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Re: Requests to Defer Mandatory Carriage of KVNV(TV), Middletown Township, New Jersey

Dear Counsel:

By letter dated July 25, 2014, the Media Bureau (the “Bureau”) waived Section 76.64(f)(4) of the Commission’s rules to allow Cablevision Systems Corporation, Time Warner Cable Inc. and Comcast Cable Communications, LLC (the “MVPDs”) to defer implementing the must-carry request and channel position election of PMCM TV, LLC (“PMCM”) for television station WJLP (formerly KVNV(TV)), Middletown Township, New Jersey (“WJLP”) until 90 days from the date of a final decision by the Bureau on WJLP’s virtual channel.[[1]](#footnote-1) The Bureau determined that deferral was warranted to minimize disruption for consumers and the accompanying confusion and channel line-up disruption for the MVPDs to accommodate WJLP’s request to be placed on cable channel 3 at a time when it was possible—in view of a pending dispute between PMCM and the licensee of an incumbent station already using Program System and Information Protocol (“PSIP”)[[2]](#footnote-2) virtual channel 3 in a service area overlapping WJLP’s—that the Bureau would soon assign WJLP a different virtual channel.[[3]](#footnote-3) Concurrent with this Letter Order, the Bureau is releasing its decision on the appropriate PSIP virtual channel for television station WJLP.[[4]](#footnote-4) That decision removes the uncertainty regarding WJLP’s PSIP virtual channel number that necessitated the *Deferral Letter Order.*[[5]](#footnote-5) Accordingly, PMCM’s initial must-carry request and channel position election is effective 90 days from today (September 3, 2015). If the MVPDs do not implement PMCM’s original must-carry request or channel position election within that time, PMCM may choose to invoke the cable carriage enforcement procedures set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 534(d) and 47 C.F.R. § 76.61. Alternatively, if PMCM should now wish to pursue carriage for WJLP on cable channel 33—the virtual channel the Bureau has assigned WJLP in the *PMCM PSIP Declaratory Ruling*—we see good cause to again waive Section 76.64(f)(4) of the Commission’s rules to the extent necessary to permit PMCM to expeditiously assert that carriage election for WJLP.[[6]](#footnote-6) Accordingly, we **HEREBY WAIVE** Section 76.64(f)(4) of the rules to permit PMCM to newly **ELECT CARRIAGE AND CHANNEL POSITION** for WJLP, Middletown Township, New Jersey on the MVPDs within thirty (30) days of the release of this Letter Order. In accordance with Section 76.64(f), any such election shall take effect ninety (90) days after it is made.[[7]](#footnote-7)

Sincerely,

William T. Lake

Chief,

Media Bureau

Cc:
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