Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Requests for Waiver and Review of)	
Decisions of the)	
Universal Service Administrator by)	
·)	
Ashtabula Area City Schools)	File Nos. SLD-831006 et al.
Ashtabula, Ohio et al.)	
)	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism)	

ORDER

Adopted: April 16, 2013 Released: April 16, 2013

By the Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. Consistent with precedent, we address requests from petitioners seeking review of 23 decisions made by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the E-rate program (more formally known as the schools and libraries universal service support program), and three petitions for reconsideration of decisions of the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) concerning nine applicants. The petitioners are seeking waivers of the FCC Form 471 application filing window deadline under the E-rate program. We grant 19 of the requests for review and two of the petitions for reconsideration, deny four requests for review, and dismiss one request for reconsideration.

¹ See Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Math and Science et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-487009 et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 9256, 9259-60, paras. 8 & 9 (2010) (Academy of Math and Science Order) (finding special circumstances exist to justify granting waiver requests where, for example, petitioners filed their FCC Forms 471 within 14 days after the FCC Form 471 filing window deadline; or filed their FCC Forms 471 on time, but failed to timely file their certifications); Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Anderson Elementary School et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-789495 et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 5319, 5319-20, para. 2 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2012) (treating late-filed item 21 attachments like late-filed certifications); Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy for Academic Excellence et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-539076 et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 4747, 4749, para. 4 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2007) (finding special circumstances exist to justify granting waiver requests where petitioners were subject to technical problems interfacing with USAC's computer system).

² Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of USAC may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

³ The Bureau has the authority to act on petitions requesting reconsideration of final actions taken pursuant to delegated authority. 47 C.F.R. § 1.106(a)(1).

⁴ The requests for waiver and review are listed in Appendices A-C, and E. The applications at issue in the petitions for reconsideration are listed in Appendices D and F. Section 54.507(c) of the Commission's rules provides for E-rate funds to be made available on a first-come, first-served basis, but requires USAC to implement an initial funding window that treats all applicants filing within that window as if their applications were simultaneously (continued...)

- 2. Based on the facts and circumstances of these specific cases, we find that good cause exists to grant the appeals of the 19 applicants listed in Appendices A-C.⁶ We grant the three appeals listed in Appendix A because we find that the applications were filed within the filing window.⁷ We grant the two waiver requests listed in Appendix B because those petitioners' applications were filed within 14 days of the close of the filing window.⁸ We grant the 14 waiver requests listed in Appendix C because each of those petitioners' applications was submitted on time but for their certifications or item 21 attachments.⁹ We also grant two petitions for reconsideration concerning the eight applicants listed in Appendix D because, on reconsideration of the record, we have determined that each of those petitioners' applications was submitted 1) within 14 days of the close of the filing window, but for their certifications or item 21 attachments; or 2) within a reasonable period of the filing window's close, despite a technical problem interfacing with USAC's computer system.¹⁰
- 3. Consistent with precedent, 11 we also waive section 54.720(a) of the Commission's rules, which requires applicants to seek review of a USAC decision within 60 days, for Cedar Ridge School District, Elk Hill Farm School, and St. Mary of the Assumption School, which submitted their appeals to the Commission or USAC within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of adverse earlier decisions by USAC. 12

⁵ See Appendices A-F.

⁶ See Appendices A, B, and C. Generally, the Commission's rules may be waived if good cause is shown. 47 C.F.R. § 1.3. The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (*Northeast Cellular*). In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969); *Northeast Cellular*, 897 F.2d at 1166. Waiver of the Commission's rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest. *Northeast Cellular*, 897 F.2d at 1166.

⁷ See Appendix A.

⁸ See Appendix B.

⁹ See Appendix C.

¹⁰ See Appendix D. Section 1.106(c)(2) of the Commission's rules provides that a petition for reconsideration of an order may rely on facts not previously presented to the Commission if consideration of the facts relied on is required by the public interest. We find that the two petitions for reconsideration have raised facts demonstrating that a waiver of our rules is warranted. 47 C.F.R. § 1.106(c)(2).

¹¹ Requests for Review and/or Requests for Waiver of the Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Animas School District 6 et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-427902 et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 16903, 16905, para. 4 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2011) (granting petitioners waivers of the Commission's filing deadline for appeals because they submitted their appeals to the Commission within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of USAC's adverse decision).

¹² 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(a).

¹³ See Appendix E; 47 C.F.R. § 1.3; Academy of Math and Science Order, 25 FCC Rcd at 9261, para. 13 (denying requests for waiver when the petitioners failed to present special circumstances justifying a waiver of section 54.507(c) of the Commission's rules).

the petition for reconsideration listed in Appendix F because it fails to identify any material error, omission, or reason warranting reconsideration and relies on arguments that have been fully considered and rejected by the Bureau within the same proceeding.¹⁴

- 5. At this time, we find no evidence of waste, fraud or abuse in the record with respect to the petitioners for which we grant relief. We therefore remand the underlying applications listed in Appendices A through D to USAC for further action consistent with this order. In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the services or the petitioners' applications or as to the petitioners' compliance or non-compliance with any other E-rate program rules.
- 6. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that the requests for review and/or waiver filed by the petitioners listed in Appendices A through C ARE GRANTED and their underlying applications ARE REMANDED to USAC for further consideration in accordance with the terms of this order.
- 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, 1.106, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, 1.106, and 54.722(a), that the petitions for reconsideration filed concerning the applications listed in Appendix D ARE GRANTED and the applications ARE REMANDED to USAC for further consideration in accordance with the terms of this order.
- 8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3, that section 54.720(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(a), IS WAIVED for Cedar Ridge School District, Elk Hill Farm School, and St. Mary of the Assumption School.
- 9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that section 54.507(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.507(c), IS WAIVED for the applications listed in Appendices B through D to the limited extent provided herein.
- 10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a), that the requests for review and/or waiver filed by the petitioners listed in Appendix E ARE DENIED.

¹⁴ See Appendix F; 47 C.F.R § 1.106(p)(1), (3).

11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, 1.106, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, 1.106, and 54.722(a), that the petition for reconsideration filed by the petitioner listed in Appendix F IS DISMISSED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kimberly A. Scardino Chief Telecommunications Access Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau

APPENDIX A

Requests Granted FCC Form 471 Applications Filed Within the Filing Window

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed
Charles Churn Christian Academy Brooklyn, NY	857884	2012	Dec. 26, 2012
Laramie County School District 2 Pine Bluffs, WY	834646	2012	Dec. 26, 2012
Team Success School of Excellence Bradenton, FL	871450	2012	Dec. 26, 2012

APPENDIX B

Requests Granted FCC Form 471 Applications Filed Within 14 Days of the Close of the Filing Window

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed
Central A & M School District 21	875648	2012	Dec. 27, 2012
Assumption, IL			
George Washington Carver Academy	875224	2012	Jan. 15, 2013
Highland Park, MI			

APPENDIX C

Requests Granted Waivers Granted for Other Special Circumstances

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver
			Filed
Ashtabula Area City Schools	831006	2012	Jan. 8, 2013
Ashtabula, OH			
Camas School District 117	873941	2012	Jan. 22, 2013
Camas, WA			
Cedar Ridge School District	859386	2012	Jan. 18, 2013
Newark, AR			
Douglas County School District 15	855912	2012	Feb. 5, 2013
Days Creek, OR			
Elk Hill Farm School	835717	2012	Jan. 29, 2013
Goochland, VA			
Hereford Independent School District	870486	2012	Jan. 14, 2013
Hereford, TX			
Hodgen Elementary School	870499	2012	Dec. 20, 2012
Hodgen, OK			

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver
			Filed
Lewis & Clark Public Library	825092	2012	Dec. 26, 2012
Helena, MT			
Our Lady – Mt. Carmel West School	875013	2012	Jan. 28, 2013
Cleveland, OH			
Penelope Independent School District	799090	2011	Jan. 15, 2013
Penelope, TX			
St. Mary of the Assumption School	875680	2012	Feb. 8, 2013
Upper Marlboro, MD			
Seven Hills Charter Public School	871635	2012	Jan. 4, 2013
Worcester, MA			
Trenton Special School District	873251	2012	Dec. 21, 2012
Trenton, TN			
Wellston Independent School District 4	868268	2012	Dec. 31, 2012
Wellston, OK			

APPENDIX D Petitions for Reconsideration Granted

Applicant	Application	Funding	Date Request for
	Number(s)	Year	Reconsideration
			Filed
Beach Cities Chabad	875306, 875308	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
Redondo Beach, CA			
Chaya Mushka Children's House	875303, 875304	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
Sandy Springs, GA			
Cheder at the Ohel – New Campus	875292, 875300,	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
Valley Stream, NY	875301		
East Haven Public Schools	876867, 832870	2012	Dec. 20, 2012
East Haven, CT			
Emek Hebrew Academy	875140, 875361	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
Sherman Oaks, CA			
Torah Academy – Lawrence Cedarhurst			
High School	875362, 875363	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
Cedarhurst, NY			
USA Outreach	875357, 875358	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
Valley Village, CA			
Yeshiva Rabbi Samson Raphael Hirsch	875350, 875359,	2012	Jan. 10, 2013
School	875360		
New York, NY			

APPENDIX E

Requests Denied

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver
	Number	1 car	Filed
Evanston Township High School	818705	2011	Dec. 17, 2012
Evanston, IL	877987	2012	ŕ
Mary Queen of Heaven School	876167	2012	Jan. 3, 2013
Brooklyn, NY			
St. Edward School	843801	2012	Dec. 21, 2012
Chillicothe, IL			
Watson Chapel School District	877867	2012	Feb. 7, 2013
Pine Bluff, AR			

APPENDIX F

Petition for Reconsideration Dismissed

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Reconsideration Filed
Oregon Howell School District R-3 Koshkonong, MO	876827	2012	Dec. 21, 2012