



PUBLIC NOTICE

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

News Media Information 202 / 418-0500
Internet: <http://www.fcc.gov>
TTY: 1-888-835-5322

DA 12-873

Released: June 5, 2012

WIRELINE COMPETITION BUREAU ANNOUNCES PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SOURCES IN THE *SPECIAL ACCESS NPRM* PROCEEDING

WC Docket No. 05-25, RM-10593

In January 2005, the Commission initiated a broad inquiry into the regulatory framework applicable to price cap local exchange carriers' (LECs) interstate special access services.¹ The Commission subsequently sought to refresh the record in the *Special Access NPRM* proceeding, and invited interested parties to propose an analytical framework that the Commission could apply to assess competitive conditions for special access.² Most recently, the Commission issued two voluntary data requests, which sought to obtain data from special access providers and customers about special access facilities, pricing, and competition.³

Consistent with the questions the Commission has asked thus far, and to ensure a high level of transparency in the rulemaking process, the attached appendix provides a list of publicly available documents that the Commission may consider as part of this proceeding.

For further information, contact Jamie Susskind of the Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau at (202) 418-1520.

–FCC–

¹ *Special Access Rate for Price Cap Local Exchange Carriers*, WC Docket No. 05-25, *AT&T Corp. Petition for Rulemaking to Reform Regulation of Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Rates for Interstate Special Access Services*, RM-10593, Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 20 FCC Rcd 1994, 1995-97, paras. 1-5 (2005) (*Special Access NPRM*).

² *Parties Asked to Refresh Record in the Special Access Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, WC Docket No. 05-25, Public Notice, 22 FCC Rcd 13352 (2007); *Parties Asked to Comment on Analytical Framework Necessary to Resolve Issues in the Special Access NPRM*, WC Docket No. 05-25, Public Notice, 24 FCC Rcd 13639 (2009).

³ *Data Requested in Special Access NPRM*, WC Docket No. 05-25, RM-10593, Public Notice, 25 FCC Rcd 15146 (2010); *Competition Data Requested in Special Access NPRM*, WC Docket No. 05-25, RM-10593, Public Notice, 26 FCC Rcd 14000 (2011).

Appendix: PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SOURCES

1. U.S. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE, FCC NEEDS TO IMPROVE ITS ABILITY TO MONITOR AND DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF COMPETITION IN DEDICATED ACCESS SERVICES (2006).
2. OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET, EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB BULL. NO. 10-02, UPDATE OF STATISTICAL AREA DEFINITIONS AND GUIDANCE ON THEIR USES (2009), <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/bulletins/b10-02.pdf>.
3. Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Metropolitan Areas (1993), <http://transition.fcc.gov/oet/info/maps/census/metroareas/> (last visited May 22, 2012).
4. *Boom and Bust*, PBS NEWSHOUR (Aug. 31, 2001), http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/cyberspace/july-dec01/telecom_8-31.html.
5. Qwest Communications International, Inc., Transferor, and CenturyTel, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, Transferee, Application for Transfer of Control Under Section 214 of the Communications Act, as Amended (filed May 10, 2010).
6. *Petition of Southern New England Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Hartford, et al., CT and Bridgeport, et al., CT MSA*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Feb 13, 2004) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
7. *Petition of Southern New England Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the New Haven, et al., CT and New London-Norwich, CT MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Feb. 18, 2005) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
8. *Petition of Embarq Local Operating Companies (Embarq) for Phase I and Phase II Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services in the Lima, Ohio and Mansfield, Ohio Metropolitan Statistical Areas and Phase I and Phase II Pricing Flexibility for Channel Termination Services in the Lima, Ohio Metropolitan Statistical Area*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Apr. 30, 2007) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
9. *Petition of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company LLC (CBT) for Phase I Pricing Flexibility for Special Access, Dedicated Transport Services and End-User Channel Terminations, and Phase II Pricing Flexibility for POP Channel Termination in the Cincinnati, Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Metropolitan Statistical Area, and Phase I Pricing Flexibility for Special Access Dedicated Transport Services and POP Channel Terminations in the Hamilton – Middletown, Ohio Metropolitan Statistical Service Area*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Nov. 27, 2007) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
10. *Access Charge Reform, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Interexchange Carrier Purchases of Switches Access Services Offered by Competitive Local Exchange Carriers, Petition of US West Communications, Inc. for Forbearance from Regulation as a Dominant Carrier in the Phoenix, Arizona MSA*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Mar. 20, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
11. *Sprint Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Nov. 16, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
12. *Sprint Local Telephone Companies Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Dec. 7, 2005) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).

13. *In the Matter or Petition of Nevada Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Reno, NV MSA*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 30, 2003) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
14. *ACS of Anchorage, Inc., ACS of Alaska, Inc., and ACS of Fairbanks, Inc. Petition for Phase II Pricing Flexibility Pursuant to Sections 69.709 and 69.711 of the Commission's Rules*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 29, 2010) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
15. *Petition of Windstream Kentucky East, LLC for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Lexington, Kentucky MSA and Ashland, Kentucky MSA*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed June 13, 2008) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
16. *Qwest Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Dec. 31, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
17. *Petition of Pacific Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Bakersfield, Fresno, Los Angeles-Long Beach, et al., Oxnard-Simi Valley-Ventura, Santa Rosa-Petaluma, and Stockton, CA MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 30, 2003) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
18. *Petition of Pacific Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the San Jose, Los Angeles/Long Beach, and San Francisco/Oakland, CA MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Dec. 19, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
19. *Petition of Pacific Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 25, 2002) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
20. *Petition of Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Illinois), Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated (Ameritech Indiana), Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Michigan), The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Ohio), and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (Ameritech Wisconsin) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Specific MSA and Non-MSA, WI*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 19, 2006) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
21. *Petition of Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Illinois), Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated (Ameritech Indiana), Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Michigan), The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Ohio), and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (Ameritech Wisconsin) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Specific MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 30, 2003) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
22. *Petition of Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Illinois), Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated (Ameritech Indiana), Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Michigan), The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Ohio), and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (Ameritech Wisconsin) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Specific MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Feb. 13, 2004) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
23. *Petition of Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Illinois), Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated (Ameritech Indiana), Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Michigan), The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Ohio), and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (Ameritech Wisconsin) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Specific MSAs*, Petition

- for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Dec. 19, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
24. *Petition of Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated (Ameritech Indiana) and The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Ohio) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Specific MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 12, 2007) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 25. Letter from Davida M. Grant, Senior Counsel for Ameritech Operating Companies, *et al.*, to Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, FCC, with appendix (filed Jan. 18, 2002) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 26. Letter from Davida M. Grant, Senior Counsel for Ameritech Operating Companies, *et al.*, to William Canton, Acting Secretary, FCC, with appendix (filed Mar. 22, 2002) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 27. Letter from Craig J. Brown, Senior Attorney for Qwest, to Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, FCC, with attachment (filed Jan. 4, 2002) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 28. *Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Fayetteville-Springdale, AR MSA, Non-MSA, KS and Non-MSA, MO*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 19, 2006) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 29. *Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Corpus Christi TX, McAllen-Edinburg-Mission TX, Memphis, TN-AR-MS, Midland TX, Lawton OK, Tyler TX, and Wichita KS MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Feb. 18, 2005) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 30. *Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Fayetteville-Springdale AR, Fort Smith AR-OK, Little Rock-North Little Rock AR, Topeka KS, Wichita KS, Beaumont-Port Arthur TX, and Midland TX MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan 30, 2003) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 31. *Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Joplin MO, St. Joseph MO, Abilene TX, Brownsville-Harlingen TX, Longview-Marshall TX, Waco TX, and Wichita Falls TX MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Feb 13, 2004) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 32. *Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Amarillo TX, and St. Louis MO MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Dec. 19, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 33. *Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's rules for the Specific MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Jan. 25, 2008) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 34. *Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Aug. 2, 2002) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
 35. *Verizon Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Jan. 16, 2004) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).

36. *Verizon Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Jan. 28, 2005) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
37. *Verizon Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Dec. 13, 2002) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
38. *Verizon Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Nov. 29, 2001) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
39. *Access Charge Reform, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Interexchange Carrier Purchases of Switched Access Services Offered by Competitive Local Exchange Carriers, Petition of U S West Communications, Inc. for Forbearance from Regulation as a Dominant Carrier in the Phoenix, Arizona MSA*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Aug. 24, 2000) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
40. *Petition of Pacific Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the San Jose, San Francisco, Sacramento, Los Angeles/Long Beach, Orange County, Oakland and San Diego CA MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Nov. 17, 2000) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
41. *Petition of Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Illinois), Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated (Ameritech Indiana), Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ameritech Michigan), and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (Ameritech Wisconsin) for Pricing Flexibility Under Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for Specific MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Nov. 17, 2000) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
42. *Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Pricing Flexibility as Specified in Section 69.727 of the Commission's Rules for the Austin/San Marcos TX, Amarillo TX, El Paso TX, Dallas/Ft. Worth TX, Corpus Christi TX, Houston TX, Lubbock TX, San Antonio TX, Kansas City MO-KS, St. Louis MO, Springfield MO, Little Rock AR, Oklahoma City OK, Tulsa OK and Topeka KS MSAs*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and appendices (filed Nov. 17, 2000) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
43. *Verizon Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Nov. 17, 2000) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
44. *Verizon Petition for Pricing Flexibility for Special Access and Dedicated Transport Services*, Petition for Pricing Flexibility and attachments (filed Dec. 18, 2000) (available on the Commission's Electronic Tariff Filing System).
45. U.S. DEP'T OF JUSTICE & FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, HORIZONTAL MERGER GUIDELINES (2010), available at <http://www.justice.gov/atr/public/guidelines/hmg-2010.pdf>.
46. Louis Kaplow, *Why (Ever) Define Markets?*, 124 HARV. L. REV. 437 (2010).
47. William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, *Market Power in Antitrust Cases*, 94 HARV. L. REV. 937 (1981).
48. Scott M. Schoenwald, *Regulating Competition in the Interexchange Telecommunications Market: the Dominant / Nondominant Carrier Approach and the Evolution of Forbearance*, 49 FED. COMM. L.J. 367 (1997).
49. Elizabeth E. Bailey & William J. Baumol, *Deregulation and the Theory of Contestable Markets*, 1 YALE J. ON REG. 111 (1984).

50. LEE L. SELWYN & HELEN E. GOLDING, *Revisiting the Regulatory Status of Broadband Internet Access: A Policy Framework for Net Neutrality and an Open Competitive Internet*, 63 FED. COMM. L.J. 91 (2010).
51. DARREN BUSH & SALVATORE MASSA, *RETHINKING THE POTENTIAL COMPETITION DOCTRINE*, 2004 WIS. L. REV. 1035 (2004).
52. AUSTRALIAN COMPETITION & CONSUMER COMMISSION, *MERGER GUIDELINES (2008)*, available at [HTTP://EC.EUROPA.EU/COMPETITION/MERGERS/LEGISLATION/MERGER_COMPILATION.PDF](http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/legislation/merger_compilation.pdf).
53. EUROPEAN COMMISSION, *EU COMPETITION LAW: RULES APPLICABLE TO MERGERS (2010)*, available at http://www.accc.gov.au/content/item.phtml?itemId=809866&nodeId=3a4cf8c822dc673b7de0a525ac267933&fn=222_Merger%20guidelines_FA_WEB.pdf.
54. SECTION OF ANTITRUST LAW, AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, *ANTITRUST LAW DEVELOPMENTS* (6th ed. 2007).
55. SECTION OF ANTITRUST LAW, AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, *ANTITRUST LAW DEVELOPMENTS* (7th ed. 2012).
56. Randolph J. May, Free State Foundation, *Special Access and Sound Regulatory Principles: The Market-Oriented Case Against Going Backwards*, in 2 PERSPECTIVES FROM FSF SCHOLARS NO. 16 (2007), available at http://www.freestatefoundation.org/images/Special_Access_and_Regulatory_Principles.pdf.
57. Darren S. Tucker, *Potential Competition Under the 2010 Merger Guidelines*, 12 SEDONA CONF. J. 273 (2011).
58. Gregory J. Werden & Kristen C. Limarzi, *Forward-Looking Merger Analysis and the Superfluous Potential Competition Doctrine*, 77 ANTITRUST L.J. 109 (2010).
59. 1 WILLIAM C. HOLMES, *INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST LAW* (2012).
60. Eli Dourado, *Is there a Cybersecurity Market Failure?* (Mercatus Center, Working Paper No. 12-05, 2012), available at http://www.mercatus.org/sites/default/files/publication/Cybersecurity_Dourado_WP1205_0.pdf.
61. Daniel R. Shulman, *The Sedona Conference Commentary on the Role of Economics in Antitrust Law*, 7 SEDONA CONF. J. 69 (2006).
62. OMNIBUS BROADBAND INITIATIVE, FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, *CONNECTING AMERICA: THE NATIONAL BROADBAND PLAN (2010)*, available at <http://download.broadband.gov/plan/national-broadband-plan.pdf>.
63. STEPHEN BREYER, *REGULATION AND ITS REFORM* (1982).
64. OFFICE OF INFORMATION AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, 2011 REPORT TO CONGRESS ON THE BENEFITS AND COSTS OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND UNFUNDED MANDATES ON STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL ENTITIES (2011), available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/2011_cb/2011_cba_report.pdf.
65. FARID GASMI ET AL., *COST PROXY MODELS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS POLICY: A NEW EMPIRICAL APPROACH TO REGULATION* (2002).
66. JEAN-JACQUES LAFFONT & JEAN TIROLE, *COMPETITION IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS* (2001).
67. WILLIAM W. SHARKEY, *THE THEORY OF NATURAL MONOPOLY* (1982).
68. JONATHAN E. NUECHTERLEIN & PHILIP J. WEISER, *DIGITAL CROSSROADS: AMERICAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS POLICY IN THE INTERNET AGE* (2005).

69. Larry Fullerton, *Spotlight on the New Horizontal Merger Guidelines, Introduction: 2010 Horizontal Merger Guidelines*, 25 ANTITRUST 8 (2010).
70. Stephen G. Wood, Don C. Fletcher & Richard F. Holley, *Regulation, Deregulation, and Re-Regulation: An American Perspective*, 1987 B.Y.U. L. REV. 381 (1987).
71. Press Release, Comcast Corp., Comcast Introduces Metro Ethernet Services to Address Bandwidth, Application and Reliability Requirement of Mid-Sized Businesses (May 16, 2011), http://ww.comcastbusinessservices.com/Libraries/Ent_-_Press_Releases/Press-Release-Comcast-Metro-Ethernet.sflb.ashx.
72. U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Summary File 1 – (United States) [machine-readable data files], prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau, 2011.
73. Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology (OET), FCC Areas, Cellular Market Areas, <http://transition.fcc.gov/oet/info/maps/areas/#sec2> (last visited May 22, 2012).
74. Steven C. Salop, *The First Principles Approach to Antitrust, Kodak, and Antitrust at the Millennium*, 68 ANTITRUST L.J. 187 (2000).
75. JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE, S. Rep. No. 104-230 (1996) (Conf. Rep.).
76. TomTom Telecommunications Suite 2011.09 (formerly Tele Atlas North America), Wire Center Premium, for wire center boundary and central office location information.
77. U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns / ZIP Code Business Patterns: 2009, ftp://ftp.census.gov/econ2009/CBP_CSV/zbp09totals.zip (last modified Jul. 26, 2011).
78. U.S. Census Bureau, ZIP-Code Business Patterns & County Business Patterns: 2009, <http://www.census.gov/econ/cbp/index.html> (last visited May 22, 2012).
79. Tele Atlas North America, Inc. 2009. *Dynamap®/ZIP Code Boundary and Inventory Files v17.1*.
80. United States Census Bureau, Statistics of U.S. Businesses, Definitions, <http://www.census.gov/econ/susb/definitions.html> (last visited May 31, 2012).
81. United States Census Bureau, Statistics of U.S. Businesses: 2003: All Industries, United States, <http://www.census.gov/epcd/susb/2003/us/US--.HTM> (last visited May 31, 2012).
82. United States Energy Information Administration, 2003 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey – Overview of Commercial Buildings Characteristics, <http://www.eia.gov/emeu/cbecs2003/introduction.html> (last visited May 31, 2012).
83. United States Energy Information Administration, Glossary, <http://www.eia.gov/tools/glossary/index.cfm?id=C> (last visited May 31, 2012).
84. Verizon Wholesale, Density Cells/Zones (East), XLS File, http://www22.verizon.com/wholesale/searchportal/index.jsp?datapath=http://www22.verizon.com/wholesale/&ip_text=density&category (last visited June 1, 2012).
85. CenturyLink Wholesale, MSA & Geographic Zone Data for Pricing, Density, and Maintenance and Repair Intervals, <http://www.centurylink.com/wholesale/guides/geozone.html> (last visited June 1, 2012).
86. PRESS RELEASE, CABLEVISION SYS. CORP., CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION REPORTS FIRST QUARTER 2012 RESULTS (MAY 3, 2012), <HTTP://PHX.CORPORATE-IR.NET/EXTERNAL.FILE?ITEM=UGFYZW50SUQ9MTM4NTEYFENOAWXKSUQ9LTF8VHLWZT0Z&T=1>.
87. VERIZON COMMC'NS, INVESTOR QUARTERLY 1Q 2012 (Apr. 19, 2012), http://www22.verizon.com/idc/groups/public/documents/adacct/2012_q1_quarterly_bulletin.pdf.

88. CENTURYLINK, INC., 4TH QUARTER 2011 EARNINGS CONFERENCE CALL (Feb. 15, 2012), <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/External.File?item=UGFyZW50SUQ9MTI2NTcwfENoaWxkSUQ9LTF8VHlwZT0z&t=1>.
89. Press Release, Level 3 Commc'ns, Level 3 Reports First Quarter 2012 Results (May 3, 2012), <http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/LVLT/1848441576x0x565792/20a22a48-506f-4d16-958d-1b9c2d184014/1Q12-Earnings-Release.pdf>.
90. Press Release, Time Warner Cable Inc., Time Warner Cable Reports 2012 First-Quarter Results (Apr. 26, 2012), <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/External.File?item=UGFyZW50SUQ9MTM3MDA5fENoaWxkSUQ9LTF8VHlwZT0z&t=1>.
91. ZAYO GROUP, LLC, QUARTERLY REPORT (FORM 10-Q) (Feb. 14, 2012), <http://www.zayo.com/sites/default/files/FY%202012%20Q2%20Form%2010-Q.pdf>.
92. Zayo Group, LLC, On-Net Building List (Apr. 2012), <http://www.zayo.com/sites/default/files/zayo-on-net-building-list-april-2012.xls>.
93. Zayo Group, LLC, Dark Fiber On-Net Building List (Mar. 2012), http://www.zayo.com/sites/default/files/zayo-dark-fiber-only-building-list-march-2012_2.xls.
94. COMCAST CORPORATION, Q1 2012 EARNINGS CALL CORRECTED TRANSCRIPT (May 2, 2012), <http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/CMCSA/1673093330x0x565496/92bed363-2f65-408e-afaa-42a3479ce30d/CMCSA.20120502.pdf>.
95. Press Release, Windstream Corp., Windstream Reports Fourth-Quarter, Full-Year 2011 Results (Feb. 22, 2012), http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/ABEA-43PVYW/1848576102x0x544340/ad7434b0-51e0-456b-bc44-dbce1078a25e/Earnings_Release_4Q_2011.pdf.
96. Press Release, tw telecom inc., tw telecom Reports First Quarter 2012 Results (May 1, 2012), http://www.twtelecom.com/PDFs/Investors/Financial-Reporting/Q1_2012_Earnings_Release/.
97. COGENT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC., ANNUAL REPORT (FORM 10-K) (Feb 27, 2012), <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1158324/000104746912001587/a2207132z10-k.htm>.
98. Press Release, XO Holdings, Inc., XO Holdings Reports Second Quarter 2011 Results (Aug. 15, 2011), http://www.xo.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/about-xo/investor-relations/Annual_Reports/XO_Press_Release_8_15_11.pdf.
99. AT&T INC., AT&T FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL RESULTS, http://www.att.com/Investor/Earnings/1q12/master_1q12.pdf (last visited May 16, 2012).