Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

| In the Matter of |) | |
|---|---|---------------------|
| |) | |
| Sprint Communications Company |) | IC Nos. 02-F0013049 |
| |) | 03-S83647 |
| Complaints Regarding |) | 03-S83721 |
| Unauthorized Change of |) | 03-S84456 |
| Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier |) | 03-S84492 |
| | | 03-I0044087 |

ORDER

Adopted: October 15, 2003 Released: October 17, 2003

By the Acting Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider the complaint¹ alleging that Sprint Communications Company (Sprint) changed Complainants' telecommunications service provider(s) without obtaining authorization and verification from each Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that Sprint's actions did not result in an unauthorized change in Complainants' telecommunications service provider and we deny Complainants' complaints.
- 2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of

See Appendix A.

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

⁴⁷ U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (Section 258 Order), stayed in part, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); stay lifted, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, (continued....)

"slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service. In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur. Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures. Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act. 10

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

- 4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' telecommunications service provider(s) had been changed without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, 11 we notified Sprint of the complaints and Sprint responded. 12 Upon review of Sprint's responses, we find that Sprint has complied with the verification requirements of section 64.1120 of the Commission's rules. 13 The fact that the person on each third party verification recording gave an incorrect name to the third party verifier was beyond the control of Sprint. 14
- 5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the Complaint filed by Complainants against Sprint ARE DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Acting Deputy Chief Policy Division Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

See Appendix A.

¹³ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

¹⁴ See AT&T Corp. v. FCC, 323 F.3d 1081, 1086 (DC Cir. 2003).

APPENDIX A

| INFORMAL COMPLAINT NUMBER | <u>DATE OF</u> <u>COMPLAINT</u> | DATE OF CARRIER RESPONSE |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 02-F0013049 | November 8, 2002 | January 24, 2003 |
| 02-S80628 | September 18, 2002 | December 20, 2002 |
| 03-S83647 | April 8, 2003 | August 15, 2003 |
| 03-S83721 | May 2, 2003 | July 25, 20032002 |
| 03-S84456 | July 8, 2003 | September 5, 2003 |
| 03-S84492 | July 18, 2003 | September 12, 2003 |
| 03-I0044087 | May 28, 2003 | August 22, 2003 |