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**ORDER**

**Adopted: March 4, 2015 Released: March 4, 2015**

By the Deputy Chief, Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

# Introduction

1. In this Order, we approve an assignment and lease of spectrum and grant a related request for waiver, which will enable the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (d/b/a Amtrak) to deploy a positive train control (PTC) system as required by the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (RSIA of 2008).[[1]](#footnote-2) Together, the assignment and lease transactions will provide Amtrak critical spectrum coverage of an 18-mile wide corridor that runs nine miles on each side of Amtrak’s rail lines from New York City to Washington, D.C., from New York City to Albany, New York, and from Philadelphia to Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.[[2]](#footnote-3)

# Background

1. In December 2014, Environmentel LLC and Skybridge Spectrum Foundation (collectively, the Assignors) filed the above-captioned applications seeking to assign portions,[[3]](#footnote-4) and lease other portions,[[4]](#footnote-5) of the geographic licenses for Automated Maritime Telecommunications System (AMTS) Stations WQCP801, WQID629, WQCP811 and WQJW652 to Amtrak. In conjunction with each application, Amtrak filed an essentially identical request for waiver of certain Part 80 rules (2014 Waiver Request) to allow it to implement its PTC system, called an Advanced Civil Speed Enforcement System (ACSES). Amtrak amended the 2014 Waiver Request for all of its applications on February 25, 2015, and amended five applications on March 2, 2015 to more precisely define the geographic spectrum corridor.[[5]](#footnote-6)
2. The AMTS service originally was intended to authorize specialized systems of coast stations providing integrated and interconnected marine voice and data communications.[[6]](#footnote-7) In 1997, the Commission amended its rules to permit AMTS licensees to provide commercial service to fixed and mobile units on land, under certain conditions.[[7]](#footnote-8) In 2007, the Commission amended its rules to permit AMTS licensees to provide private mobile radio service, as well as commercial service, to units on land.[[8]](#footnote-9)
3. Pursuant to the RSIA of 2008,[[9]](#footnote-10) Amtrak is required, along with certain other commuter and freight railroads, to deploy interoperable PTC systems by December 31, 2015. Once implemented, PTC systems are designed to reduce the risk of rail accidents caused by human error, including train-to-train collisions, derailments caused by excessive speed, and unauthorized train movements in work zones. PTC wireless communications networks are intended to enable real-time information sharing between trains, rail wayside devices, and control centers, regarding train movement authorities, speed restrictions, train consist, position, and speed, and the state of signal and switch devices.
4. The nation’s freight railroads have acquired substantial spectrum in the 220 MHz band (220 – 222 MHz) to implement PTC systems.[[10]](#footnote-11) Because Amtrak shares track with and operates on tracks nearby freight rail lines, it seeks spectrum as close to the 220 MHz band as possible to ensure that its own PTC system will be interoperable with the freight railroads’ planned PTC systems. Amtrak, anticipating congestion in the 220 MHz band, identified Part 80 AMTS spectrum (217-218 MHz and 219-220 MHz) as the best option for its PTC system.[[11]](#footnote-12)
5. Amtrak filed the instant applications and request for waiver to implement its PTC system on its Northeast Corridor line from New York City to Washington, D.C., and other rail lines from Philadelphia to Harrisburg, Pennsylvania and from New York City to Albany, New York.[[12]](#footnote-13) Amtrak seeks to use the spectrum for a private, exclusive-use, integrated communications and information system to coordinate and control train movements.
6. Amtrak’s ACSES PTC system includes passive transponders buried under the tracks that connect to rail side and wayside base station controllers. These controllers, in turn, communicate vital information such as speed and location wirelessly to computers on locomotives and to Amtrak’s central dispatch facilities. The system provides trains with status of tracks ahead and allows the rail traffic controller to manage the system by providing status of the tracks, switch positions, signal lights and location of work crews.[[13]](#footnote-14)
7. To implement its PTC system, Amtrak seeks a waiver of the following rule sections:

* Section 80.92(a), which requires Part 80 licensees to monitor a frequency prior to transmitting;
* Section 80.105, which requires coast stations to receive calls from vessels and aircraft;
* Section 80.106, which requires mobile stations to receive calls from ships or aircraft;
* Section 80.123(a), which requires AMTS land stations to secure a letter authorizing the land station to communicate with the coast station;
* Section 80.123(b), which affords priority to marine communications;
* Section 80.123(c), which requires AMTS land stations to use the coast station call sign;
* Section 80.123(f), which provides that AMTS land stations may communicate only with public coast stations; and
* Section 80.385(a)(2), which divides AMTS spectrum into coast (base) station frequencies and ship (mobile) station frequencies.[[14]](#footnote-15)

1. We note that in 2011, Amtrak filed a request for waiver of certain Part 80 rules to implement its planned PTC system in the AMTS bands.[[15]](#footnote-16) In WT Docket No. 11-27 the Commission sought comment solely on Amtrak’s request for waiver and not on the merits of whether a specific prospective AMTS spectrum acquisition or lease would serve the public interest.[[16]](#footnote-17) Because Amtrak’s 2014 Waiver Request supersedes its 2011 Waiver Request, we hereby dismiss the 2011 Waiver Request as moot and terminate WT Docket No. 11-27.

# Discussion

1. Section 1.925(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules provides that we may grant a waiver if it is shown that (a) the underlying purpose of the rule(s) would not be served or would be frustrated by application to the instant case, and grant of the requested waiver would be in the public interest; or (b) in light of unique or unusual circumstances, application of the rule(s) would be inequitable, unduly burdensome, or contrary to the public interest, or the applicant has no reasonable alternative.[[17]](#footnote-18) The Commission also may waive a rule, in whole or in part, on its own motion or on petition if good cause therefor is shown.[[18]](#footnote-19)
2. Amtrak requests a waiver of the requirements to monitor before transmitting,[[19]](#footnote-20) to afford priority to marine communications,[[20]](#footnote-21) and to use the coast station call sign[[21]](#footnote-22) because its PTC system is configured to transmit data, does not support voice communications, and cannot provide service to maritime communications.[[22]](#footnote-23) Amtrak notes that there are other public coast licensees in the area available to meet marine communications needs.[[23]](#footnote-24) Amtrak also states that it has an agreement with the Assignors to ensure that the impact of its PTC operations to adjacent channel and co-channel operations is minimized.[[24]](#footnote-25) We agree that waiver of these provisions is warranted.[[25]](#footnote-26) Amtrak’s proposed use of the AMTS frequencies will not jeopardize the maritime community’s ability to meet its operational, safety, and security communications needs. Further, permitting the use of the subject frequencies for PTC operations will promote the efficient use of AMTS spectrum and serve the public interest by promoting railroad safety. We therefore waive these requirements.[[26]](#footnote-27)
3. Amtrak seeks waiver of the requirement that AMTS land stations secure a letter authorizing the land station to communicate with the coast station,[[27]](#footnote-28) which enables authorities to verify that a unit on land is authorized to operate on AMTS spectrum. We previously granted such a request with respect to an electric utility’s fixed data system.[[28]](#footnote-29) We conclude that precedent is applicable here, for Amtrak’s system is configured to transmit only data, and railroad operations take place in limited locations where the responsible party is easily identifiable. We therefore waive this requirement.
4. Amtrak also requests waiver of the requirements that base and mobile stations accept communications from ships and aircraft[[29]](#footnote-30) and that AMTS land stations communicate only with public coast stations,[[30]](#footnote-31) because the requirements are incompatible with its proposed operations. Amtrak’s PTC system is a private, internal-use only system operating PTC mobile units, base stations and wayside stations to facilitate passenger rail services and cannot provide marine communications services.[[31]](#footnote-32) We conclude that permitting Amtrak’s stations to communicate with stations other than public coast and ship stations will promote the efficient use of AMTS spectrum and serve the public interest by improving safety in railroad operations. We therefore waive these requirements.[[32]](#footnote-33)
5. Amtrak requests a waiver of the rule requiring coast (base) stations to transmit in the lower half of the AMTS band and ship (mobile) stations in the upper half.[[33]](#footnote-34) Amtrak is acquiring and leasing spectrum in the lower half of the AMTS band only, and proposes to operate base, mobile, and wayside transmitters using that spectrum to provide information wirelessly to locomotives and rail traffic controllers.[[34]](#footnote-35) Amtrak will operate in accordance with the antenna height and power levels permitted for AMTS stations,[[35]](#footnote-36) thereby providing protection against interference to maritime operations. Additionally, the proposed limited geographical area of operation[[36]](#footnote-37) and agreements ensuring Amtrak’s PTC operations do not interfere with operations of co-channel or adjacent licensees will protect the parties from interference.[[37]](#footnote-38) Because the proposed configuration will not affect other licensees any more than compliant base and mobile stations, we conclude that a waiver of this requirement is warranted.[[38]](#footnote-39)
6. Finally, Amtrak states that because it will observe the interference protection criteria for Channels 10 and 13 specified in the Commission’s rules (including preparation of a plan to limit any interference to viewers near its rail lines), approval of the spectrum transactions and grant of the rule waivers will not harm operations on Channels 10 and 13.[[39]](#footnote-40) We remind Amtrak that AMTS operations are authorized on the condition that no harmful interference will be caused to reception of existing television stations, and that particular requirements apply to base stations within 169 kilometers (105 miles) of a Channel 13 television station, or within 129 kilometers (80 miles) of a Channel 10 television station.[[40]](#footnote-41)

# CONclusion and Ordering Clauses

1. For the reasons stated above we find good cause to grant the rule waivers requested by Amtrak. Our finding of good cause to grant the requested rule waivers is inseparably tied to Congress’ directive that Amtrak, as well as certain freight and commuter railroads, implement PTC safety systems and the substantial public interest benefits that will accrue from a nationwide interoperable rail safety network. [[41]](#footnote-42)
2. Further, we find that the underlying purpose of the rule sections we waive above would not be served by application to the instant case, and that grant of the requested rule waivers would serve the public interest of all Americans in rail safety, including the safety of life and property.[[42]](#footnote-43) We also find that Congress’ national rail safety mandate constitutes a unique and unusual circumstance, such that strict application of the rule sections we waive above would be contrary to the public interest.[[43]](#footnote-44)
3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Sections 1, 4(i) and 309(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 309(a), and Sections 1.3 and 1.925 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.3, 1.925, that FCC File Nos. 0006590008, 0006591371, 0006591407, 0006591411, filed December 19, 2014, 0006591789, 0006591792, 0006591797, 0006591802, filed December 22, 2014, 0006595882, 0006595960, 0006596057, 0006596073, 0006596254, 0006596258, 0006596264, 0006596268, filed December 26, 2014, and the related Request for Waiver filed with each application and amended on February 25, 2015 by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation ARE GRANTED consistent with this *Order* and the Commission’s Rules.
4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Request for Waiver filed by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation on January 3, 2011, WT Docket 11-27, IS DISMISSED AS MOOT, and WT Docket No. 11-27 IS TERMINATED.
5. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.131, 0.331.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Lloyd W. Coward Deputy Chief, Mobility Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
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