

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Mercius Dorvilus	)	File No.: EB-11-MA-0046
	)	NAL/Acct. No.: 201232600005
Pompano Beach, Florida	)	FRN: 0021453774

**NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE**

Adopted: January 31, 2012

Released: January 31, 2012

By the Resident Agent, Miami Office, South Central Region, Enforcement Bureau:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. In this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (NAL), we find that Mercius Dorvilus apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act),<sup>1</sup> by operating an unlicensed radio transmitter on the frequency 92.7 MHz in Pompano Beach, Florida. We conclude that Mr. Dorvilus is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000).

**II. BACKGROUND**

2. On May 12 and June 15, 2011, in response to a complaint, agents from the Enforcement Bureau's Miami Office (Miami Office) used direction-finding techniques to locate the source of radio frequency transmissions on the frequency 92.7 MHz to a residence in Pompano Beach, Florida. On May 12, 2011, the agents determined that the signals being broadcast exceeded the limits for operation under Part 15 of the Commission's rules (Rules), and therefore required a license.<sup>2</sup>

3. During the June 15, 2011, investigation, agents from the Miami Office inspected the radio station, which was located in a locked room in the Pompano Beach residence. On June 17, 2011, a Detective with the Broward County Sheriff's Office interviewed Mr. Dorvilus regarding the unlicensed radio station. During the interview, Mr. Dorvilus stated that he purchased and installed all of the radio station equipment and was responsible for operating and transmitting on 92.7 MHz from the rented room.<sup>3</sup> According to Florida Department of State Division of Corporations records, Mr. Dorvilus is doing business as "Radio VisionFM 927, Inc."<sup>4</sup> Mr. Dorvilus also registered the domain name, [www.visionfm.org](http://www.visionfm.org).

---

<sup>1</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 301.

<sup>2</sup> Part 15 of the Rules sets out the conditions and technical requirements under which certain radio transmission devices may be used without a license. In relevant part, Section 15.239 of the Rules provides that non-licensed broadcasting in the 88-108 MHz band is permitted only if the field strength of the transmission does not exceed 250  $\mu$ V/m at three meters. 47 C.F.R. § 15.239.

<sup>3</sup> Investigative Action Report, Case PB11-06-02308, Broward County Sheriff's Office.

<sup>4</sup> Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations webpage, [www.sunbiz.org](http://www.sunbiz.org) (last visited June 16, 2011).

### III. DISCUSSION

4. Section 503(b) of the Act<sup>5</sup> provides that any person who willfully or repeatedly fails to comply substantially with the terms and conditions of any license, or willfully or repeatedly fails to comply with any of the provisions of the Act or of any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission thereunder, shall be liable for a forfeiture penalty. Section 312(f)(1) of the Act defines “willful” as the “conscious and deliberate commission or omission of [any] act, irrespective of any intent to violate” the law.<sup>6</sup> The legislative history to Section 312(f)(1) of the Act clarifies that this definition of willful applies to both Sections 312 and 503(b) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> and the Commission has so interpreted the term in the Section 503(b) context.<sup>8</sup> The Commission may also assess a forfeiture for violations that are merely repeated, and not willful.<sup>9</sup> The term “repeated” means the commission or omission of such act more than once or for more than one day.<sup>10</sup>

5. Section 301 of the Act states that no person shall use or operate any apparatus for the transmission of energy or communications or signals by radio within the United States, except under and in accordance with the Act and with a license granted under the provisions of the Act.<sup>11</sup> Based on the record evidence in this case, we find that Mr. Dorvilus apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 301 of the Act, by operating an unlicensed radio transmitter on the frequency 92.7 MHz in Pompano Beach, Florida. On May 12 and June 15, 2011, agents from the Miami Office determined that an unlicensed radio station on the frequency 92.7 MHz operated from a locked room in a residence in Pompano Beach. A review of the Commission’s records revealed that no license or authorization was issued to anyone to operate a radio station on 92.7 MHz at this location, and Mr. Dorvilus admitted to a law enforcement officer that he owned, installed, and operated the radio station located at the residence in Pompano Beach. Furthermore, the Miami Office confirmed that Mr. Dorvilus registered the domain name for [www.visionfm.org](http://www.visionfm.org), and is doing business as “Radio VisionFM 927, Inc.” Because Mr. Dorvilus operated this station consciously on more than one day, we find that the apparent violations were not only willful, but also repeated.

6. Pursuant to the Commission’s *Forfeiture Policy Statement* and Section 1.80 of the Rules, the base forfeiture amount for operation without an instrument of authorization is \$10,000.<sup>12</sup> In assessing

---

<sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b).

<sup>6</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(1).

<sup>7</sup> H.R. Rep. No. 97-765, 97<sup>th</sup> Cong. 2d Sess. 51 (1982) (“This provision [inserted in section 312] defines the terms ‘willful’ and ‘repeated’ for purposes of section 312, and for any other relevant section of the act (e.g., section 503) . . . . As defined[,] . . . ‘willful’ means that the licensee knew that he was doing the act in question, regardless of whether there was an intent to violate the law. ‘Repeated’ means more than once, or where the act is continuous, for more than one day. Whether an act is considered to be ‘continuous’ would depend upon the circumstances in each case. The definitions are intended primarily to clarify the language in sections 312 and 503, and are consistent with the Commission’s application of those terms . . . .”).

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., *Application for Review of Southern California Broadcasting Co.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 6 FCC Rcd 4387, 4388 (1991) (*Southern California Broadcasting Co.*).

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., *Callais Cablevision, Inc.*, Notice of Apparent Liability for Monetary Forfeiture, 16 FCC Rcd 1359, 1362, para. 10 (2001) (*Callais Cablevision, Inc.*) (proposing a forfeiture for, *inter alia*, a cable television operator’s repeated signal leakage).

<sup>10</sup> *Southern California Broadcasting Co.*, 6 FCC Rcd at 4388, para. 5; *Callais Cablevision, Inc.*, 16 FCC Rcd at 1362, para. 9.

<sup>11</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 301.

<sup>12</sup> *The Commission’s Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines*, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997) (*Forfeiture Policy Statement*), *recons. denied*, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80.

the monetary forfeiture amount, we must also take into account the statutory factors set forth in Section 503(b)(2)(E) of the Act, which include the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violations, and with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require.<sup>13</sup> Applying the *Forfeiture Policy Statement*, Section 1.80 of the Rules, and the statutory factors to the instant case, we conclude that Mr. Dorvilus is apparently liable for a forfeiture of \$10,000.

#### IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

7. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.111, 0.204, 0.311, 0.314, and 1.80 of the Commission's rules, Mercius Dorvilus is hereby **NOTIFIED** of this **APPARENT LIABILITY FOR A FORFEITURE** in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for violations of Section 301 of the Act.<sup>14</sup>

8. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 1.80 of the Commission's rules, within thirty (30) calendar days of the release date of this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, Mercius Dorvilus **SHALL PAY** the full amount of the proposed forfeiture or **SHALL FILE** a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.

9. Payment of the forfeiture must be made by credit card, check, or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. The payment must include the Account number and FRN referenced above. Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 979088, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to U.S. Bank – Government Lockbox #979088, SL-MO-C2-GL, 1005 Convention Plaza, St. Louis, MO 63101. Payment by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 021030004, receiving bank TREAS/NYC, and account number 27000001. For payment by credit card, an FCC Form 159 (Remittance Advice) must be submitted. When completing the FCC Form 159, enter the NAL/Account number in block number 23A (call sign/other ID), and enter the letters "FORF" in block number 24A (payment type code). Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief Financial Officer -- Financial Operations, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A625, Washington, D.C. 20554.<sup>15</sup> If you have questions regarding payment procedures, please contact the Financial Operations Group Help Desk at 1-877-480-3201 or Email: [ARINQUIRIES@fcc.gov](mailto:ARINQUIRIES@fcc.gov). If payment is made, Mercius Dorvilus will send electronic notification on the date said payment is made to [SCR-Response@fcc.gov](mailto:SCR-Response@fcc.gov).

10. The written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture, if any, must include a detailed factual statement supported by appropriate documentation and affidavits pursuant to Sections 1.16 and 1.80(f)(3) of the Rules.<sup>16</sup> Mail the written statement to Federal Communications Commission, Enforcement Bureau, South Central Region, Miami Office, P.O. Box 520617, Miami, Florida 33152, and include the NAL/Acct. number referenced in the caption. In addition, Mercius Dorvilus, shall email the written response to [SCR-Response@fcc.gov](mailto:SCR-Response@fcc.gov).

11. The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the petitioner submits: (1) federal tax returns for the most recent three-year period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices (GAAP); or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the petitioner's current financial status.

<sup>13</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(E).

<sup>14</sup> 47 U.S.C. §§ 301, 503(b); 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.204, 0.311, 0.314, 1.80.

<sup>15</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.

<sup>16</sup> 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.80(f)(3).

Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.

12. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture shall be sent by both Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, and regular mail to Mercius Dorvilus at his address of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Stephanie Dabkowski  
Resident Agent  
Miami Office  
South Central Region  
Enforcement Bureau