**DA 14-1627**

**Released: November 7, 2014**

**NOTICE OF GRANT OF CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION FOR PURPLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., TO PROVIDE INTERNET PROTOCOL CAPTIONED TELEPHONE SERVICE**

**CG DOCKET NOS. 03-123 & 10-51**

By the Acting Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

1. **Introduction**
2. In this Public Notice, the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (CGB or Bureau) of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) conditionally grants the application of Purple Communications, Inc. (Purple) for renewal of its certification as a provider of Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service (IP CTS) supported by the Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) Interstate Fund (Fund). However, as described below, we find that Purple has failed to operate the web and wireless applications of ClearCaptions IP CTS in compliance with this Commission’s TRS 911 emergency calling requirements, from the commencement of service in 2011 through the date of this Public Notice. We therefore condition this renewal of certification on, among other things, Purple’s discontinuance of the Fund-supported provision of IP CTS through web and wireless applications, effective 35 days after the date of this Public Notice. This condition may be removed if Purple demonstrates that it has adopted and is utilizing measures sufficient to ensure full compliance with the Commission’s TRS minimum standards and other applicable rules and orders.
3. As further discussed below, we also condition Purple’s renewal of certification on (1) Purple’s timely submission of the information required by section 64.606(a)(2)(ii)(F) of the Commission’s rules[[1]](#footnote-2) and (2) the Bureau’s determination, based on further assessment and inspection of Purple’s IP CTS operations, that Purple is actually complying and will continue to comply with all applicable minimum TRS standards, as well as other applicable rules and orders. To assist it in reaching a final determination as to Purple’s qualifications for certification, the Bureau may conduct one or more additional unannounced on-site inspections of Purple’s facilities and request additional documentation relating to Purple’s provision of IP CTS and compliance with applicable rules and orders.[[2]](#footnote-3)
4. **Background**
   1. **Forms of IP CTS Provided by Purple**
5. The Commission’s rules for certifying Internet-based TRS (iTRS) providers as eligible for compensation from the Fund are intended to ensure that iTRS providers receiving certification are qualified to provide iTRS in compliance with the Commission’s rules and to eliminate waste, fraud, and abuse through improved oversight of such providers.[[3]](#footnote-4) Our rules provide that certification of Internet-based TRS providers will be granted if the provider has established that it will meet or exceed all non-waived minimum standards for TRS.[[4]](#footnote-5) Each applicant for iTRS certification is required to submit specific types of documentary evidence demonstrating its compliance with Commission rules and orders.[[5]](#footnote-6)
6. Purple provides various forms of TRS,[[6]](#footnote-7) including IP CTS.[[7]](#footnote-8) Purple was granted certification to provide IP CTS on November 14, 2008,[[8]](#footnote-9) and commenced providing IP CTS on January 9, 2011.[[9]](#footnote-10) Purple’s IP CTS is known as “ClearCaptions” and can be accessed in a number of ways. Some Purple customers access the service by means of telephones that are connected directly to the public switched telephone network (PSTN). For example, a Purple customer using an Ensemble phone, sold by Clarity, has a direct connection to the PSTN and can place and receive calls in much the same way as hearing users do. Captions are provided and appear on the screen for such phones by means of a separate broadband connection between the telephone and a Purple call center. Similarly, with Purple’s enterprise-based IP CTS, the IP CTS user receives captions on the screen of a Cisco phone that is connected to the PSTN through the same enterprise telecommunications system as that used for voice telephone calls.
7. Purple’s web and wireless applications for accessing IP CTS, however, use a differently configured network connection. A user of these applications who wishes to place a call connects to Purple’s web site and enters the user’s own telephone number and the destination number. After receiving this information from the user, Purple’s call center sets up a voice connection to both the user and the destination number. The call is essentially set up as a three-way conference call with the IP CTS communications assistant (CA) at the call center muted. The CA then produces captions for what the called party says for delivery to the IP CTS user.
   1. **Application for Certification**
8. Purple’s certification to provide IP CTS was initially set to expire on November 13, 2013.[[10]](#footnote-11) On August 14, 2013, Purple filed an application for renewal of its certification to remain eligible for compensation from the Fund.[[11]](#footnote-12) In addition to reviewing Purple’s application filing, the Bureau has taken a number of steps to assess Purple’s eligibility for full certification as an IP CTS provider. Prior to the initial November 13, 2013, expiration date, the Bureau extended the term of Purple’s certification for 90 days to allow the Bureau additional time to evaluate Purple’s application and to conduct on-site inspections of Purple’s IP CTS facilities.[[12]](#footnote-13) On January 10, 2014, the Bureau sought additional information from Purple regarding its handling of 911 calls.[[13]](#footnote-14) Subsequently, the Bureau extended the term of Purple’s certification for an additional 90-day period.[[14]](#footnote-15) On February 25, 2014, the Bureau conducted an inspection of Purple’s IP CTS call center in Norfolk, Virginia. Purple commenced providing IP CTS from a call center located in Quezon, Philippines, in February 2014[[15]](#footnote-16) and closed all its IP CTS call centers in the United States at the end of April 2014.[[16]](#footnote-17) On May 12, 2014, the Bureau extended the term of Purple’s certification for an additional 90-day period.[[17]](#footnote-18) On July 22-23, 2014, the Bureau conducted an inspection of Purple’s IP CTS call center in the Philippines. On August 5, 2014, the Bureau conducted an inspection of Purple’s offices in Rockville, Maryland. On August 8, 2014, the Bureau extended Purple’s IP CTS certification for an additional 90 days.[[18]](#footnote-19) Subsequently, to test Purple’s handling of emergency calls, the Bureau conducted multiple test calls to 911 using Purple’s IP CTS web and wireless applications.
9. **findings**
   1. **911 Service Deficiencies**
      1. **Purple’s Failure to Allow Any 911 Calling Prior to May 2014**
10. As explained in the *Purple 911 Withholding Order*, released the same day as this Public Notice,[[19]](#footnote-20) the Commission repeatedly has emphasized the critical importance of access to 911 emergency services, including in the specific context of TRS.[[20]](#footnote-21) TRS providers must be capable of handling any type of call normally provided by telecommunications carriers, including 911 calls, unless the Commission determines that it is not technologically feasible to do so.[[21]](#footnote-22) In addition, the Commission has established specific requirements for the processing and routing of emergency calls to 911 by providers of Internet-based relay services, including captioned telephone services that allow users to initiate calls by contacting providers over the Internet.[[22]](#footnote-23) Specifically, such providers must accept and handle emergency calls to 911, give them priority over other calls, route them to an appropriate public safety answering point (PSAP) or other appropriate answering point or local emergency authority corresponding to the caller’s location, and deliver specified name, location, and callback information to the PSAP.[[23]](#footnote-24) When an IP CTS provider handles a 911 call from an Internet-based TRS user, the provider must, among other things: (1) access, either directly or via a third party, a commercially available database that will allow the provider to determine an appropriate PSAP, statewide default answering point, or appropriate local emergency authority and to relay the call to that entity; (2) request, at the beginning of the call, the caller’s name and location information; and (3) deliver to the PSAP or other appropriate entity such name and location information, as well as the provider’s name, the CA’s callback number, and the CA’s identification number, at the outset of the outbound leg of an emergency call.[[24]](#footnote-25)
11. As explained in the *Purple 911 Withholding Order*, Purple’s IP CTS, as configured for access using web and wireless applications, allows users to initiate calls by contacting providers over the Internet.[[25]](#footnote-26) Accordingly, Purple must comply with section 64.605(a) of the Commission’s rules, as well as the more general 911 call handling requirement under section 64.604.[[26]](#footnote-27)
12. In December 2013, while reviewing Purple’s application for renewal of certification, Bureau staff noticed that Purple’s web site advised TRS callers as follows:

**Users may not place 911 calls through the ClearCaptions website or application.** The Company strongly advises you that any 911 emergency call should be made through a standard telephone, or if necessary because of severe hearing impairment, by a traditional text telephone (TTY), whenever possible. Dialing 911 from a traditional phone (or TTY) remains the most reliable and fastest method of reaching emergency response personnel. If you use any captioning services to place a 911 call, you should be aware that any such use is subject to important limitations and risks.[[27]](#footnote-28)

In response to a follow-up inquiry from the Bureau, Purple acknowledged that it did not handle emergency calls to 911 by users of Purple’s web and wireless IP CTS applications.[[28]](#footnote-29)

1. As explained in the *Purple 911 Withholding Order*, Purple’s practice of not supporting or processing 911 calls through its web and wireless applications violates sections 64.604 and 64.605 of the Commission’s rules. Although Purple contends that its failure to support 911 calling was permitted pursuant to a footnote in the *Emergency Call Handling Order*,[[29]](#footnote-30) Purple’s construction of the *Emergency Call Handling Order* is clearly inconsistent with the text, context, and underlying policy of that Commission order and the TRS emergency calling requirements.[[30]](#footnote-31) Thus, the *Emergency Call Handling Order* does not exempt Purple’s web and wireless IP CTS applications from the TRS emergency call handling rule.
2. Further, during this period Purple not only failed to comply with the specific emergency call handling requirements of section 64.605, but also prohibited its customers from even placing 911 calls through Purple’s IP CTS web and wireless applications and from obtaining captions on such calls. Independently of the *Emergency Call Handling Order*, TRS providers were and are required to be capable of handling any type of call normally provided by telecommunications carriers, including 911 calls, unless the Commission determines that it is not technologically feasible to do so.[[31]](#footnote-32)
3. Purple argues that “[f]rom a public safety perspective, it is much safer for the assisted user to dial emergency personnel directly through the assisted user's standard phone.”[[32]](#footnote-33) Under the Commission’s rules, however, it is not for Purple to decide whether its customers should use Purple’s relay service when making an emergency call.[[33]](#footnote-34) Although the Commission has long recognized that the use of TRS, in general, “represents a less efficient method of accessing emergency services,”[[34]](#footnote-35) it has nonetheless consistently required that all forms of TRS support 911 emergency calling.[[35]](#footnote-36)
   * 1. **Purple’s Post-May 2014 911 Calling Deficiencies**
4. On June 2, 2014, while continuing to maintain that its web and wireless applications need not comply with the Commission’s 911 rules, Purple reported to the Commission that it had taken the necessary steps to provide 911 capabilities for its web and wireless applications.[[36]](#footnote-37) Purple stated that IP CTS web and wireless 911 calls are routed to a national emergency call center, where the operator confirms the address with the caller and then routes the call to the local PSAP.[[37]](#footnote-38) In a June 12, 2014, conference call with Bureau staff, Purple acknowledged that the process put in place did not provide callback information to the PSAP that would enable the 911 caller to receive captions on a return call from the PSAP.[[38]](#footnote-39) Purple later informed the Bureau that it corrected this problem effective July 9, 2014.[[39]](#footnote-40)
5. Subsequently, however, multiple test calls were attempted by registered users during September and October 2014, under FCC staff supervision, using Purple’s web and wireless applications for accessing ClearCaptions IP CTS, to assess Purple’s handling of 911 calls placed through those applications. Those test calls were not routed to a PSAP or other emergency authority serving the test caller’s registered location or actual location, nor were they routed to a national emergency call center, as represented in Purple’s June 2 letter to the Commission.[[40]](#footnote-41) Instead, the test calls were routed to a police station or local 911 PSAP serving Purple’s Rocklin, California headquarters. Based on the results of these recent Commission-supervised tests, we find that Purple’s ClearCaptions IP CTS remained out of compliance with the 911 emergency calling requirements when accessed through web and wireless applications, at a minimum, through October 9, 2014.[[41]](#footnote-42)
   * 1. **Certification Conditioned on Discontinuance of Fund-Supported IP CTS via Web and Wireless Applications**
6. In light of Purple’s history of noncompliance with TRS 911 emergency calling requirements since the inception of its service, as well as the continuing uncertainty as to Purple’s current ability to comply,[[42]](#footnote-43) we are unable to determine that Purple’s current practices with respect to its provision of IP CTS to users via its web and wireless-based applications meet or exceed the TRS minimum standards for handling emergency calls.[[43]](#footnote-44) Therefore, as a condition of the grant of Purple’s application to provide IP CTS, Purple is required to cease providing Fund-supported IP CTS via its web or wireless applications, effective 35 days after the date of this Public Notice. In other words, Purple may not request or receive Fund compensation for IP CTS provided via web or wireless applications. Further, to the extent that Purple continues to provide IP CTS via such applications without requesting compensation from the Fund, Purple must provide clear, unambiguous warnings to users that these applications may not be used for emergency calls.
7. These conditions may be removed if Purple demonstrates that it has adopted and is utilizing measures that are sufficient to ensure that its provision of IP CTS, for web and wireless-based IP CTS users, including acceptance and handling of emergency calls to 911,[[44]](#footnote-45) meets or exceeds all TRS minimum standards and complies with all applicable Commission rules and orders. In this regard, recent tests have also raised questions about whether Purple’s handling of incoming (non-emergency) calls placed to registered users with IP CTS web and wireless applications complies with TRS minimum standards. In October 2014, Bureau staff conducted tests to assess Purple’s performance in handling incoming calls to a registered user who accesses IP CTS through Purple’s web or wireless applications. In two out of the three test calls, the calls were not completed to the registered user’s telephone more than two minutes after initiation of the call.[[45]](#footnote-46) Therefore, Purple also must demonstrate, among other things, that it will accept and handle, in compliance with all applicable Commission rules and orders, including the TRS speed-of-answer rule,[[46]](#footnote-47) incoming calls to registered users who access IP CTS through its web and wireless applications.
   1. **Measures to Prevent Fund-Supported Service to Non-Registered and Non-Certified Users**
8. In the *IP CTS Reform Order*,[[47]](#footnote-48) the Commission adopted a requirement that all IP CTS providers and applicants for certification to provide IP CTS must submit “a description of measures taken by such applicants or providers to ensure that they do not and will not request or collect payment from the TRS Fund for service to consumers who do not satisfy the registration and certification requirements in § 64.604(c)(9), and an explanation of how these measures provide such assurance.”[[48]](#footnote-49) To help ensure that we have all information needed to evaluate Purple’s application for full certification, we require Purple, as an additional condition of this grant of certification, to submit the information required by section 64.606(a)(2)(ii)(F) within 30 days of the release of this Public Notice.
9. **conclusion**
10. We grant conditional certification to Purple subject to the specific conditions set forth herein. This conditional certification permits Purple to provide Fund-supported IP CTS through non-web, non-wireless applications, pending a final determination of Purple’s qualifications.[[49]](#footnote-50) To assist it in reaching a final determination, the Bureau may conduct one or more unannounced on-site inspections of Purple’s facilities and request additional documentation relating to Purple’s provision of IP CTS.
11. This grant of conditional certification is without prejudice to the Commission’s final determination of Purple’s qualifications and is dependent on the Commission verifying the information provided in Purple’s application, including its supplemental filings and the additional information provided pursuant to this Public Notice, and on the veracity of the applicant’s representations that it will provide service in compliance with all pertinent Commission requirements.
12. Ultimate conversion to full certification will be granted if, based on on-site inspections and our review of documentation and other relevant information, the Commission finds that Purple is in compliance with the Commission’s rules and orders and that Purple is qualified to receive compensation from the Fund for the provision of IP CTS. If, at any time during the period in which Purple is operating pursuant to this conditional certification, the Commission determines that Purple has failed to provide sufficient supporting documentation for any of the assertions in Purple’s application, determines that any of those assertions cannot be supported, or finds evidence of any apparent rule violation, fraud, waste, or abuse, the Commission will take appropriate action, which may include the denial of Purple’s application. In the event of such denial, Purple’s conditional certification will automatically terminate thirty-five (35) days after such denial. If, however, the Commission grants full certification, Purple, like all iTRS providers, must continue to operate in compliance with all applicable Commission rules and orders.
13. Redacted copies of Purple’s application and supplemental filings are available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Suite CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 418-0270. The full text of this Public Notice is similarly available for public inspection or copying. These documents may also be purchased from the Commission’s duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI), Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. Customers may contact BCPI at its web site: www.bcpiweb.com, or by calling 1-800-378-3160. Redacted copies of Purple’s application and supplemental filings may also be found by searching on the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) at http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs (insert CG Docket No. 10-51 into the Proceeding block).
14. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY). This Public Notice can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at: http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/dro.
15. For further information, please contact Gregory Hlibok, Chief, Disability Rights Office, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, at (202) 559-5158 (voice/videophone) or Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov (email).

**-FCC-**
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