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**ORDER**

**Adopted: November 7, 2014 Released: November 7, 2014**

By the Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. In this Order, pursuant to section 10(c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), we extend by 90 days the date by which CenturyLink’s petition requesting forbearance shall be deemed granted in the absence of a Commission decision that the petition fails to meet the standards for forbearance under section 10(a) of the Act.[[1]](#footnote-1)
2. On December 13, 2013, CenturyLink filed a petition requesting that the Commission forbear from “dominant carrier regulation and the *Computer Inquiry* tariffing requirement with respect to all of its packet-switched and optical transmission services” that are subject to those rules.[[2]](#footnote-2) The Bureau sought comment on CenturyLink’s petition on January 14, 2014.[[3]](#footnote-3) Section 10(c) of the Act states that a petition for forbearance shall be deemed granted if the Commission does not deny the petition for failure to meet the requirements for forbearance under subsection 10(a) within one year after the Commission receives it, unless the one-year period is extended by the Commission.[[4]](#footnote-4) The Commission may extend the initial one-year period by an additional 90 days if the Commission finds that an extension is necessary to meet the requirements of subsection 10(a).[[5]](#footnote-5)
3. The CenturyLink Petition raises significant questions regarding whether forbearance from application of certain statutory and regulatory requirements for CenturyLink’s provision of telecommunications services meets the statutory requirements set forth in section 10(a) of the Act. Further, on October 3 and October 14, 2014, CenturyLink filed *ex parte* letters with the Commission providing new data in response to an Information, Data, and Document Request issued by the Bureau.[[6]](#footnote-6) We conclude that additional time is required to fully examine whether the forbearance requested by CenturyLink meets the statutory requirements set forth in section 10(a) of the Act. A 90-day extension is therefore necessary under section 10(c) of the Act.[[7]](#footnote-7)
4. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 160, and sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91 and 0.291, that the date on which the petition seeking forbearance filed by CenturyLink shall be deemed granted, in the absence of a Commission denial of the petition for failure to meet the statutory standards for forbearance, IS EXTENDED to March 13, 2015.
5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Lisa S. Gelb

Deputy Chief

Wireline Competition Bureau
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