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Order

**Adopted: March 24, 2014 Released: March 24, 2014**

By the Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. Consistent with precedent,[[1]](#footnote-2) we grant the requests fromDulce School District, Dulce, New Mexico (Dulce) and Ridgefield Park School District, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey (Ridgefield Park) (together petitioners)[[2]](#footnote-3) seeking review of decisions made by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the E-rate program (more formally known as the schools and libraries universal service support program).[[3]](#footnote-4)
2. In both instances, USAC reduced funding based on incorrect service start date information provided on the FCC Forms 486 submitted by Dulce and Ridgefield Park. Our review of the record supports petitioners’ contentions that they inadvertently submitted incorrect service start dates when filing their FCC Forms 486 Receipt of Service Confirmation and Children’s Internet Protection Act and Technology Plan Certification Form.[[4]](#footnote-5) We therefore grant petitioners’ requests and direct USAC to adjust the service start date with respect to the applications at issue.
3. On our own motion, we also waive section 54.507(d) of the Commission’s rules and direct USAC to waive any procedural deadlines, such as the invoicing deadline, that might be necessary to effectuate our ruling.[[5]](#footnote-6) We find good cause to waive section 54.507(d) because filing an appeal of a denial of funding is likely to cause Dulce and Ridgefield Park to miss the program’s subsequent procedural deadlines in that funding year.[[6]](#footnote-7)
4. We therefore remand the underlying applications for Dulce and Ridgefield Park to USAC for further action consistent with this order. To ensure that the underlying applications are resolved expeditiously, we direct USAC to complete its review of the applications and issue a funding commitment or denial based on a complete review and analysis of the applications no later than 90 calendar days from the release date of this order. In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the services or Dulce and Ridgefield Park’s application.
5. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that the requests for review filed by Dulce School District, Dulce, New Mexico and Ridgefield Park School District, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey ARE GRANTED and the underlying applications ARE REMANDED to USAC for further consideration in accordance with the terms of this order.
6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that section 54.507(d) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.507(d), IS WAIVED for Dulce School District, Dulce, New Mexico and Ridgefield Park School District, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey, to the limited extent provided herein.
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