Before the Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of
Connect America Fund WC Docket No. 10-90
High-Cost Universal Service Support WC Docket No. 05-337

ORDER

Adopted: September 13, 2012 Released: September 13, 2012

By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. In this order, we grant the request of Wauneta Telephone Company (Wauneta) for expedited waiver to correct its study area boundaries that were used in the regression analysis that established “benchmarks” for high-cost loop support (HCLS).\(^1\) In the HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order,\(^2\) the Bureau adopted the methodology for establishing limits on reimbursable capital costs and operating expenses (capex and opex, respectively) to implement the benchmarking rule that the Commission adopted in the USF/ICC Transformation Order.\(^3\)

2. The benchmarking methodology uses quantile regression analyses to generate a capex limit and an opex limit for each rate-of-return cost company study area. The geographic independent variables used in the regressions were rolled up to the study area using Tele Atlas wire center boundaries, which is a widely-used commercially available comprehensive source for this information.\(^4\) The Bureau provided a streamlined, expedited waiver process for carriers affected by the benchmarks to correct any errors in their study area boundaries by providing accurate boundary information in a manner and format that Bureau staff can readily evaluate and process to determine whether there are special circumstances (i.e., inaccurate boundaries) supporting a waiver.\(^5\) To help potential petitioners file information


\(^4\) TomTom Telecommunications Suite 2011.09 (formerly Tele Atlas North America), Wire Center Premium, for wire center boundary and central office location information.

\(^5\) See HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order, 27 FCC Rcd at 4246-47, paras. 27, 29. Generally, the Commission’s rules may be waived if good cause is shown. 47 C.F.R. § 1.3. The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (Northeast Cellular). In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. WAIT Radio v. FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969); Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166. Waiver of the Commission’s rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest. NetworkIP, LLC v. FCC, 548 F.3d 116, 125-128 (D.C. Cir. 2008); Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166.
efficiently, accurately, and in a manner that will permit the Bureau to evaluate and process the information expeditiously, the Bureau set forth a template for filing study area maps.\footnote{HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order, 27 FCC Red at 4301-02, App. C.}

3. On August 23, 2012, Wauneta provided the Bureau with wire center boundaries consistent with the data specifications for submitting boundary information set forth in Appendix C of the \textit{HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order}.\footnote{Id. See Wauneta Petition at 1-2.} As requested, the information submitted included a certification by an officer of the company under penalty of perjury that the information provided accurately portrays Wauneta’s study area.\footnote{See Wauneta Petition at 2.}

4. Pursuant to section 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, we find that special circumstances warrant waiver of the Commission’s rules to revise the capex and opex benchmarks for Wauneta that were listed in Appendix B of the \textit{HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order}.\footnote{47 C.F.R. § 1.3; \textit{HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order}, 27 FCC Red at 4299, App. B. As Wauneta has provided the required documentation, the Bureau has been able to determine that special circumstances (i.e., inaccurate boundaries) support the waiver. \textit{See id.} at 4274, para. 29. The public interest is served by ensuring accurate data is used in necessary computations, regardless of the extent to which the level of support is changed. \textit{See id.} at 4274, para. 31.} Using the corrected boundary information, Bureau staff modified the relevant geographic variables and calculated revised capex and opex benchmarks for Wauneta. We direct the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) to use the following revised benchmarks in place of the original benchmarks for calculating HCLS for Wauneta from July 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012. We note that because Wauneta is limited by the 90th percentile benchmark for its capex costs during this period, it is not eligible for redistributed HCLS. We also instruct the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to make any necessary support amount adjustments consistent with the corrected wire center boundary information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>90% Capex CPL Estimate</th>
<th>Capex CPL\footnote{The capex and opex cost per loop (CPL) amounts are the same as those published in Appendix B of the \textit{HCLS Benchmarks Implementation Order}, which were based on the annual cost data NECA filed in 2011. NECA recently filed a schedule of HCLS for all carriers for the six-month period of July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, which reflects voluntary quarterly updates carriers filed pursuant to section 36.612 of the Commission’s rules. \textit{See 47 C.F.R.} § 36.612; \textit{Wireline Competition Bureau Announces Access to High-Cost Loop Support Data Submitted by NECA}, WC Docket Nos. 10-90, 05-337, Public Notice, 27 FCC Red 7135 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2012).}</th>
<th>90% Opex CPL Estimate</th>
<th>Opex CPL\footnote{See supra note 10.}</th>
<th>Capped?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>$583.53</td>
<td>$879.07</td>
<td>$1,109.36</td>
<td>$1,118.96</td>
<td>Yes (Capex &amp; Opex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised</td>
<td>$628.92</td>
<td>$879.07</td>
<td>$1,164.09</td>
<td>$1,118.96</td>
<td>Yes (Capex)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1, 2, 4(i), 201-206, 214, 218-220, 251, 254, and 303(r), and of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 152, 154(i), 201-206, 214, 218-
220, 251, 254, 303(r), 1302, and pursuant to sections 0.91, 0.131, 0.201(d), 0.291, 0.331, 1.3, and 1.427 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.131, 0.201(d), 0.291, 0.331, 1.3, 1.427, and pursuant to the delegations of authority in paragraphs 210, 217, 226, and 1404 of the USF/ICC Transformation Order, 26 FCC Rcd 17663 (2011), that the petition for expedited waiver to correct its study area boundaries filed by Wauneta Telephone Company IS GRANTED, as described herein.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to authority delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.102 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.102, that this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.
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