

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Tribune Television Holdings, Inc.)	Facility I.D. No. 69571
Licensee of Station KMYQ(TV))	NAL/Acct. No. 0741420048
Seattle, Washington)	FRN: 0005263884

**NOTICE OF APPARENT
LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE**

Adopted: June 14, 2007

Released: June 22, 2007

By the Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* (“NAL”) issued pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the “Act”), and Section 1.80 of the Commission’s Rules (the “Rules”),¹ by the Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau pursuant to authority delegated under Section 0.283 of the Rules,² we find that Tribune Television Holdings, Inc. (the “Licensee”), licensee of Station KMYQ(TV), Seattle, Washington (the “Station”), apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 73.670 of the Rules, by failing to comply with the limits on commercial matter in children’s programming.³ Based upon our review of the facts and circumstances before us, we conclude that the Licensee is apparently liable for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000).

II. BACKGROUND

2. In the Children’s Television Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-437, 104 Stat. 996-1000, *codified at* 47 U.S.C. §§ 303a, 303b and 394, Congress directed the Commission to adopt rules, *inter alia*, limiting the number of minutes of commercial matter that television stations may air during children’s programming, and to consider in its review of television license renewal applications the extent to which the licensee has complied with such commercial limits. Pursuant to this statutory mandate, the Commission adopted Section 73.670 of the Rules, which limits the amount of commercial matter which may be aired during children’s programming to 10.5 minutes per hour on weekends and 12 minutes per hour on weekdays. The Commission also stated that a program associated with a product, in which commercials for that product are aired, would cause the entire program to be counted as commercial time (a “program-length commercial”).⁴

3. On October 2, 2006, the Licensee filed its license renewal application (FCC Form 303-S) for Station KMYQ(TV) (the “Application”) (File No. BRCT-20061002BCI). In response to Section IV,

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 503(b); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80.

² See 47 C.F.R. § 0.283.

³ See 47 C.F.R. § 73.670.

⁴ *Children’s Television Programming*, 6 FCC Rcd 2111, 2118, *recon. granted in part*, 6 FCC Rcd 5093, 5098 (1991).

Question 5 of the Application, the Licensee stated that, during the previous license term, it failed to comply with the limits on commercial matter in children's programming specified in Section 73.670 of the Rules. In Exhibit 19, the Licensee indicated that, between September 25, 2000, and April 8, 2005, it violated the children's television commercial limits on seven occasions. Of these overages, one was 30 seconds in duration, one was 60 seconds in duration, and four were program-length commercials. The Licensee stated that the 60-second overage occurred when the entity that provides the WB Network program with format, content integration, and satellite uplink services incorrectly inserted commercial content into a children's program. The Licensee attributed three of the program-length commercials to an error on the part of the national buying service which provided the commercials to the Station. The Licensee indicated that one program-length commercial and the 30 second overage were caused by human error and/or inadvertence. In addition, the Licensee described corrective measures taken by the Station to ensure future compliance with the children's television commercial limits.

4. The Licensee also stated that the Station aired a commercial for the Nintendo GameBoy E-Reader, during the "Pokemon" program. The Licensee indicated that the Station did not know until after broadcast, when the WB Network brought the matter to the Licensee's attention, that the commercial contained a "fleeting, obscured image" of a "Pokemon" game card. The Licensee stated that, the image, in which only the letters "MON" are visible for just over one second, does not depict any "Pokemon" character. According to the Licensee's description, the "Pokemon" card appears as the third of six cards arranged in the shape of a fan during the display and "Pokemon" is not mentioned in the audio of the commercial. The Licensee maintained that it had been its good faith judgment that the program-length commercial policy was inapplicable in this case because there is no likelihood that children would perceive any linkage between the "Pokemon" program and the GameBoy commercial. Further, the Licensee contended that the WB Network expressed its belief that the GameBoy commercial does not violate the Commission's rules or policies or the Children's Television Act's commercial time limits. Additionally, in support of its assertion that this incident does not violate the Commission's children's television commercial limits, the Licensee cited the Commission's conclusion in *Complaints Regarding Various Television Broadcasts Between February 2, 2002 and March 8, 2005*, 21 FCC Rcd 2664 (2006) ("*Omnibus Order*"), that a broadcast of "The Amazing Race 6" did not violate indecency restrictions. Specifically, in the *Omnibus Order*, the Commission considered whether a momentary showing of the phrase "Fuck Cops!" written on the side of a train during an episode of "The Amazing Race 6" was indecent. The Commission found that the program was not indecent under the three principal factors that comprised the Commission's contextual analysis of this incident.

III. DISCUSSION

5. Station KMYQ(TV)'s record during the last license term of exceeding the Commission's commercial limits on seven occasions constitutes an apparent willful and repeated violation of Section 73.670. We note that five of the overages were program-length commercials. With respect to the Station's broadcast of the commercial for the Nintendo GameBoy E-Reader, although the Licensee contended that the "Pokemon" game card appeared for one second during the commercial, it is well-established that the determination as to whether a particular program is a program-length commercial is not dependent on the duration of the appearance of the program-related product in the commercial announcement. The Commission has stated on numerous occasions that, where a commercial announcement includes a product related to the program in which the commercial is broadcast, then the program is a program-length commercial regardless of the duration of the appearance of the program-related product in the commercial.⁵ Moreover, we believe that, in the context of the cognitive abilities of

⁵ *UTV of San Francisco, Inc. (KBHK-TV)*, 10 FCC Rcd 10986, 10988 (1995); see also *WPIX, Inc.*, 14 FCC Rcd 9077 (MMB 1999) (commercial for "Spirit of Mickey" home video showing brief image of Donald Duck on cover of video aired during "Quack Pack" program); *Act III Broadcasting License Corp. (WUTV(TV))*, 10 FCC Rcd 4957

(continued...)

young children, there is the potential for confusion between the GameBoy commercial and the “Pokemon” program regardless whether any “Pokemon” character is depicted given the image of a “Pokemon” game card contained in the commercial and the consequent likelihood that children may associate it with the program. Although the Licensee cited a case in support of its assertion that this incident does not violate the children’s television commercial limits, that case is inapposite since it did not deal with the commingling of program content and commercial matter in children’s programming.

6. Congress was particularly concerned about program-length commercials because young children often have difficulty distinguishing between commercials and programs.⁶ Given this congressional concern, the Commission made it clear that program-length commercials, by their very nature, are extremely serious violations of the children’s television commercial limits, stating that the program-length commercial policy “directly addresses a fundamental regulatory concern, that children who have difficulty enough distinguishing program content from unrelated commercial matter, not be all the more confused by a show that interweaves program content and commercial matter.”⁷

7. The number and magnitude of overages at issue here mean that children have been subjected to commercial matter greatly in excess of the limits contemplated by Congress when it enacted the Children’s Television Act of 1990.⁸ The reasons the Licensee cited for one program-length commercial and the 30 second overage, inadvertence and human error, do not mitigate or excuse them. The Commission has repeatedly rejected inadvertence and human error as bases for excusing violations of the children’s television commercial limits.⁹ The remaining violations were attributed to errors on the part of a national buying service or the Station’s television network. However, the Commission has consistently held that a licensee’s reliance on a program’s source or producer for compliance with our children’s television rules and policies will not excuse or mitigate violations which do occur.¹⁰ Nor does the Licensee’s implementation of policies to prevent subsequent violations of the Commission’s children’s television rules and policies relieve it of liability for violations which have occurred.¹¹

8. This *NAL* is issued pursuant to Section 503(b)(1)(B) of the Act. Under that provision, any person who is determined by the Commission to have willfully or repeatedly failed to comply with any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission shall be liable to the United States for a forfeiture penalty.¹² Section 312(f)(1) of the Act defines willful as “the conscious and

(...continued from previous page)

(1995), *aff’d*, 13 FCC Rcd 10099 (MMB 1997) (commercial for a fast food restaurant promoting a trip to Disney World as a contest prize contained a brief image of Goofy and aired during the program “Goof Troop”).

⁶ S. Rep. No. 227, 101st Cong., 1st Sess. 24 (1989).

⁷ *Children’s Television Programming*, 6 FCC Rcd at 2118.

⁸ *Id.* at 2117-18.

⁹ See, e.g., *LeSea Broadcasting Corp. (WHKE(TV))*, 10 FCC Rcd 4977 (MMB 1995); *Buffalo Management Enterprises Corp. (WIVB-TV)*, 10 FCC Rcd 4959 (MMB 1995); *Act III Broadcasting License Corp., supra*; *Ramar Communications, Inc. (KJTV(TV))*, 9 FCC Rcd 1831 (MMB 1994).

¹⁰ See, e.g., *Max Television of Syracuse, L.P. (WSYT(TV))*, 10 FCC Rcd 8905 (MMB 1995); *Mt. Mansfield Television, Inc. (WCAX-TV)*, 10 FCC Rcd 8797 (MMB 1995); *Boston Celtics Broadcasting Limited Partnership (WFXT(TV))*, 10 FCC Rcd 6686 (MMB 1995).

¹¹ See, e.g., *WHP Television, L.P. (WHP-TV)*, 10 FCC Rcd 4979, 4980 (MMB 1995); *Mountain States Broadcasting, Inc. (KMSB-TV)*, 9 FCC Rcd 2545, 2546 (MMB 1994); *R&R Media Corporation (WTWS(TV))*, 9 FCC Rcd 1715, 1716 (MMB 1994); *KEVN, Inc. (KEVN-TV)*, 8 FCC Rcd 5077, 5078 (MMB 1993); *International Broadcasting Corp.*, 19 FCC 2d 793, 794 (1969).

¹² 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(B); see also 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(a)(1).

deliberate commission or omission of [any] act, irrespective of any intent to violate” the law.¹³ The legislative history to Section 312(f)(1) of the Act clarifies that this definition of willful applies to both Sections 312 and 503(b) of the Act,¹⁴ and the Commission has so interpreted the term in the Section 503(b) context.¹⁵ Section 312(f)(2) of the Act provides that “[t]he term ‘repeated,’ when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means the commission or omission of such act more than once or, if such commission or omission is continuous, for more than one day.”¹⁶

9. The Commission’s *Forfeiture Policy Statement* and Section 1.80(b)(4) of the Rules establish a base forfeiture amount of \$8,000 for violation of Section 73.670.¹⁷ In determining the appropriate forfeiture amount, we may adjust the base amount upward or downward by considering the factors enumerated in Section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act, including “the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation, and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and such other matters as justice may require.”¹⁸

10. In this case, an upward adjustment is justified in light of the number and nature of the commercial overages. Accordingly, we find that the Licensee is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of \$15,000 for its apparent willful and repeated violation of Section 73.670.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

11. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 1.80 of the Commission’s Rules, that Tribune Television Holdings, Inc. is hereby NOTIFIED of its APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE in the amount of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) for its apparent willful and repeated violation of Section 73.670 of the Commission’s Rules.

12. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Section 1.80 of the Commission’s Rules, that, within thirty (30) days of the release date of this *NAL*, Tribune Television Holdings, Inc. SHALL PAY the full amount of the proposed forfeiture or SHALL FILE a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.

13. Payment of the proposed forfeiture must be made by check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. The payment must include the *NAL*/Acct. No. and FRN No. referenced above. Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Federal Communications Commission, at P.O. Box 358340, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251-8340. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to Mellon Bank/LB 358340, 500 Ross Street, Room 1540670, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251. Payment by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 043000261, receiving bank Mellon Bank, and account number 911-6229.

14. The response, if any, must be mailed to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications

¹³ 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(1).

¹⁴ See H.R. Rep. No. 97-765, 97th Cong. 2d Sess. 51 (1982).

¹⁵ See *Southern California Broadcasting Co.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 6 FCC Rcd 4387, 4388 (1991).

¹⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(2).

¹⁷ See *Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80(b) of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines*, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, 17113-15 (1997) (“*Forfeiture Policy Statement*”), recon. denied, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(4), note to paragraph (b)(4), Section I.

¹⁸ 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D); see also *Forfeiture Policy Statement*, 12 FCC Rcd at 17100-01; 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(4); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(4), note to paragraph (b)(4), Section II.

Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554, ATTN: Barbara A. Kreisman, Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau, and MUST INCLUDE the NAL/Acct. No. referenced above.

15. The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the respondent submits: (1) federal tax returns for the most recent three-year period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices (“GAAP”); or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the respondent’s current financial status. Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.

16. Requests for full payment of the forfeiture proposed in this *NAL* under the installment plan should be sent to: Associate Managing Director- Financial Operations, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A625, Washington, D.C. 20554.¹⁹

17. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that copies of this *NAL* shall be sent, by First Class and Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to Tribune Television Holdings, Inc., 1813 Westlake Avenue, North, Seattle, Washington 98109, and to its counsel, R. Clark Wadlow, Esquire, Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP, 1501 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Barbara A. Kreisman
Chief, Video Division
Media Bureau

¹⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.