**DA 15-1166**

**October 13, 2015**

**WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU SEEKS COMMENT ON REQUESTS OF AVIAT NETWORKS AND CBF NETWORKS, INC. D/B/A FASTBACK NETWORKS FOR WAIVER OF CERTAIN ANTENNA REQUIREMENTS IN THE 71-76 AND 81-86 GHZ BANDS**

**WT Docket No. 15-244**

**Comments due: November 12, 2015**

**Reply Comments due: November 30, 2015**

 Aviat Networks (Aviat), a provider of microwave networking solutions, and CBF Networks, Inc., d/b/a Fastback Networks (Fastback), a manufacturer of outdoor wireless networking products, have each filed a request for waiver of certain antenna standards for the 71-76 and 81-86 GHz bands (Waiver Requests).[[1]](#footnote-1) With this Public Notice, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (Bureau) is consolidating its consideration of the two Waiver Requests and seeking comment on them as described below.

 On October 16, 2003, the Commission adopted a *Report and Order* establishing service rules to promote non-Federal development and use of the millimeter wave spectrum in the 71-76 GHz, 81‑86 GHz, and 92-95 GHz bands, which are allocated to non-Federal Government and Federal Government users on a co-primary basis.[[2]](#footnote-2) Originally, the Commission adopted a maximum EIRP of +55 decibel watt (dBW) and required a minimum antenna gain of 50 dBi with 0.6 degree half-power bandwidth.[[3]](#footnote-3) On reconsideration the Commission reduced the minimum antenna gain to 43 dBi, with a requirement that the maximum authorized EIRP be reduced by 2 dB for each dB the antenna gain was less than 50 dBi.[[4]](#footnote-4)

Aviat and Fastback seek partial waivers of Section 101.115 of the Commission’s rules[[5]](#footnote-5) to allow them to deploy smaller antennas in the 71-76 GHz and 81-86 GHz bands.[[6]](#footnote-6) Specifically, they request: (1) use of a new suppression table that allows for Category A and B antennas, lower minimum gain (38 vs. 43 dBi), higher maximum beamwidth (2.2 vs. 1.2 degrees), and modified suppression criteria at various angles; (2) application of the co-polar discrimination requirement to the range of angles 2.5-5 degrees from the centerline, rather than 1.2-5 degrees as at present; (3) relaxation of the required cross-polar discrimination from 25 dB to 21 dB; and (4) reduction of the required magnitude of co-polar discrimination from G-28 dB to G-33 dB, where G is the antenna gain in dBi.[[7]](#footnote-7) Aviat and Fastback assert that granting the Waiver Requests would allow deployment of smaller, thinner and lighter antennas, and would serve the public interest by “stimulat[ing] activity in the underused 71-76/81-86 GHz bands,” “with no adverse effect on any party.”[[8]](#footnote-8)

The antenna standards for the 71-76 GHz and 81-86 GHz bands are currently the subject of a request by the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition (FWCC), which asks the Commission to amend Section 101.115 of the Commission’s rules to relax those antenna standards to allow the use of smaller antennas in those bands.[[9]](#footnote-9) Aviat and Fastback specify that they seek waivers to allow antennas meeting the proposed requirements to be used immediately, prior to the resolution of this rulemaking.[[10]](#footnote-10) They propose several conditions on the waivers.[[11]](#footnote-11)

 Several entities, including Huber+Suhner AG, PEG Bandwidth, LLC, T-Mobile USA., Inc., and FWCC, have already submitted comments on these Waiver Requests.[[12]](#footnote-12) These comments will be incorporated into this docket and included in the record of this proceeding. Requests for waiver of rules governing Wireless Radio Services are governed under Section 1.925 of the Commission’s rules.[[13]](#footnote-13) Accordingly, we seek comment on the Aviat and Fastback Waiver Requests.

**Procedural Matters**

## Filing

Interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed either by filing paper copies or using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). *See generally* Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (1998). When filing comments, please reference WT Docket No. 15-XXX.

* Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed using the Internet by accessing ECFS: http://fcc.gov/ecfs// Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
	+ Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed and should include the docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number.
	+ Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, and should include the following words in the body of the message, “get form.” A sample form and directions will be sent in reply. Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing.
* Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission. Paper filings can be submitted by the following methods:
	+ Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail): Mail to 9300 E. Hampton Dr., Capitol Heights, MD 20743.
	+ U.S. Postal Service (First-class, Express and Priority): Mail to 445 12th St., S.W., Washington, DC 20554.
	+ Hand-delivered/Courier: Deliver to 445 12th St., S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours at this location are 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.

People with Disabilities: To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

## Availability of Documents

Documents in WT Docket No. 15-244 will be available for public inspection either through ECFS: <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/>, or during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (CY-A257) at the Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20554. These documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat.

## Ex Parte Rules—Permit-But-Disclose Proceeding

Unless otherwise provided, requests for waiver of the Commission’s Rules are subject to treatment by the Commission as restricted proceedings for *ex parte* purposes under Section 1.1208 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1208. Because of the policy implications and potential impact of this proceeding on persons not parties to the waiver request, we believe it would be in the public interest to treat this case as a permit-but-disclose proceeding under the *ex parte* rules. *See* Sections 1.1200(a), 1.1206 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1200(a), 1.1206. Therefore, subsequent to the release of this Public Notice, *ex parte* presentations that are made with respect to the issues involved in the subject Waiver Requests will be allowed but must be disclosed in accordance with the requirements of Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b). Persons making ex parte presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the ex parte presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter’s written comments, memoranda or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during ex parte meetings are deemed to be written ex parte presentations and must be filed consistent with Section 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by Section 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written ex parte presentations and memoranda summarizing oral ex parte presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission’s ex parte rules.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Catherine Schroeder, Broadband Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at (202) 418-1956, or by e-mail at catherine.schroeder@fcc.gov.

Action by the Chief, Broadband Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.
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