

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
Improving Public Safety Communications in the) WT Docket No. 02-55
800 MHz Band)
Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008)
Rebanding Deadline – Wave 2 Public Safety)
Regions)

ORDER

Adopted: June 17, 2008

Released: June 17, 2008

By the Associate Chief, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this order, by delegated authority, we act on multiple requests by 800 MHz public safety licensees in Wave 2 for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline for the completion of 800 MHz rebanding. Our specific action with respect to each request is listed in Appendix A of this order.

II. BACKGROUND

2. In the 800 MHz Report and Order and subsequent orders in this proceeding, the Commission ordered the rebanding of the 800 MHz band to resolve interference between commercial and public safety systems in the band. These orders mandate that 800 MHz licensees in non-border areas must complete their rebanding by June 26, 2008. However, the Commission has also established a

1 Wave 2 includes the following NPSAC Regions: Region 4 (Arkansas), Region 12 (Idaho), Region 15 (Iowa), Region 16 (Kansas), Region 17 (Kentucky), Region 22 (Minnesota), Region 24 (Missouri), Region 25 (Montana), Region 26 (Nebraska), Region 32 (North Dakota), Region 34 (Oklahoma), Region 38 (South Dakota), Region 39 (Tennessee), Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas), Region 44 (West Virginia), Region 46 (Wyoming), Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas), Region 51 (Houston/East Texas), and Region 52 (Lubbock/Texas Panhandle). A list of all NPSAC regions and their corresponding geographic areas can be found at http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Orders/1998/fcc98191.txt.

2 On May 1, 2008, Sprint Nextel Corporation (Sprint) filed a request for waiver to remain temporarily on Channel 1-120 channels that are designated for assignment to NPSAC licensees that receive waivers of the June 26, 2008 deadline. Request for Waiver by Sprint Nextel Corporation, filed May 1, 2008. We will address Sprint’s waiver request separately.

3 See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, WT Docket 02-55, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (800 MHz Report and Order); Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (800 MHz Supplemental Order); Memorandum Opinion and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005); Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007); Third Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 17209 (2007) (800 MHz 3rd MO&O). The 800 MHz 3rd MO&O was recently affirmed by the U.S. Court of Appeals. Sprint Nextel Corp. v. FCC, 524 F.3d 253 (D.C. Cir. 2008).

4 800 MHz Report and Order, 19 FCC Rcd at 15130 ¶ 347. “Non-border” licensees are Wave 1-3 licensees and those Wave 4 licensees that have received rebanding frequency assignments from the 800 MHz Transition

waiver process for licensees that are unable to complete rebanding by the deadline, and has delegated authority to the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (PSHSB) to act on such waiver requests.⁵ On January 17, 2008, the Commission released a *Public Notice* that established procedures and provided guidance for submission of requests to waive the deadline.⁶ The Commission recommended that licensees provide certain information in their requests, and encouraged the use of a template form developed by the 800 MHz Transition Administrator (TA) for this purpose.⁷ The Commission also set March 17, 2008 as the deadline for licensees in Wave 2 to submit their requests.⁸

III. DISCUSSION

3. The Commission has stated that requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline “will be subject to a high level of scrutiny. Licensees will be expected to demonstrate that they have worked diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously, and that the amount of additional time requested is no more than is reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process.”⁹ We have reviewed each of the Wave 2 waiver requests in accordance with this standard. More specifically, we have considered the following factors identified by the Commission as pertinent to such requests:

- System size and complexity.
- Interoperability with other systems in the region, and how such interoperability will affect the ultimate rebanding schedule.
- Steps already taken to complete physical reconfiguration, including participation in the Subscriber Equipment Deployment (SED) program
- Participation (or commitment to participate) in the TA’s regional Implementation Planning Sessions (IPS) for the licensee’s Public Safety Region.¹⁰

4. We first address the waiver requests of licensees in Wave 2 that propose to complete their rebanding between June 26, 2008 and July 1, 2009 and have requested waiver dates accordingly.¹¹ We grant these requests. After review of the requests, we find that each of these licensees has taken substantial steps towards completion of the rebanding process and has demonstrated a level of diligence and good faith warranting the requested extension. Moreover, we find that the amount of time that each licensee seeks is no more than is reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process.

Administrator (TA). Licensees that have not received frequency assignments from the TA due to their proximity to the U.S. - Canada or U.S. - Mexico border are not subject to the June 26, 2008 deadline. The Bureau has established a separate rebanding timeline for the Canadian border region, Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, *Second Report and Order*, WT Docket 02-55, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (PSHSB 2008), and will establish a rebanding timeline for the Mexican border region at a later date.

⁵ *800 MHz 3rd MO&O*, 22 FCC Rcd at 17223 ¶ 48.

⁶ Federal Communications Commission Provides Guidance for Submission of Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding, *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 664 (2008) (*Waiver Guidance Notice*).

⁷ *Id.*, 23 FCC Rcd at 666.

⁸ *Id.*, 23 FCC Rcd at 665. Edmond, Oklahoma; Grandview, Missouri; the Mid-America Regional Council (MARC); Raytown, Missouri; Dallas, Texas; Denton, Texas; and Grand Prairie, Texas filed timely interim waiver requests, but also filed final waiver requests. We dismiss these licensees’ interim waiver requests as moot.

⁹ FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding, *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 17227, 17232 (2007).

¹⁰ IPSs have been held in the following Wave 2 regions: Region 15 (Iowa), Region 16 (Kansas), Region 17 (Kentucky), Region 22 (Minnesota), Region 26 (Nebraska), Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast, Texas), Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas), and Region 51 (Houston/East Texas).

¹¹ See Appendix A.

5. We apply a higher level of scrutiny to those licensees in Wave 2 that request waivers past July 1, 2009. We recognize that there are a variety of factors that may justify longer waivers for some licensees, including system size and complexity, interoperability coordination issues with other licensees, and prior delays in the rebanding process outside the licensee's control. However, because these licensees request significant additional time to complete their rebanding, we believe it is appropriate to focus more specifically on the proposed timing of the major milestones in each licensee's rebanding process, *i.e.*, (1) retuning, reflashing, and/or replacement of subscriber equipment (subscriber unit deployment); (2) retuning of base stations to the licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels (system cutover); and (3) additional post-cutover system modifications, such as disposal of temporary or legacy equipment, removal of pre-rebanding channels from subscriber units (post-cutover modifications). The timely completion of the first two of these milestones is particularly important, because once reconfigured subscriber units are deployed and the system cutover has occurred, the main objective of the rebanding process – spectral separation of public safety from commercial cellular operations – will have been substantially accomplished.

6. Specifically, we grant the relief set forth below and in Appendix A to the following licensees based upon each licensee's proposed milestone dates for subscriber unit deployment and system cutover.

7. *Washington County, Nebraska (Region 26)*. Washington County proposes milestone dates before the end of 2008 for completion of both subscriber deployment and system cutover, but seeks an extension until December 31, 2009 to complete post-cutover modifications. We grant Washington County a waiver until July 1, 2009, and will consider an additional request for time to the extent necessary if the licensee has not completed post-cutover modifications by the extension date.

8. *RACOM Licensees (Region 15)*. Nine licensees in Iowa (Region 15) and one in Illinois operate as part of the RACOM communications network, which includes both public safety and commercial radio facilities.¹² Although the RACOM licensees filed individual waiver requests, we treat these requests collectively, in part because the RACOM licensees seek identical relief, and in part because the RACOM system represents a unique *de facto* state-wide network. The RACOM licensees propose to complete subscriber deployment by July 2008 and infrastructure cutover by September 2009, but seek an extension until June 2011 because an extended period of time will be required for "second touches" of subscriber units in the network. We grant the RACOM licensees a waiver until July 1, 2009, and will consider additional requests for time to the extent necessary.

9. *Licensees Proposing Milestone Dates After July 1, 2009*. A number of Wave 2 licensees propose milestone dates in the latter half of 2009 or in 2010 to complete subscriber unit deployment, system cutover, and post-cutover modifications.¹³ We grant these licensees waivers until July 1, 2009, at which time they may seek additional time if necessary based upon a sufficient showing of their progress to date.

10. *Region 40 Licensees (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)*. Some Region 40 licensees request waivers until varying dates in 2010 and 2011 to complete rebanding.¹⁴ They submit that they share a high level of interoperability with neighboring jurisdictions, including talk groups, and use the NPSPAC mutual aid channels to support regional interoperability. Some licensees also indicate that they

¹² The ten licensees operating on the RACOM network are: Black Hawk County, Dubuque County, Grundy County, Marshall County, Polk County, Scott County; Oelwein, Iowa; Sioux City, Iowa; the Fort Dodge Correction Facility, and Rock Island County, Illinois. Although Rock Island County is in Region 13, which is a Wave 1 region, we address its request as part of the RACOM request in this order.

¹³ These licensees are: Douglas County, Nebraska, Omaha Public Power District (Region 26); Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (Region 34); and Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority (Region 40).

¹⁴ These licensees include: Arlington, Texas; Bedford, Texas; Collin County, Texas; Dallas, Texas; Denton, Texas; East Texas Medical Center, Texas; Ellis County, Texas; Grand Prairie, Texas; Lewisville and Coppell, Texas; Longview, Texas; Mansfield, Texas; McKinney, Texas; Mesquite, Texas; Plano, Texas; and Richardson, Texas.

support interoperability across the State of Texas, including Wave 4 areas bordering Mexico.¹⁵ Some licensees also contend that they cannot complete the retune of their systems until regional systems, such as the Dallas/Fort Worth and East Texas Medical Center systems, have completed their retunes.¹⁶ In that connection, many of these licensees submit that they intend to retune under an integrated schedule because of the need to accommodate interdependencies and interoperability.¹⁷ We grant each of these licensees a waiver until July 1, 2009, at which time we will consider requests for additional time based upon a sufficient showing of their progress to date and further development of regional implementation timelines by the TA. In addition, while the dates specified in Appendix A apply to each licensee's individual system, they may keep the five NPSPAC mutual aid channels operational until rebanding in the Wave 4 border regions in Texas is complete.

11. *Region 49 Licensees (Austin/Central Texas)*. Several Region 49 licensees have requested a waiver to complete rebanding after 2009.¹⁸ These licensees cite complex interoperability relationships in Region 49, including the need to maintain interoperability with the Austin-Travis regional system, Texas statewide emergency communications network and communications capability with public safety entities impacted by Wave 4 rebanding.¹⁹ The primary reason these licensees request until after 2009 to complete rebanding is to preserve the old mutual aid channels. We grant each of these licensees a waiver until July 1, 2009, at which time we will consider requests for additional time based upon a sufficient showing of their progress to date and further development of regional implementation timelines by the TA. In addition, while the dates specified in Appendix A apply to each licensee's individual system, they may keep the five NPSPAC mutual aid channels operational until rebanding in the Wave 4 border regions in Texas is complete.

12. *Region 51 Licensees (Houston/East Texas)*. Harris County, Texas, on behalf of itself and six other public safety licensees, requests a waiver to complete rebanding by August 31, 2010.²⁰ Harris County states that its regional radio system is interoperable with seven neighboring jurisdictions through mutual aid channels. In order to maintain interoperability during rebanding, Harris County envisions two touches, and potentially three touches, to install new channels, remove incumbent, non-mutual aid channels after the infrastructure is retuned and remove the old NPSPAC mutual aid channels from its radios. Harris County submits that rebanding its regional system cannot be completed until its interoperability partners complete rebanding. Harris County also notes that it is not possible to predict whether the 2009 hurricane season will delay its rebanding effort. We grant Harris County and its affiliated licensees a waiver until July 1, 2009, at which time we will consider requests for additional time based upon their progress to date and further development of regional implementation timelines by the TA. In addition, while the dates specified in Appendix A apply to each licensee's individual system, they may keep the five NPSPAC mutual aid channels operational until rebanding in Region 51 and in the Wave 4 border regions in Texas is complete.

¹⁵ See e.g., Arlington Petition at 2; East Texas Medical Center Petition at 2; Grand Prairie Petition at 2-3; and Richardson Petition at 2-3.

¹⁶ See e.g., Longview Petition at 2 and McKinney Petition at 2.

¹⁷ Arlington Petition at 2; Bedford Petition at 2; Collin County Petition at 2; East Texas Medical Center Petition at 2; Ellis County Petition at 4; Grand Prairie Petition at 2-3; Lewisville and Coppell Petition at 2; Longview Petition at 2; Mansfield Petition at 2; McKinney Petition at 2; Mesquite Petition at 2; Plano Petition at 5; and Richardson Petition at 2-3.

¹⁸ See Appendix A. Austin, Texas; Bell County, Texas; Brazos County, Texas; Bryan, Texas; College Station, Texas; Giddings, Texas; Lee County, Texas; and Travis County, Texas.

¹⁹ Austin Petition at 2-3; Bell County Petition at 2-3, 5; Brazos County and Bryan Petition at 2; College Station, Petition at 4-5; Lee County and Giddings Petition at 2; and Travis County Petition at 2.

²⁰ Harris County Petition at 1-3. The licensees affiliated with the Harris County petition are: Brazoria County, Texas; Fort Bend County, Texas; Galveston County, Texas; Humble, Texas; Missouri, Texas; and Pasadena, Texas.

13. *Waiver Requests With No Milestone Dates Identified.* Some Wave 2 licensees have requested extension dates beyond mid-2009 for final completion of rebanding, but have not specified milestone dates for completion of interim steps in accordance with the *Waiver Guidance Notice*.²¹ We hold these waiver requests in abeyance and direct these licensees to supplement their requests within 30 days of the release of this order by submitting proposed milestone dates for subscriber unit deployment, system cutover, and post-cutover modifications. Upon receipt of these proposed milestone dates, we will act on these licensees' requests.

14. *Future Extension Requests/Progress Reports.* We hold open the option of extending the above waivers upon further request and showing of good cause.²² Any licensee submitting a further request must submit the request prior to the expiration of the waiver granted to such licensee by this order, and will be expected to demonstrate that it has worked diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously and that the amount of additional time requested is no more than is reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process. Upon filing of a timely further waiver request, the relief granted by this order will extend until the Bureau acts on the request. We also direct licensees that are subject to this order to provide progress reports to the TA a quarterly basis unless the TA determines that more frequent reports are required.

15. *NPSPAC Mutual Aid Channels.* Finally, we clarify that licensees do not need to seek waivers for continued operation and support of operations on the five nationwide mutual aid channels in the old NPSPAC band after they have completed their own rebanding. Licensees may continue to operate and maintain infrastructure that supports the five mutual aid channels until all NPSPAC licensees in the region have completed rebanding of their own systems, provided that they notify the TA of such continued mutual aid operations.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSE

16. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority of Sections 0.191 and 0.392 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.191, 0.392; Section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), and Section 1.925 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.925, IT IS ORDERED that the requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline submitted by the licensees listed in Appendix A of this order are GRANTED to the extent described herein.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David L. Furth
Associate Bureau Chief
Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau

²¹ These licensees are: Owensboro, Kentucky (Region 17); Edmond, Oklahoma (Region 34); and the State of Tennessee (Department of Corrections) (Region 39).

²² For example, licensees may seek further extensions if their proposed implementation dates are derived from a subsequent TA-sponsored Implementation Planning Session for the region.

APPENDIX A

Region 4 (Arkansas)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Jacksonville, AR	WPKV208	April 23, 2008	December 30, 2008	December 30, 2008

Region 15 (Iowa)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
West Des Moines, IA	WPKQ759	March 14, 2008	November 17, 2008	November 17, 2008
Black Hawk County, IA	WPHM233	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Dubuque County, IA	WPKY375	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Fort Dodge Correctional Facility	WPMH793	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Grundy County, IA	WPSN583	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Marshall County, IA	WPRS477	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Oelwein, IA	WQCM448	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Polk County, IA	WPTG316	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Scott County, IA	WPPF854	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Sioux City, IA	WPPC810	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009
Rock Island County, IL ²³	KNNF757	March 17, 2008	June 29, 2011	July 1, 2009

Region 16 (Kansas)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Kansas City, KS	WPGV309	March 14, 2008	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2008
Prairie Village, KS	WPEJ208	March 17, 2008	August 1, 2008	August 1, 2008
Wabaunsee County, KS	WPVY317	March 17, 2008	December 1, 2008	December 1, 2008

²³ We consider Rock Island County as part of the RACOM network.

Region 17 (Kentucky)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Owensboro, KY	WPGU296	March 17, 2008	End of Wave 4	Held in abeyance; Provide milestone dates within 30 days from release of this Order
Kenton County, KY	WPMJ434	April 22, 2008	August 11, 2008	August 11, 2008

Region 22 (Minnesota)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Minneapolis, MN	WPKH290	March 14, 2008	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2008
State of Minnesota	WPUC746	March 14, 2008	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2008

Region 24 (Missouri)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Grandview, MO	WPQK886	April 15, 2008	March 1, 2009	March 1, 2009
Mid-America Regional Council (MARC)	WQEP898	May 1, 2008	August 1, 2008	August 1, 2008
Raytown, MO	WPHJ291	April 15, 2008	March 1, 2009	March 1, 2009
North Kansas City, MO	WNVP230	March 17, 2008	November 1, 2008	November 1, 2008
Saint Louis, MO (and Lambert International Airport)	WPEU462	May 14, 2008	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2008

Region 26 (Nebraska)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Douglas County, NE	WPWE526 WPZN933	April 15, 2008	December 31, 2009	July 1, 2009
Lincoln, NE	WNDX299	April 22, 2008	August 31, 2008	August 31, 2008
Omaha Public Power District	WQAS798	March 12, 2008	December 31, 2009	July 1, 2009
Washington	WQDX925	April 14, 2008	December 31, 2009	July 1, 2009

County, NE Sheriff Department				
-------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Region 34 (Oklahoma)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Oklahoma City, OK	WPYJ307	March 14, 2008	December 31, 2009	July 1, 2009
Edmond, OK	WPTX256	May 20, 2008	June 30, 2010	Held in abeyance; Provide milestone dates within 30 days from release of this Order

Region 39 (Tennessee)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Chattanooga, TN	WPBY937 WPKT719 WPCB816 WPZA711 WQDC367	March 17, 2008	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2008
Knox County Sheriff, TN	WPZS457	March 14, 2008	November 1, 2008	November 1, 2008
Knox County, TN	WNFF582 WPQD207 WQDN683	March 17, 2008	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Chattanooga-Hamilton County Hospital Authority	WPRH726	May 12, 2008	November 15, 2008	November 15, 2008
State of Tennessee (Department of Corrections)	WPLS732	March 14, 2008	March 31, 2009	Held in abeyance; Provide milestone dates within 30 days from release of this Order

Region 40 (Dallas/Central & Northeast Texas)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Arlington, TX	WNDW499	March 13, 2008	April 27, 2011	July 1, 2009
Bedford, TX	WPFR225	March 14, 2008	December 12, 2011	July 1, 2009
Bi State Information Center, and Bowie	WPWA648	March 28, 2008	November 1, 2008	November 1, 2008

County, TX				
Collin County, TX	WPGY689	March 14, 2008	September 8, 2011	July 1, 2009
Dallas, TX	WNXM603	June 10, 2008	January 18, 2011	July 1, 2009
Dallas County, TX	WNXM618	April 23, 2008	July 15, 2008	July 15, 2008
Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority, TX	KNGK465	March 17, 2008	August 1, 2009	July 1, 2009
Denton, TX	WNGC433	March 7, 2008 (amended request filed March 14, 2008)	December 27, 2010	July 1, 2009
East Texas Medical, TX	WPIE756	March 14, 2008	May 25, 2011	July 1, 2009
Ellis County, TX	WQEP225	May 7, 2008	December 31, 2011	July 1, 2009
Grand Prairie, TX	WPF376	April 30, 2008	March 2, 2011	July 1, 2009
Lewisville and Coppell, TX	KNGK472	March 25, 2008	July 31, 2011	July 1, 2009
Longview, TX	WPKT699	March 13, 2008	February 28, 2010	July 1, 2009
Mansfield, TX	WNXC886	March 14, 2008	December 12, 2011	July 1, 2009
McKinney, TX	WNJJ691	March 17, 2008	January 13, 2012	July 1, 2009
Mesquite, TX	WNKE234	March 17, 2008	March 16, 2012	July 1, 2009
Plano, TX	WNWG614	March 20, 2008	February 9, 2011	July 1, 2009
Richardson, TX	WNVR825	March 13, 2008	December 12, 2011	July 1, 2009

Region 44 (West Virginia)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
City of Wheeling, WV	WQID319	March 13, 2008	October 1, 2008	October 1, 2008

Region 49 (Austin/Central Texas)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Austin, TX	WPQY813	March 17, 2008	October 25, 2010	July 1, 2009
Bell County, TX	WPML265	March 14, 2008	February 17, 2012	July 1, 2009
Brazos County, and Bryan, TX	WPYE303	March 14, 2008	February 24, 2012	July 1, 2009
College Station, TX	KNNQ490	March 31, 2008	May 25, 2009	May 25, 2009
Lee County and Giddings, TX	WPLU586	March 17, 2008	March 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Travis County, TX	WPQY686	March 17, 2008	October 25, 2010	July 1, 2009

Region 51 (Houston/East Texas)

Licensee	Call Sign/Lead Call Sign	Date Waiver Request Filed	Extension Date Requested	Extension Date Granted
Beaumont and Jefferson County, TX	WPMG304	March 15, 2008	December 2008	December 31, 2008
Harris County, TX ²⁴	WPKL474	March 17, 2008	August 31, 2010	July 1, 2009
Orange, TX	WNVP234	March 14, 2008	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2008

²⁴ As noted above, the relief we grant to Harris County applies to Brazoria County, Texas; Fort Bend County, Texas; Galveston County, Texas; Humble, Texas; Missouri, Texas; and Pasadena, Texas.