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ORDER
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By the Deputy Chief, Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

1. Breitling U.S.A., Inc. (Breitling), requests a waiver of Section 95.1402 of the Commission's Rules[[1]](#footnote-2) to permit equipment authorization and use of the Breitling Dual Band Emergency Watch (the “Emergency2”), which includes a Personal Locator Beacon (PLB).[[2]](#footnote-3) For the reasons set forth below, we grant the request for waiver to the extent described herein.
2. *Background.* In 2001, Breitling was granted a waiver to permit equipment authorization and use of its Emergency Watch (the “Emergency”),[[3]](#footnote-4) which was a back-up safety device designed to supplement conventional 121.5 MHz Emergency Locator Transmitters (ELTs),[[4]](#footnote-5) which are radiobeacons that are activated manually or automatically to alert search and rescue (SAR) personnel that an aircraft has crashed, and to identify the location of the aircraft and any survivors.[[5]](#footnote-6) A waiver was required because the Emergency did not meet all of the technical requirements for ELTs, including certain transmitter control, battery, and labeling requirements.[[6]](#footnote-7) Breitling states that there have been ten emergency activations of Emergency watches resulting in the rescue of approximately twenty people, with no inadvertent activations or false alarms.[[7]](#footnote-8)
3. In 2002, the Commission amended its Part 95 rules to authorize the equipment authorization and use of PLBs, which are emergency beacons intended for use by individuals on land that transmit a distress signal on 406.0-406.1 MHz for communication with the COSPAS-SARSAT satellite system[[8]](#footnote-9) and a lower-powered homing signal on frequency 121.5 MHz.[[9]](#footnote-10) Section 95.1402 requires PLBs to conform to the Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services (RTCM) standard that contains minimum requirements for PLBs’ functional and technical performance.[[10]](#footnote-11)
4. Breitling has now developed the Emergency2, which includes a PLB. Breitling states that incorporation of a PLB into a wristwatch casing as opposed to a conventional handheld device renders certain requirements in the RTCM standard irrelevant or infeasible, but argues that the Emergency2 provides the offsetting advantage that it is always immediately at hand and ready to operate, with no added risk of harmful interference to others.[[11]](#footnote-12) Specifically, Breitling requests a waiver of certain manual control,[[12]](#footnote-13) battery,[[13]](#footnote-14) and labeling[[14]](#footnote-15) requirements in the RTCM standard. It asserts that none of these issues affects reliable PLB operation, and that the Emergency2 fully meets all other elements of the RTCM standard, except for certain provisions applicable to 121.5 MHz transmissions for which a waiver was granted in 2001. COSPAS-SARSAT states in a Letter of Compatibility that the Emergency2 may be used for operation with the COSPAS-SARSAT system.[[15]](#footnote-16)
5. On December 4, 2014, we sought comment on Breitling’s waiver request.[[16]](#footnote-17) Almost every commenter supports the waiver request unreservedly, while the United States SARSAT Program (SARSAT)[[17]](#footnote-18) conditions its support on Breitling addressing certain concerns. No commenter opposes the waiver request, and no other commenter expresses any reservations.
6. *Discussion.* Section 1.925(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules provides that we may grant a waiver if it is shown that (a) the underlying purpose of the rule(s) would not be served or would be frustrated by application to the instant case, and grant of the requested waiver would be in the public interest; or (b) in light of unique or unusual circumstances, application of the rule(s) would be inequitable, unduly burdensome, or contrary to the public interest, or the applicant has no reasonable alternative.[[18]](#footnote-19) We find that grant of the waiver requested by Breitling is warranted. We conclude that the underlying purpose of Section 95.1402 – to allow PLBs to be used to alert others of a distress situation and assist SAR personnel in locating those in distress – would be served by grant of a waiver, and that grant of the waiver request to permit equipment authorization for the Breitling’s Emergency2 is in the public interest.
7. There appears to be no dispute that most of the requirements in the RTCM standard from which Breitling requests a waiver are irrelevant or infeasible for a PLB incorporated into a wristwatch casing. For example, the requirements for integral manual on/off controls, a separate test switch, a highly visible orange or yellow case, and certain labels contemplate a handheld, box-shaped device. Because the Emergency2 is a one-time use device that is activated by extending its antenna and turned off by cutting or wrapping the antenna around the watch body, we conclude that it is not necessary for the Emergency2 to satisfy these manual control requirements. Similarly, a separate test switch is not necessary because a self-test is performed on the completion of every battery charge. Further, because the Emegency2 is a small device worn on the wrist, it is not necessary for the case to be a highly visible orange or yellow. Finally, because of the small size and limited space for labeling, we agree with Breitling that it is sufficient to engrave the operating instructions on the watch, and provide the other required information to users via verbal, written and video presentations at the time of purchase.[[19]](#footnote-20)
8. SARSAT expresses concerns about certain other requirements in the RTCM standard. First, it questions whether the Emergency2’s antenna configuration and different deployment orientations will provide an adequate signal for detection by COSPAS-SARSAT satellites, and it asks that Breitling provide analysis and test results to demonstrate that the Emergency2’s signal is received and processed by the COSPAS-SARSAT system.[[20]](#footnote-21) As Breitling points out,[[21]](#footnote-22) the COSPAS-SARSAT Letter of Compatibility was based on testing that confirmed the Emergency2 can be used for operation with the COSPAS-SARSAT system.[[22]](#footnote-23) Additionally, Breitling provides a testing report demonstrating that all test-coded signals were properly received and processed.[[23]](#footnote-24)
9. The RTCM PLB standard requires a non-rechargeable battery with sufficient charge for the PLB to function for a minimum of twenty-four hours, but the Emergency2 uses a rechargeable battery sufficient to provide eighteen hours of continuous operation. SARSAT is concerned that any further reduction in operating time below eighteen hours “may significantly decrease the likelihood of a successful rescue of the user,” and notes that the capacity of rechargeable batteries tends to diminish over time.[[24]](#footnote-25) It asks that Breitling provide analysis and test results demonstrating that the expected eighteen hours of continuous operation is available over the battery’s service lifetime.[[25]](#footnote-26) Breitling notes that the Emergency2 was successfully tested and complies with COSPAS-SARSAT’s requirements for the use of rechargeable batteries and that the testing addressed the issue of reduction in rechargeable battery capacity as the result of aging, temperature and recharge intervals.[[26]](#footnote-27) RTCM explains that it generally does not support the use of rechargeable batteries in PLBs because conventional PLBs may be stored for long periods without attention as the batteries lose their charge, but the Emergency2 is worn on the wrist and the batteries must be charged for it to be useful as a watch, thus minimizing the chances that the device will be used with discharged batteries.[[27]](#footnote-28)
10. Finally, SARSAT requests that the information provided to Emergency2 purchasers clearly explain how the Emergency2 differs from fully-compliant PLBs regarding these (and other) specifications, and the difference between the Letter of Compatibility and a COSPAS-SARSAT Type Approval.[[28]](#footnote-29) Breitling agrees to use SARSAT’s requested language in the document to be signed before purchase by U.S. consumers.[[29]](#footnote-30) In addition, Breitling states that use of the Emergency2 will be limited to qualified and properly trained customers because it will be sold only by specially trained and certified sales associates.[[30]](#footnote-31)
11. *Conclusion.* For the reasons stated above, we conclude that the underlying purpose of Sections 95.1402 would not be served or would be frustrated by application to instant case, and that grant of the waiver request to permit equipment authorization for the Breitling’s Emergency2 is in the public interest. The Emergency2 is similar to a conventional PLB, but the benefit of being a wristwatch likely to be worn at all times increases the probability of it being available to an individual during an emergency situation. This ready accessibility offsets the operational differences between the Emergency2 and a conventional PLB. Breitling’s representations on the record address the concerns raised by SARSAT. Grant of this waiver request will further the Commission’s goal of promoting safety of life and property without causing harmful inference to other spectrum users.
12. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 303(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 303(i), and Section 1.925 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.925, that the Request for Waiver filed by Breitling U.S.A., Inc. on October 2, 2014, IS GRANTED ON THE CONDITION that Breitling include in the Conditions of Use to be signed by consumers before purchase the warning language set forth on page 7 of its reply comments.
13. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.131, 0.331.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Scot Stone Deputy Chief, Mobility Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
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