



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

July 2, 2014

DA 14-956

Ms. Michelle A. McClure
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC
1300 North 17th Street
11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22209
mcclure@fhhlaw.com

Re: Call Sign E060244
File No.: SES-MOD-20140613-00518

Dear Ms. McClure:

On June 13, 2014, L3 Communications IEC (L3 Comm) filed the above-captioned application to add an antenna to its fixed earth station in Point Magu, CA. Pursuant to Section 25.112(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112 (a)(1), we dismiss the application as defective without prejudice to refiling.¹

Section 25.112(a) of the Commission's rules requires the Commission to return, as unacceptable for filing, any earth station application that is not substantially complete, contains internal inconsistencies, or does not substantially comply with the Commission's rules. We find the L3 Comm application is not substantially complete because it does not include a radiation hazard study for the new antenna proposed, as required by the underlined text included in question 28 of Form 312 and Section 1.1307(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1307(b).

While not a ground for dismissal, it is noted that the 11 GHz frequency that L3 Comm provided in items E41/42 of Form 312-Schedule B for the antenna receive gain is not within the requested frequency range of 11.7-12.2 GHz.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 25.112(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112(a)(1) and Section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegations of authority, 47 C.F.R. § 0.261, we dismiss L3 Communications IEC's application without prejudice to refiling.

Sincerely,

Paul E. Blais
Chief, Systems Analysis Branch
Satellite Division
International Bureau

¹ If L3 Comm refiles an application identical to the one dismissed, with the exception of supplying the corrected information, it need not pay an application fee. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1111(d).