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By the Deputy Chief, Policy and Licensing Division, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau:

# introduction

1. In this *Order*, we grant the State of South Carolina (South Carolina or the State) a waiver of Section 90.529(b)(1)[[1]](#footnote-2) of the Commission’s rules to permit the acceptance of South Carolina’s late-filed interim “substantial service”[[2]](#footnote-3) showing for its license for 700 MHz state channels under call sign WPTZ777.[[3]](#footnote-4) Section 90.529(b)(1) requires licensees on state channels to certify that they are providing or prepared to provide substantial service to one-third of their population or territory by June 13, 2014.

# BACKGROUND

1. South Carolina holds a license (State License) permitting it to operate on state channels in the 700 MHz band under call sign WPTZ777. The state channels consist of ninety-six channel pairs (12.5 kHz bandwidth) from the public safety narrowband segment of the 700 MHz band that are reserved for use by each state, territory, district or possession.[[4]](#footnote-5)
2. In order to ensure efficient use of the state channels, the Commission established a five-year interim and a ten-year final benchmark for state licensees to provide specified levels of “substantial service” within their statewide license areas.[[5]](#footnote-6)
3. Section 90.529(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules specifies June 13, 2014 as the due date for state licensees to file their interim five-year benchmark showing.[[6]](#footnote-7) By this date, state licensees were to certify that they were providing or were prepared to provide substantial service to one-third of their population or territory.[[7]](#footnote-8)
4. Prior to the June 13, 2014 deadline, the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (Bureau) issued a *Public Notice* providing state licensees guidance on how to demonstrate compliance with the interim substantial service benchmark.[[8]](#footnote-9) To facilitate staff review of substantial service showings, the Bureau provided examples of specific information to submit for review, including the type of public safety service being provided, a list of which state channels are used in the state system, and the percentage of population or territory served by the composite footprint of the state system.[[9]](#footnote-10)
5. On December 12, 2014, South Carolina filed its interim substantial service certification along with a request for waiver of the June 13, 2014 deadline.[[10]](#footnote-11)
6. In its request for waiver, South Carolina states that it missed the June deadline due to a misinterpretation of the rules.[[11]](#footnote-12) Specifically, South Carolina states that it erroneously believed it was required to construct base station infrastructure and argues it was unable to do so “[d]ue to system limitations [because] there are no available ports on the existing statewide trunked system, [and] no fixed trunked sites can be constructed until [South Carolina] complete[s] the conversion to P25 . . ..”[[12]](#footnote-13)
7. Nonetheless, South Carolina indicates that it programmed six State License channel pairs into the state’s portable trunked infrastructure and that those portable fixed sites were operational prior to June 13, 2014.[[13]](#footnote-14) South Carolina notes that its portable fixed sites serve one-hundred percent of the state’s population.[[14]](#footnote-15) Furthermore, South Carolina notes that it also programmed sixteen State License channels into over 42,000 mobile and handheld radio units for tactical communications and that these radios were operational as well prior to June 13, 2014.[[15]](#footnote-16)

# DISCUSSION

1. To obtain a waiver of the Commission’s rules, a petitioner must demonstrate either that: (i) the underlying purpose of the rule(s) would not be served or would be frustrated by application to the present case, and that a grant of the waiver would be in the public interest;[[16]](#footnote-17) or (ii) in view of unique or unusual factual circumstances of the instant case, application of the rule(s) would be inequitable, unduly burdensome, or contrary to the public interest, or the applicant has no reasonable alternative.[[17]](#footnote-18) An applicant seeking a waiver faces a high hurdle and must plead with particularity the facts and circumstances that warrant a waiver.[[18]](#footnote-19) Based on the record before us, we find that South Carolina has shown that its request should be granted under the first prong of the waiver standard.
2. The underlying purpose of the substantial service requirement is to ensure efficient use of state channels including service to “rural, remote and insular areas.”[[19]](#footnote-20) To this end, Section 90.529(b)(1) establishes a deadline for state licensees to certify that they are meeting the interim benchmark by providing or being prepared to provide substantial service to one third of their population or territory.[[20]](#footnote-21) A state licensee is deemed “prepared” to provide substantial service if it certifies that a radio system has been approved and funded by the deadline date.[[21]](#footnote-22) The Commission’s rules also recognize that state licensees that do not meet the interim substantial service benchmark, *e.g.,* because they have failed to construct or receive funding for any facilities by the deadline, will be subject to license cancelation or modification.[[22]](#footnote-23) As noted above, the Bureau provided state licensees guidance on how to demonstrate that they met the interim substantial service showing.[[23]](#footnote-24)
3. Consistent with the Commission’s rules and policies as well as the Bureau’s guidance, Commission staff confirmed that South Carolina satisfied the interim substantial service benchmark by programming six paired state channels into the State’s portable fixed trunked infrastructure and programming sixteen state channels into over 42,000 mobile and handheld radio units for tactical communications prior to the June 13, 2014 deadline.[[24]](#footnote-25) Thus, South Carolina needs a waiver solely because it failed to file its certification prior to the deadline.
4. The Commission has previously explained that for certain Wireless Radio Services, including the Private Land Mobile Radio Service, the purpose of our construction notification requirements is to “verify whether licensees have in fact met their construction and coverage obligations, not to terminate licenses for legitimately operating facilities based on a failure to notify by the licensee…..”[[25]](#footnote-26) Furthermore, the Commission has advised any licensee missing a construction notification deadline that it may seek a waiver of the Commission’s rules but must certify that “it did meet the construction or coverage requirement and provide the date by which the licensee met that requirement.” [[26]](#footnote-27)
5. Consequently, under the circumstances presented, we find that granting South Carolina a waiver of Section 90.529(b)(1) would not frustrate the underlying purpose of the rule, because it satisfied the interim benchmark by providing substantial service to more than one-third of its population and it did so prior to the deadline.
6. Finally, we find that the public interest is served by granting South Carolina a waiver of Section 90.529(b)(1) and allowing it to retain its State License. The Commission established a geographic area licensing scheme for all state licensees so that each state or territory would have latitude to plan and develop shared wide-area networks under a substantially streamlined licensing process.[[27]](#footnote-28) Absent a waiver of Section 90.529(b)(1), South Carolina would lose its State License. Consequently, we find it in the public interest to grant South Carolina a waiver of Section 90.529(b)(1) so that it can continue building-out its wide-area network under a substantially streamlined licensing process thereby enhancing the safety of the State’s citizens and their property.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), and Section 1.925 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.925, that the waiver request filed by the State of South Carolina IS GRANTED. We instruct staff to process the associated Schedule K application, ULS File number 0006468758, accordingly.
2. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.191 and 0.392 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.191, 0.392.

 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

 Michael J. Wilhelm

 Deputy Chief, Policy and Licensing Division

 Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau
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