

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	File No. EB-06-TC-3411
	)	
Oneida County Rural Telephone Co.	)	NAL/Acct. No. 200732170023
	)	
Apparent Liability for Forfeiture	)	FRN: 0004337218
	)	

**ORDER**

**Adopted: September 30, 2010**

**Released: October 1, 2010**

By the Assistant Division Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Order, which follows upon our *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* (“NAL”),<sup>1</sup> we determine that no forfeiture penalty should be imposed on Oneida County Rural Telephone Co. (“Oneida”).

2. On March 29, 2007, the Enforcement Bureau issued an NAL in the amount of \$100,000 to Oneida for its apparent violation of the Commission’s rules by failing to prepare and maintain a CPNI certification that complies with section 64.2009(e).<sup>2</sup> Consistent with section 503(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Oneida was granted an opportunity to show, in writing, why no such forfeiture should be imposed.

3. In response to the NAL, Oneida provided evidence that it was in compliance with the Commission’s CPNI rules. Accordingly, we find that no forfeiture should be imposed.

4. **ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 0.111, 0.311, and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission’s rules, the proposed forfeiture in the NAL **WILL NOT BE IMPOSED**.

5. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this Order shall be sent by First Class Mail and Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to Oneida County Rural Telephone Co. to its address of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kimberly A. Wild  
Assistant Division Chief  
Telecommunications Consumers Division  
Enforcement Bureau

<sup>1</sup> *In the Matter of Oneida County Rural Telephone Co.*, Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 22 FCC Rcd 5521 (2007) (“NAL”).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*