

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
Amendment of Section 73.606(b),)	MM Docket No. 01-84
Table of Allotments,)	RM-10067
Television Broadcast Stations.)	
(Bay City, Michigan))	
)	
)	

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Adopted: April 6, 2001

Released: April 9, 2001

Comment Date: May 31, 2001
Reply Comment Date: June 15, 2001

By the Chief, Video Services Division:

1. The Commission has before it a petition for rule making filed by Vista Communications, Inc. and Pelican Broadcasting Company, Inc. ("petitioners"), mutually exclusive applicants for a construction permit for a new television station to operate on channel 61+ at Bay City, Michigan.¹ The petitioners request the substitution of channel 46 for channel 61+ at Bay City, Michigan.

2. The petitioners seek to invoke the provisions outlined in the Commission's Public Notice (*Public Notice*) released on November 22, 1999, DA 99-2605. In that *Public Notice* the Commission announced a window filing opportunity to allow persons with certain pending requests for new analog (NTSC) television stations to modify their requests to eliminate technical conflicts with digital television (DTV) stations and to move from channels 60 through 69. The petitioners state that their petition is being filed in accordance with the requirement that entities with pending applications for new full service NTSC television stations on channels 60 through 69 seek a new channel below channel 60. The petitioners assert that the public interest would obviously be served by a grant of their proposal because it facilitates the clearing of the channel 60-69 band for new wireless communications uses, and expedites the inauguration of a new television service at Bay City, Michigan.

3. We believe petitioners' proposal warrants consideration since adoption of the channel

¹ The joint petitioners state that Vista Communications is an applicant for vacant NTSC TV channel 61 at Bay City (file number BPCT-960710KZ) and is mutually exclusive with an application filed by Pelican (file number BPCT-960723LC), also specifying the vacant Bay City channel 61. However, the petitioners state that Vista and Pelican are filing a request for Commission approval of a Settlement Agreement to resolve the conflict between their applications by the dismissal of Pelican's application and the grant of Vista's application.

substitution will enable Vista Communications to operate a channel below the 746-806 MHz band (television channels 60-69). A staff engineering analysis indicates that channel 46 can be allotted to Bay City with a minus offset consistent with the criteria set forth in the Commission's *Public Notice* for evaluating requests for NTSC channel changes. However, since the community of Bay City is located within 400 kilometers of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence by the Canadian government must be obtained for this proposal. The coordinates for channel 46- at Bay City are North Latitude 43-26-07 and West Longitude 84-26-12. Pursuant to the Commission's policy as noted in its *Public Notice*, we will not accept competing expressions of interest in the use of television channel 46- at Bay City.²

4. Accordingly, we seek comments on the proposed amendment of the TV Table of Allotments, Section 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules, for the community listed below, to read as follows:

<u>City</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Channel No.</u> <u>Proposed</u>
Bay City, Michigan	5-, 61+	5-, 46-

5. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein. In particular, we note that a showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be allotted.

6. Interested parties may file comments on or before May 31, 2001, and reply comments on or before June 15, 2001, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures. Comments should be filed with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. Additionally, a copy of such comments should be served on the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows:

Vincent A. Pepper
 Pepper & Corazzini, LLP
 1776 K Street, NW, Suite 200
 Washington, DC 20006
 (Counsel for Vista Communications, Inc.)

² New and amended rulemakings petitions submitted during the noted window filing opportunity will be subject to the Commission's normal notice and comment procedures. However, the Commission indicated in the *Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, 11 FCC Rcd 10968 (1996), that new proposals for additional NTSC channel allotments will not be accepted. Furthermore, new parties may not counterproposal a new NTSC allotment in the same or nearby communities. The opportunity for filing counterproposals is limited to those parties with existing petitions and applications that are the subject of the announced filling window indicated in the Commission's *Public Notice*.

Bruce A. Eisen
Kaye, Scholer, Fierman, Hays & Handler, LLP
901 15th Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(Counsel for Pelican Broadcasting Company, Inc.)

7. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the TV Table of Allotments, Section 73.606(b). See Certification That Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend Sections 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules, 46 FR 11549, February 9, 1981. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 would also not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules.

8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600. For purposes of this restricted notice and comment rule making proceeding, members of the public are advised that no ex parte presentations are permitted from the time the Commission adopts a Notice of Proposed Rule Making until the proceeding has been decided and such decision is no longer subject to reconsideration by the Commission or review by any court. An ex parte presentation is not prohibited if specifically requested by the Commission or staff for the clarification or adduction of evidence or resolution of issues in the proceeding. However, any new written information elicited from such a request or a summary of any new oral information shall be served by the person making the presentation upon the other parties to the proceeding unless the Commission specifically waives this service requirement. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an ex parte presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment, to which the reply is directed, constitutes an ex parte presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Barbara A. Kreisman
Chief, Video Services Division
Mass Media Bureau

Attachment: Appendix

APPENDIX

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(c)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, IT IS PROPOSED TO AMEND the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. Showings Required. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed allotment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is allotted and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. Cut-off protection. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See Section 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules).

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposals in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to allot a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. Comments and Reply Comments; Service. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in Sections 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or by persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See Section 1.420(a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.) Comments should be filed with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

5. Number of Copies. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs,

or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. Public Inspection of Filings. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room CY-A257) at its headquarters, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.