

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of
Amendment of Section 73.622(i),
Final DTV Table of Allotments,
Television Broadcast Stations.
(Colorado Springs, Colorado)
MM Docket No. 09-111
RM-11541

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Adopted: June 25, 2009

Released: June 26, 2009

Comment Date: [15 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]
Reply Comment Date: [25 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]

By the Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau:

1. The Commission has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by Gray Television Licensee, LLC ("Gray"), the licensee of station KKTV(TV), DTV channel 10, Colorado Springs, Colorado. Gray requests the substitution of DTV channel 49 for channel 10 at Colorado Springs.

2. Gray states that "KKTV's current digital allotment, VHF Channel 10, receives interference to 54 percent of its population and therefore serves a population of only 959,551." According to Gray, operation of the proposed channel 49 facility is predicted to serve a population of 1,922,413. Gray further states that the proposed channel substitution will resolve significant reception problems inherent with high VHF digital channels which have been experienced by numerous viewers throughout KKTV's service area, and will improve the possibility for future service to viewers using hand-held and mobile devices.

3. DTV channel 49 can be substituted for DTV channel 10 at Colorado Springs, Colorado as proposed, in compliance with the principal community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) of the Commission's rules, at 38-44-42 N. and 104-51-43 W. In addition, we find that this channel change meets the technical requirements set forth in Sections 73.616, 73.622(f)(5) and 73.623 of the Commission's rules. We propose to substitute DTV channel 49 for DTV channel 10 for station KKTV(TV) at Colorado Springs with the following specifications:

Table with 4 columns: City and State, DTV Channel, DTV Power (kW), Antenna HAAT (m)

1 Petition for Rulemaking at p. 1.

2 Id. at p. 2.

3 47 C.F.R. § 73.625(a).

4 47 C.F.R. §§ 73.616, 73.622(f)(5) and 73.623.

5 The proposed 550 kW ERP exceeds the maximum allowed for the proposed antenna HAAT of 725 meters currently permitted by Section 73.622(f)(8)(ii) of the Commission's Rules. 47 C.F.R. §73.622(f)(8)(ii). However, Section 73.622(f)(5) permits the maximum ERP to be exceeded in order to provide the same geographical coverage as the largest station within the same market. The proposed KKTV(TV) facility will not exceed the coverage contour area of KTSC-DT, channel 8, Pueblo, Colorado, the largest station within KKTV(TV)'s market.

Colorado Springs, Colorado                      49                      550                      725

4. Accordingly, we seek comments on the proposed amendment of the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(i) of the Commission’s rules,<sup>6</sup> for the community listed below, to read as follows:

	<u>Channel No.</u>	
<u>City and State</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Colorado Springs, Colorado	10, 22, 24	22, 24, 49

5. The Commission’s authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein. Pursuant to Section 73.623(h) of the rules, mutually-exclusive applicants will be provided a 90-day period of time, from the date of a public notice identifying mutually-exclusive proposals, to resolve their mutual-exclusivity via engineering amendment or settlement.<sup>7</sup> If we receive a maximization application on or before the date for filing initial comments in this proceeding, which proposes a facility which is mutually-exclusive with the proposal set forth herein, we will issue a public notice and the parties will have 90 days within which to resolve their mutual-exclusivity. If the parties resolve their mutual-exclusivity, we will complete the rulemaking process by issuing the appropriate order. If the parties are unable to resolve their mutual-exclusivity, we will terminate this proceeding and dismiss the maximization application.

6. Pursuant to Sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission’s rules, interested parties may file comments on or before [15 days after publication in the Federal Register] and reply comments on or before [25 days after publication in the Federal Register], and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures. Comments should be filed with the Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. Additionally, a copy of such comments should be served on the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows:

John M. Burgett, Esq.  
 Wiley Rein LLP  
 1776 K Street, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20006

7. Parties must file an original and four copies of each filing. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (although we continue to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). The Commission’s contractor, Natek, Inc., will receive hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary at 236 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Suite 110, Washington, D.C. 20002. The filing hours at this location are 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building. Commercial overnight

<sup>6</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 73.622(i).

<sup>7</sup> 47 C.F.R. §73.623(h)(3).

mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail or Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, Maryland 20743. U.S. Postal Service first-class mail, Express Mail, and Priority Mail should be addressed to 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. All filings must be addressed to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary. Any filing that is not addressed to the Office of the Secretary will be treated as filed on the day it is received in the Office of the Secretary.<sup>8</sup> Accordingly, failure to follow the specified requirements may result in the treatment of a filing as untimely.

8. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to a rule making proceeding to amend the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(i). This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden “for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees,” pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, § 3506(c)(4).

9. For further information concerning the proceeding listed above, contact Joyce L. Bernstein, Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600. For purposes of this restricted notice and comment rule making proceeding, members of the public are advised that no *ex parte* presentations are permitted from the time the Commission adopts a Notice of Proposed Rule Making until the proceeding has been decided and such decision in the applicable docket is no longer subject to reconsideration by the Commission or review by any court. An *ex parte* presentation is not prohibited if specifically requested by the Commission or staff for the clarification or adduction of evidence or resolution of issues in the proceeding. However, any new written information elicited from such a request or any summary of any new information shall be served by the person making the presentation upon the other parties to the proceeding in a particular docket unless the Commission specifically waives this service requirement. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment, to which the reply is directed, constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Barbara A. Kreisman  
Chief, Video Division  
Media Bureau

---

<sup>8</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.7.

## APPENDIX

1. Pursuant to authority found in 47 U.S.C. Sections 4(i), 5(c)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and 47 C.F.R. Sections 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283, IT IS PROPOSED TO AMEND the DTV Table of Allotments, 47 C.F.R. Section 73.622(i), as set forth in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this *Appendix* is attached.

2. Showings Required. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this *Appendix* is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed allotment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is allotted and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. Cut-off protection. The following procedures will govern the consideration of the filings in this proceeding;

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See Section 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.) Because the Commission has not yet lifted its freeze on the filing of petitions for rulemaking to establish new DTV channel allotments and for changes in community of license,<sup>9</sup> we will not consider counterproposals which propose new allotments or changes in community of license.

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to allot a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. Comments and Reply Comments; service. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in 47 C.F.R. Sections 1.415 and 1.420, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this *Appendix* is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or by persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. The person filing the comments shall serve comments on the petitioners. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. A certificate of service shall accompany such comments and reply comments (*see* 47 C.F.R. Section 1.420(a), (b) and (c)). Comments should be filed with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

5. Number of Copies. In accordance with the provisions of 47 C.F.R. Section 1.420, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be

---

<sup>9</sup> See Public Notice, "Freeze on the Filing of Certain TV and DTV Requests for Allotment or Service Area Changes," 19 FCC Rcd 14810 (MB 2004).

furnished the Commission. An electronic copy should also be sent to [joyce.bernstein@fcc.gov](mailto:joyce.bernstein@fcc.gov).

6. Public Inspection of Filings. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Reference Information Center, at its headquarters, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.