

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 08-1931 August 22, 2008

Michael E. Carosella QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, CA 92121

Re: WPZA237, Little Rock, Arkansas ULS File No. 0003448313

Dear Mr. Carosella,

On May 22, 2008, you filed an FCC Form 601 application for modification of station WPZA237, seeking authorization to operate on TV Channel 55 in the Little Rock, Arkansas area. Your application incorporates broadcaster consent, pursuant to section 27.60(b)(1)(iv) of the Commission's rules. This rule section permits a 700 MHz Band licensee to obtain the written concurrence of a co-channel or adjacent channel TV/DTV broadcaster, whereby the incumbent broadcaster consents to accept higher levels of interference than the rule otherwise permits, subject to Commission approval.

Your application includes a copy of a consent agreement between QUALCOMM Incorporated ("QUALCOMM") and the Mississippi Authority for Public Television (the "Mississippi Authority"), the licensee of noncommercial educational station WMAE-DT, channel *55, in Booneville, Mississippi. In the agreement, the Mississippi Authority has agreed to accept potential interference to 4.64% of the population in the noise limited contour of WMAE-DT's special temporary authorization (STA) facilities (BDSTA-20040204ADG). We note that this interference represents the total amount of interference that WMAE-DT will experience from QUALCOMM's proposed operations in the Little Rock, Arkansas area, as well as other previously or concurrently authorized QUALCOMM facilities, and that the Mississippi Authority's consent covers QUALCOMM's operations in all contributing markets. QUALCOMM will operate in Little Rock, Arkansas from multiple sites.

¹ The Commission placed the application on public notice. See Wireless Bureau Market-Based Applications Accepted for Filing, Public Notice, Report No. 4112 at 3 (rel. June 4, 2008). No petitions have been filed against the application.

² See 47 C.F.R. § 27.60(b)(1)(iv).

³ This approval process involves an analysis by the Media Bureau, under delegated authority, to determine whether grant of the application is in the public interest. See Service Rules for the 746-764 and 776-794 MHz Bands, and Revisions to Part 27 of the Commission's Rules, WT Docket No. 99-168, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 20845 (2000) (basis for public interest analysis of Lower 700 MHz consent agreements); Reallocation and Service Rules for the 698-746 MHz Spectrum Band (Television Channels 52-59), GN Docket No. 01-74, Report and Order, 17 FCC Rcd 1022 (2002) (framework for public interest analysis of Lower 700 MHz "band-clearing" agreements) ("Lower 700 MHz Report and Order").

Michael E. Carosella August 22, 2008 DA 08-1931

For the reasons discussed below, we find that grant of the application is in the public interest.⁴ First, our approval of the application will allow OUALCOMM to deploy its MediaFLO ("forward link only") technology, a "mediacast" service capable of delivering many channels of multimedia content to third generation ("3G") wireless phones. According to QUALCOMM, which holds licenses for Channel 55 (Block D in the Lower 700 MHz Band) covering the entire nation, MediaFLO initially will provide up to fifteen live streaming video program channels, numerous video "clip cast" channels from which subscribers can choose video clips for viewing on-demand, and numerous audio channels.⁵ OUALCOMM states that MediaFLO will be available at "mass market" prices for most of the nation's over 194 million mobile phone customers, and that it will spur the development of new content and new technologies. OUALCOMM also intends that the network will carry local programming and core public interest program content such as breaking news, weather, and public affairs, as well as maintain network capability to disseminate emergency alert information, in both visual (including textual) and auditory form. QUALCOMM further states that its MediaFLO technology offers distinct efficiency and cost advantages in delivering content to a large mobile subscriber base, as compared to cellular and higherfrequency based systems. Moreover, as OUALCOMM notes, MediaFLO will be affordable, readily available and will stimulate new development on a large scale within the emerging technology of mobile video. Given that QUALCOMM's business plan calls for an investment of \$800 million, grant of this application will contribute to the growth of the American economy.8

In addition, with respect to station WMAE-DT, the amount of agreed-upon interference has already been approved by the Commission in previous decisions granting other QUALCOMM applications. All of the factors relied upon by the Commission in these previous rulings apply equally to the instant application.

Accordingly, we believe that the public interest will be served by a grant of QUALCOMM's application, conditioned upon operating within the technical parameters specified in the application, and in accordance with the Mississippi Authority Agreement. Any changes to the technical parameters of the proposed facilities that will result in levels of interference greater than those agreed to in the preceding

⁴ With respect to any stations receiving interference for which consent is not provided, we note that QUALCOMM's proposed operations are in accordance with the terms of the Commission's Order granted October 13, 2006. See Qualcomm Incorporated Petition for Declaratory Ruling, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 11683 (2006).

⁵ QUALCOMM Attachment to application for modification of Station WPZA238, ULS File No. 0002395142 at 5.

⁶ Id.

⁷ QUALCOMM Attachment at 5, 6.

⁸ QUALCOMM Attachment at 6.

⁹ See Michael E. Carosella, 23 FCC Rcd 2733 (2008); Michael E. Carosella, 23 FCC Rcd 2736 (2008).

Michael E. Carosella August 22, 2008 DA 08-1931

agreement referenced herein, or that result in any additional interference under the thresholds established in the Commission's Qualcomm Order, will require separate Commission approval.

Sincerely,

Fov Barbara A. Kreisman, Chief

Video Division Media Bureau

Roger S. Noel, Chief

Mobility Division

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau