until January 1, 2002, to file its initial ARMIS Reports and until April 15, 2002 to file its initial rule 64.904 CAM attestation report.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kenneth P. Moran  
Chief, Accounting Safeguards Division

---

<sup>6</sup> Roseville Letter at 2.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 3.

<sup>8</sup> See "Annual Adjustment of Revenue Threshold," *Public Notice*, DA 01-903 (rel. Apr. 11, 2001) (adjusting annual indexed revenue threshold to \$117 million). The classification of a company is determined at the start of the calendar year following the first time its annual operating revenue from regulated operations equals, exceeds, or falls below the indexed revenue threshold. 47 C.F.R. § 32.11(e).

<sup>9</sup> Roseville Letter at 3.

<sup>10</sup> *Id.* at 5.