

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
AT&T Corporation)	IC Nos. 01-S66024
)	02-S76530
Complaints Regarding)	02-S76543
Unauthorized Change of)	
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)	
)	

ORDER

Adopted: April 24, 2003

Released: April 28, 2003

By the Acting Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

1. In this Order, we consider the complaints filed by Complainants¹ alleging that AT&T Corporation (AT&T) changed Complainants' telecommunications service provider without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainants in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that AT&T's actions did result in an unauthorized change in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we grant Complainants' complaints.

2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of

¹ See Appendix A.

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); *Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (*Section 258 Order*), *stayed in part*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); *stay lifted*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); *reconsideration pending*. Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., *Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), *stayed in part*, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); *Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), *reconsideration denied*, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, *reconsideration denied*, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

“slamming,” the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber’s selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.⁴ In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.⁵ Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures.⁶ Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.⁷

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change.⁸ Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission’s rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier.⁹ Carriers should note that our actions in this Order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act.¹⁰

4. We received Complainants’ complaints alleging that Complainants’ telecommunications service provider had been changed from their authorized carriers to AT&T without Complainants’ authorization.¹¹ Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules,¹² we

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

⁸ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

¹¹ See Appendix A.

¹² 47 C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

notified AT&T of the complaints and AT&T responded.¹³ AT&T submitted a third party verification tape as evidence of an authorized change in Complainants' service provider. The carrier's sales agent, however did not drop-off the verification call once the three-way connection was established, as is required by our rules.¹⁴ We find that AT&T has failed to produce clear and convincing evidence that Complainants authorized carrier changes.¹⁵ Therefore, we find that AT&T's actions resulted in an unauthorized change in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we discuss AT&T's liability below.¹⁶

5. Pursuant to Section 64.1170(b) our rules, AT&T must forward to the authorized carriers an amount equal to 150% of all charges paid by the subscriber to AT&T.¹⁷ According to the complaints, AT&T must forward to the authorized carriers the amounts, along with copies of any telephone bills issued from the company to the Complainants.¹⁸ Within ten days of receipt of this amount, the authorized carriers shall provide a refund or credit to Complainants in the amount of 50% of all charges paid by Complainants to AT&T. Complainants has the option of asking the authorized carriers to re-rate AT&T's charges based on the authorized carriers rates and, on behalf of Complainants, seek from AT&T, any re-rated amount exceeding 50% of all charges paid by the Complainants to AT&T. The authorized carriers must also send a notice to the Commission, referencing this Order, stating that is has given a refund or credit to Complainants.¹⁹ If the authorized carriers have not received the reimbursement required from AT&T within 45 days of the release of this Order, the authorized carriers must notify the Commission and Complainants accordingly. The authorized carries also must notify the Complainant of his or her right to pursue a claim against AT&T for a refund of all charges paid to AT&T.²⁰

6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints filed by

¹³ See Appendix A.

¹⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c).

¹⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150(d).

¹⁶ If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

¹⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(b).

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(c).

²⁰ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(e).

Complainants²¹ against AT&T Corporation ARE GRANTED.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 64.1170(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(b), that AT&T Corporation must forward to the authorized carriers an amount equal to 150% of all charges paid by the subscribers along with copies of any telephone bills issued from the company to the Complainants within ten (10) days of the release of this order.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Acting Deputy Chief
Policy Division
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau

²¹ See Appendix A.

APPENDIX A

INFORMAL COMPLAINT NUMBER	DATE OF COMPLAINT	DATE OF RESPONSE	AUTHORIZED CARRIER
01-S66024	September 18, 2001	January 9, 2002	Verizon
02-S76530	April 18, 2002	July 18, 2002	Southwestern Bell
02-S76543	April 4, 2002	July 17, 2002	None