

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
 Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Indiana Paging Network, Inc.)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	File No. IC-98-53310
)	
Indiana Bell Telephone Company, an)	
SBC/Ameritech Operating Company,)	
Verizon,)	
BellSouth of Indiana,)	
Sprint Corporation and)	
Rochester Telephone Company,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

Adopted: December 14, 2001

Released: December 17, 2001

By the Deputy Chief, Market Disputes Resolution Division, Enforcement Bureau:

1. On December 17, 1998, Indiana Paging Network, Inc. (“Indiana Paging”) filed informal complaints with the Commission against Indiana Bell Telephone Company, an SBC/Ameritech operating company (“SBC/Ameritech”), Verizon (then GTE of Indiana), BellSouth of Indiana (“BellSouth”), Sprint Corporation (“Sprint”) and Rochester Telephone Company (“RTC”).

2. Under the FCC’s complaint rules, Indiana Paging was required to file a formal complaint within six months of each LEC response to its informal complaint in order to have the formal complaint relate back to the date the informal complaint was filed.¹ However, on October 18, 1999, Indiana Paging filed a request for waiver of the six-month requirement, arguing that the issues raised in its informal complaints were similar to those raised in several pending formal complaint proceedings,² and that the public interest would be served by allowing Indiana Paging to delay the filing of its formal complaints until after at least one of those formal complaint

¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 1.718.

² See, e.g., Formal Complaints of Metrocall, Inc., File Nos. E-98-14 through E-98-18 (filed January 20, 1998).

proceedings was concluded. The FCC's Enforcement Bureau granted Indiana Paging's request for waiver on June 2, 2000, and established the time for filing formal complaints in this proceeding to be 90 days after the entry of a final non-appealable order in at least one of the identified formal complaint proceedings.³

3. The Commission issued the *TSR Wireless Order* on June 21, 2000, which resolved liability issues in five of the pending formal complaint proceedings.⁴ The United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission's decision on June 15, 2001.⁵ The Court of Appeals' decision became final and non-appealable 90 days after it was issued (upon expiration of the period in which to file a writ of certiorari),⁶ and adding another 90 days as provided in the *Extension Order* makes the date for filing Indiana Paging's formal complaint December 12, 2001.

4. Indiana Paging is actively engaged in settlement discussions to resolve the matters at issue in its informal complaint proceedings. In light of these efforts, Indiana Paging filed the instant Petition for Extension of Time requesting that we waive section 1.718 of the Commission's rules to allow Indiana Paging an additional thirty day period from December 12, 2001, to convert the informal complaints into formal complaints. SBC/Ameritech, Verizon, BellSouth, Sprint and RTC all have consented to the requested thirty day extension. We are satisfied that granting Indiana Paging's petition will serve the public interest by promoting the private resolution of disputes and by postponing the need for further litigation and expenditure of further time and resources of the parties and of this Commission until such time as may actually be necessary.

5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), and 208, and sections 1.3 and 1.718 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.3, 1.718, and the authority delegated in sections 0.111 and 0.311 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.311, that the Petition for Extension of Time filed by Indiana Paging Network, Inc. IS GRANTED.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, unless otherwise extended by order, the deadlines that would otherwise apply under section 1.718 of our rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.718, are hereby waived, and the dates on which Indiana Paging must convert the informal complaints against Indiana Bell Telephone Company, an SBC/Ameritech operating company, Verizon, BellSouth of Indiana, Sprint Corporation, and Rochester Telephone Company into formal

³ See *Indiana Paging Network, Inc., Order*, 15 FCC Rcd 9436 (Enf. Bur. 2000) (waiving Section 1.718(a) of the Commission's rules, which requires that a formal complaint be filed within six months of an associated informal complaint) ("*Extension Order*").

⁴ See *TSR Wireless, LLC, et al. v U S West Communications, Inc, et al., Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 15 FCC Rcd 11166 (2000) ("*TSR Wireless Order*"), *aff'd Qwest Corp., et al. v. FCC*, No 00-1377 (D.C. Cir. June 15, 2001).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ In the interim, the petitioners filed a petition for rehearing *en banc* that was denied.

complaints pursuant to section 1.718 of our rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.718, are extended to January 11, 2002.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Radhika V. Karmarkar
Deputy Chief, Market Disputes Resolution Division
Enforcement Bureau