



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 06-769

March 31, 2006

J. Dominic Monahan  
Luvaas Cobb  
777 High Street, Suite 300  
Eugene, OR 97401

Re: Call Sign E060047  
File No. SES-LIC-20060217-00268

Call Sign E060046  
File No. SES-LIC-20060217-00267

Dear Mr. Monahan:

On February 17, 2006, Carol L. Ives filed the above-captioned applications for authority to operate Ku-Band<sup>1</sup> earth stations in Titusville and White Springs, Florida. For the reasons discussed below, we dismiss both applications as defective, without prejudice to re-filing.<sup>2</sup>

A review of the applications reveals that the application does not include the antenna transmits Antenna Gain (Item E42 of Schedule B of Form 312). In addition, neither application includes the required radiation hazard analysis in response to question 28 of the 312 Main Form. As a result, both applications are defective and will be dismissed.

While we dismiss both applications on the above basis, we take the opportunity to apprise you of another concern we have with the applications.

In response to Items E54 and E55 of Schedule B, Carol L. Ives indicates that the eastern satellite arc limit is 60° E.L. Since the earth stations in Florida are not within line of sight of a satellite that far east, this cannot be correct. Please correct this in any re-filing.

---

<sup>1</sup> 11.7-12.2 and 14.0-14.5 GHz bands.

<sup>2</sup> If Carol L. Ives re-files an application identical to the one dismissed, with the exception of supplying the missing information, it need not pay an application fee. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.1109(d).

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 25.112(a) (1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112(a) (1), and Section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegations of authority, 47 C.F.R. § 0.261, we dismiss both applications as defective without prejudice to refiling.

Sincerely,

Scott A. Kotler  
Chief, Systems Analysis Branch  
Satellite Division  
International Bureau