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On May 17, 2013, the Commission conditionally granted forbearance to price cap carriers from the “Cost Assignment Rules” that generally require carriers to assign costs to build and maintain the network and revenues from services provided to specific categories.[[1]](#footnote-2) The grant of forbearance from these rules was conditioned on the Wireline Competition Bureau’s approval of a compliance plan to be filed by each price cap carrier electing to take advantage of the forbearance and the approval of the related information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act.[[2]](#footnote-3) In the same Order, the Commission conditioned the grant of forbearance from the filing requirement of ARMIS Report 43-01, the “Annual Summary Report,” on Bureau approval of the compliance plan.[[3]](#footnote-4)

On December 5, 2013, CenturyLink filed its Compliance Plan with respect to three of the four conditions.[[4]](#footnote-5) CenturyLink explained that it was not at this time subject to the fourth condition requiring the submission of an access imputation plan because it had not yet decided to avail itself of the forbearance grant to which the condition applied.[[5]](#footnote-6) No comments were filed by any party regarding CenturyLink’s Compliance Plan.[[6]](#footnote-7)

After review of CenturyLink’s Compliance Plan, the Bureau finds that CenturyLink appropriately addresses the conditions in its Compliance Plan that are required for the requested forbearance, as discussed below, and approves the plan. First, CenturyLink’s plan describes in detail how it will continue to fulfill its statutory and regulatory obligations and the conditions of forbearance through a new framework in the absence of the Cost Assignment Rules.[[7]](#footnote-8) In addressing the second forbearance condition, which requires CenturyLink to continue complying with Part 32 Uniform System of Accounts rules, CenturyLink’s plan provides a five-part explanation of how the carrier intends to satisfy this requirement.[[8]](#footnote-9) CenturyLink explains that it “will continue to maintain USOA books of account for all of its regulated operating telephone companies that include account-specific investment, expense and revenue data for Part 32 accounts,” and that these data will remain available for inspection by the Commission.[[9]](#footnote-10) Further, CenturyLink describes how it plans to provide cost allocation information if the Commission requests it in the future.[[10]](#footnote-11)

Next, CenturyLink explains how it will fulfill a third condition of the forbearance, which requires that it certify, on an annual basis, that it complies with section 254(k) of the Act and will maintain and provide any requested cost accounting information necessary to prove such compliance.[[11]](#footnote-12) In support of this condition, CenturyLink includes its first annual certification with its Compliance Plan.[[12]](#footnote-13)

Lastly, CenturyLink explains that its compliance plan does not contain commitments concerning the fourth condition because it is not seeking forbearance from section 64.1903 of the Commission’s rules.[[13]](#footnote-14) Rule 64.1903 requires that an independent incumbent LEC provide in-region long distance services through the use of a separate affiliate.[[14]](#footnote-15) This rule reduces the need to allocate costs between long distance and other services, although it does not eliminate the need for cost allocation entirely. For example, section 64.1903 bars the joint ownership of facilities by the long distance affiliate and other operations, but permits sharing of personnel.[[15]](#footnote-16) CenturyLink’s Compliance Plan explains how it will handle cost allocation and affiliate transaction issues in the absence of the Cost Assignment Rules, and CenturyLink subsequently provided clarification of how its Compliance Plan addresses those issues with regard to the separate affiliate rule.[[16]](#footnote-17) Should CenturyLink later decide it wishes to take advantage of forbearance from this rule, CenturyLink must, as it proposes, file a separate compliance plan then, subject to Bureau approval, “addressing the conditions to that relief, including the requirement that it describe the imputation methodology CenturyLink will use to satisfy the requirements described in section 272(e)(3) of the Act.”[[17]](#footnote-18)

Accordingly, the Bureau finds that CenturyLink’s Compliance Plan satisfies the necessary conditions associated with forbearance from the Cost Assignment Rules, with the exception of the condition associated with the affiliate transaction rule, as discussed above. Thus, we approve this Compliance Plan, and CenturyLink will have forbearance relief from all the Cost Assignment Rules as soon as Paperwork Reduction Act approval is obtained.[[18]](#footnote-19) Should CenturyLink later wish to take advantage of forbearance relief from the affiliate transaction rule in section 64.1903, we note that CenturyLink must submit a compliance plan explaining compliance with that condition in accordance with the terms of the *USTelecom Forbearance Long Order*.[[19]](#footnote-20)
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