

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In re Application of)	
)	
Family Worship Center Church, Inc.)	Facility I.D. No. 106548
)	NAL/Acct. No. MB-201141410008
For Renewal of License for)	FRN: 0001712819
FM Translator Station W208BC)	File No. BRFT-20101109AAF
Corning, New York)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER
AND
NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE**

Adopted: August 23, 2011

Released: August 23, 2011

By the Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Media Bureau (“Bureau”) has before it the captioned application of Family Worship Center Church, Inc. (the “Licensee”) for renewal of its license for FM Translator Station W208BC, Corning, New York (the “Station”). In this *Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* (“NAL”) issued pursuant to Sections 309(k) and 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the “Act”), and Section 1.80 of the Commission’s Rules (the “Rules”),¹ by authority delegated to the Bureau under Section 0.283 of the Rules,² we find that the Licensee apparently willfully violated Section 73.3539 of the Rules³ by failing to file a timely license renewal application for the Station, and apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 301 of the Act⁴ by continuing Station operations after its license had expired. Based upon our review of the facts and circumstances before us, we conclude that the Licensee is apparently liable for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of seven hundred fifty dollars (\$750), and we grant the Station’s license renewal application.

II. BACKGROUND

2. Section 73.3539(a) of the Rules requires that applications for renewal of license for broadcast stations must be filed “not later than the first day of the fourth full calendar month prior to the expiration date of the license sought to be renewed.”⁵ An application for renewal of the Station’s license should have been filed by February 1, 2006. No such application was filed, and the Station’s license expired on June 1, 2006. Accordingly, on October 18, 2010, the staff wrote to the Licensee, indicating that the Station’s license had expired and that: (1) all authority to operate the Station was terminated; and (2) the Station’s call letters had been deleted from the Commission’s data base. The Licensee was

¹ 47 U.S.C. §§ 309(k), 503(b); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80.

² See 47 C.F.R. § 0.283.

³ See 47 C.F.R. § 73.3539.

⁴ See 47 U.S.C. § 301.

⁵ 47 C.F.R. § 73.3539(a).

advised that any operation of the station was then unauthorized and must cease immediately.⁶ Upon receipt of the *License Expiration Letter*, on November 9, 2010, the Licensee tendered both the subject license renewal application for the Station and a request for special temporary authority to continue station operations pending consideration of the license renewal application.⁷ It also filed a Petition for Reconsideration of the *License Expiration Letter* on November 15, 2010. The staff granted the STA Request on November 10, 2010, and it expired on May 10, 2011.⁸ In both the renewal application and the STA Request, the Licensee stated that its failure to file a timely renewal application for the Station was the result of administrative oversight and clerical error.

III. DISCUSSION

3. *Proposed Forfeiture.* In this case, the Licensee failed to file a timely license renewal application for Station W208BC, as required by Section 73.3539(a) of the Rules. Moreover, Licensee violated Section 301 of the Act by continuing to operate the Station for more than four years after the license had expired on June 1, 2006, before filing the appropriate renewal application and seeking STA to so operate. It also continued operating the Station after the expiration of the STA on May 10, 2011, an additional violation of Section 301 of the Act. Licensees are obligated to comply fully with the Rules and the Act, including filing a timely renewal application and maintaining in effect the station's authorization.⁹ Here, the Licensee did not do so.

4. This *NAL* is issued pursuant to Section 503(b)(1)(B) of the Act. Under that provision, any person who is determined by the Commission to have failed willfully or repeatedly to comply with any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission shall be liable to the United States for a forfeiture penalty.¹⁰ Section 312(f)(1) of the Act defines willful as "the conscious and deliberate commission or omission of [any] act, irrespective of any intent to violate" the law.¹¹ The legislative history to Section 312(f)(1) of the Act clarifies that this definition of willful applies to both Sections 312 and 503(b) of the Act,¹² and the Commission has so interpreted the term in the Section 503(b) context.¹³ Section 312(f)(2) of the Act provides that "[t]he term 'repeated,' when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means the commission or omission of such act more than once or, if such commission or omission is continuous, for more than one day."¹⁴

5. The Commission's *Forfeiture Policy Statement* and Section 1.80(b)(4) of the Rules

⁶ *Letter to Family Worship Center Church, Inc.*, Ref. 1800B3-KAW (MB Oct. 18, 2010) (the "*License Expiration Letter*").

⁷ See File No. BLSTA-20101117AKS (the "STA Request").

⁸ *Letter to John Trent, Esq.*, Ref. 1800B3 (MB Nov. 10, 2010). Licensee has not sought an extension of the STA.

⁹ See, e.g., *Hemmingford Media, Inc.*, Forfeiture Order, 14 FCC Rcd 2940, 2941-2 (CIB 1999) (responsibility for complying with terms of station license "rests solely and exclusively with the licensee") (citing *Empire Broadcasting Corp.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 25 FCC 2d 68 (1970)).

¹⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(B). See also 47 C.F.R. 1.80(a)(1).

¹¹ 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(1).

¹² See H.R. Rep. No. 97-765, 97th Cong. 2d Sess. 51 (1982).

¹³ See *Southern California Broadcasting Co.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 6 FCC Rcd 4387, 4388 (1991).

¹⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(2).

establish a base forfeiture amount of \$3,000 for the failure to file a required form.¹⁵ The guidelines also specify a base forfeiture amount of \$10,000 for construction and/or operation without an instrument of authorization for the service.¹⁶ In determining the appropriate forfeiture amount, we may adjust the base amount upward or downward by considering the factors enumerated in Section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act, including “the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation, and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and such other matters as justice may require.”¹⁷

6. In this case, the Licensee failed to file a timely renewal application and continued Station operations for more than four years after the Station’s license had expired on June 1, 2006, before filing the appropriate renewal application and the STA Request. It also has continued to operate the Station after its STA expired on May 10, 2011. Nevertheless, because it had previously been licensed to operate the Station, the latter transgression is not comparable to “pirate” wireless operations, which typically have been subject to forfeitures of approximately \$10,000. Taking into consideration these facts and all of the factors required by Section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act and the *Forfeiture Policy Statement*, and given the Commission’s recent decisions assessing forfeitures against licensees of “secondary” services,¹⁸ we reduce the proposed forfeiture for violation of Section 73.3539 of the Rules from the \$3,000 base amount to \$250 and reduce the proposed forfeiture for the unauthorized operation from the \$10,000 base amount to \$250 for each period of unauthorized operation. Thus, we propose a forfeiture in the total amount of \$750.¹⁹

7. *License Renewal Application.* In evaluating an application for license renewal, the Commission’s decision is governed by Section 309(k) of the Act.²⁰ That section provides that if, upon consideration of the application and pleadings, we find that (1) the station has served the public interest, convenience, and necessity; (2) there have been no serious violations of the Act or the Rules; and (3) there have been no other violations which, taken together, constitute a pattern of abuse, we are to grant the renewal application.²¹ If, however, the licensee fails to meet that standard, the Commission may deny the application – after notice and opportunity for a hearing under Section 309(e) of the Act – or grant the application “on terms and conditions that are appropriate, including a renewal for a term less than the maximum otherwise permitted.”²²

¹⁵ See *Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80(b) of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines*, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, 17113-15 (1997) (“*Forfeiture Policy Statement*”), recon. denied, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(4), note to paragraph (b)(4), Section I.

¹⁶ A broadcast station requires an authorization from the Commission to operate. See 47 U.S.C. § 301.

¹⁷ 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D); see also *Forfeiture Policy Statement*, 12 FCC Rcd at 17100; 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(b)(4).

¹⁸ FM Translator stations are “secondary” services, which generally “primary” stations are not required to protect. See 47 C.F.R. § 73.74.1203.

¹⁹ See, e.g., *Calvary Baptist Church*, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 22 FCC Rcd 13074, 13076 (MB 2007) (\$750 NAL issued to licensee of FM Translator station for late filing of renewal application and two separate periods of unauthorized operation).

²⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 309(k).

²¹ 47 U.S.C. § 309(k)(1). The renewal standard was amended to read as described by Section 204(a) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996). See *Implementation of Sections 204(a) and 204(c) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Broadcast License Renewal Procedures)*, Order, 11 FCC Rcd 6363 (1996).

²² 47 U.S.C. §§ 309(k)(2), 309(k)(3).

8. We find that the Licensee's violations of Section 73.3539 of the Rules and Section 301 of the Act do not constitute "serious violations" warranting designation for evidentiary hearing. Moreover, we find no evidence of violations that, when considered together, evidence a pattern of abuse.²³ Further, we find that the Station served the public interest, convenience, and necessity during the subject license term. We will, therefore, grant Licensee's Petition for Reconsideration, reinstate the Station's call sign, and grant the captioned renewal application below.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

9. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules, that Family Worship Center Church, Inc. is hereby NOTIFIED of its APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE in the amount of five hundred dollars (\$500) for its apparent willful violation of Section 73.3539 of the Commission's Rules and apparent willful and repeated violations of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules, that, within thirty (30) days of the release date of this *NAL*, Family Worship Center Church, Inc. SHALL PAY the full amount of the proposed forfeiture or SHALL FILE a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.

11. Payment of the proposed forfeiture must be made by check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. The payment must include the *NAL*/Acct. No. and FRN No. referenced in the caption above. Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Federal Communications Commission, at P.O. Box 979088, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to U.S. Bank—Government Lockbox #979088, SL-MO-C2-GL, 1005 Convention Plaza, St. Louis, MO 63101. Payment by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 021030004, receiving bank: TREAS NYC, BNF: FCC/ACV--27000001 and account number as expressed on the remittance instrument. If completing the FCC Form 159, enter the *NAL*/Account number in block number 23A (call sign/other ID), and enter the letters "FORF" in block number 24A (payment type code).

12. The response, if any, must be mailed to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington DC 20554, ATTN: Peter H. Doyle, Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau, and MUST INCLUDE the *NAL*/Acct. No. referenced above.

13. The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the respondent submits: (1) federal tax returns for the most recent three-year period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices ("GAAP"); or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the respondent's current financial status. Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.

²³ For example, we do not find here that the Licensee's operation of the Station "was conducted in an exceedingly careless, inept and negligent manner and that the Licensee is either incapable of correcting or unwilling to correct the operating deficiencies." *See Heart of the Black Hills Stations*, Decision, 32 FCC 2d 196, 198 (1971). Nor do we find on the record here that "the number, nature and extent" of the violations indicate that "the Licensee cannot be relied upon to operate [the station] in the future in accordance with the requirements of its licenses and the Commission's Rules." *Id.*, 32 FCC 2d at 200. *See also Center for Study and Application of Black Economic Development*, Hearing Designation Order, 6 FCC Rcd 4622 (1991), *Calvary Educational Broadcasting Network, Inc.*, Hearing Designation Order, 7 FCC Rcd 4037 (1992).

14. Requests for full payment of the forfeiture proposed in this *NAL* under the installment plan should be sent to: Associate Managing Director-Financial Operations, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A625, Washington, DC 20554.²⁴

15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the November 15, 2010, Petition for Reconsideration filed by Family Worship Center Church, Inc. IS GRANTED.

16. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the call sign W208BC IS REINSTATED.

17. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 309(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the license renewal application of Family Worship Center Church, Inc. for FM Translator Station W208BC (File No. BRFT-20101109AAF) IS GRANTED.

18. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this *NAL* shall be sent, by First Class and Certified Mail-Return Receipt Requested, to Family Worship Center Church, Inc., P.O. Box 26550, Baton Rouge, LA 70810, and to its counsel, John C. Trent, Esq., Putbrese, Hunsaker & Trent, P.C., 200 S. Church St., Woodstock, VA 22664.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Peter H. Doyle
Chief, Audio Division
Media Bureau

²⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.