

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Final Report of the Downloadable Security Technology Advisory Committee)	MB Docket No. 15-64
)	

ORDER

Adopted: November 4, 2015

Released: November 4, 2015

By the Chief, Media Bureau:

1. On August 31, 2015, the Bureau released a Public Notice seeking comment on the final report of the Downloadable Security Technology Advisory Committee (“DSTAC”).¹ The Public Notice established November 9, 2015 as the deadline for submitting reply comments.² On October 27, 2015, the National Cable and Telecommunications Association (“NCTA”) filed a Request for Extension of Time pursuant to Section 1.46 of the Commission’s rules.³ In its Request, NCTA asks us to extend the filing deadline for reply comments “at least 30 days” so it can respond to an *ex parte* letter⁴ filed on October 20, 2015.⁵ On October 29, 2015, Public Knowledge and others filed a Joint Opposition to the Request for Extension of Time.⁶ Consistent with the Commission’s policy that “extensions of time shall not be routinely granted,”⁷ we deny NCTA’s Request.

2. We conclude that the filing of the *October 20th Ex Parte* does not justify an extension of time in the above captioned proceeding. We note that even after the filing of the *October 20 Ex Parte* interested parties have twenty days before the reply comment deadline to prepare their filing. We therefore deny NCTA’s Request, and the reply comment deadline of November 9, 2015 established in the Public Notice will remain in effect.

¹ See *Media Bureau Seeks Comment on the DSTAC Report*, Public Notice, MB Docket No. 15-64, DA 15-982 (rel. August 31, 2015) (“Public Notice”).

² *Id.* at 1.

³ See NCTA’s Request for Extension of Reply Comment Deadline to Address Newly-Filed Proposal, MB Docket No. 15-64 (Oct. 27, 2015) (“Request”); 47 C.F.R. § 1.46.

⁴ See Letter from John Bergmayer, Senior Staff Attorney, Public Knowledge, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, MB Docket No. 15-64 (filed Oct. 20, 2015) (“*October 20 Ex Parte*”).

⁵ Request at 1. NCTA claims that the *October 20 Ex Parte* “raises new and significant issues affecting, among other things, security, service delivery and compliance with Title VI requirements.” *Id.* at 2.

⁶ See Applicants’ Joint Opposition to Request for Extension of Time, MB Docket No. 15-64 (filed Oct. 29, 2015).

⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 1.46(a).

3. Accordingly, the National Cable and Telecommunications Association's Request for Extension of Time in the above-captioned matter is DENIED. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated by Section 0.283 of the Commission's Rules.⁸

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William T. Lake
Chief, Media Bureau

⁸ 47 C.F.R. § 0.283.