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**ORDER**

**Adopted: December 2, 2016 Released: December 2, 2016**

By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, we terminate the investigation initiated on July 15, 2016, regarding the tariff revisions filed on July 1, 2016, by Pacific Bell Telephone Company (PBTC), and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) (collectively AT&T) in Transmittal Nos. 539 and 3428, respectively. On July 15, 2016, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) suspended the tariff revisions and initiated an investigation.[[1]](#footnote-2) Based on additional filings by AT&T and revisions to the tariffs that became effective on August 30, 2016, we conclude that the issues raised in the *July 15 Suspension Order* have been resolved.

# II. DISCUSSION

1. In the *Tariff Investigation Order*, the Commission concluded that various incumbent LEC pricing plans were unjust and unreasonable and directed the incumbent LECs at issue, including AT&T, to submit a number of tariff revisions modifying or removing language from those plans.[[2]](#footnote-3) Among the modifications required, the Commission ordered AT&T to remove the shortfall and early termination provisions from the PBTC DS1 High Capacity Service Portability Commitment and SWBT DS1 High Capacity Service Portability Commitment, but noted that it may elect to file tariff revisions that set shortfall and early termination penalties at an amount that is no greater than the amount of revenue that a customer would have paid had it met its minimum volume or term commitments.[[3]](#footnote-4) The Commission provided sixty (60) days for impacted incumbent LECs to file the tariff revisions, which required the revisions to be filed by July 1, 2016.
2. On July 1, 2016, AT&T proposed tariff revisions to shortfall and early termination penalties included in PBTC interstate access Tariff F.C.C. No. 1 and SWBT interstate access Tariff F.C.C. No. 73, asserting that the proposed revisions were “in compliance with the Commission’s rules, F.C.C. Order No. FCC 16-54 (the Order) and the requirements of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.”[[4]](#footnote-5) AT&T proposed to reduce the fixed per circuit shortfall charge and early termination liability to the current 2-year Zone 1 Channel Termination rate for the DS1 Term Payment Plan, which was $126.00 for PBTC and $195.00 for SWBT.[[5]](#footnote-6)
3. On July 8, 2016, several parties filed petitions to reject or suspend and investigate AT&T’s proposed tariff revisions.[[6]](#footnote-7) The petitions cited numerous concerns regarding the proposed tariff revisions, raising questions about whether they complied with the *Tariff Investigation Order* requirements.[[7]](#footnote-8) On July 15, the Bureau concluded that substantial questions existed regarding the lawfulness of AT&T’s tariff filings and released the *July 15 Suspension Order* that suspended for one day and set for investigation the AT&T tariff revisions.[[8]](#footnote-9)
4. On August 15, 2016, AT&T made additional compliance filings that addressed the shortfall and early termination penalty issues identified in the *Tariff Investigation Order* by decreasing the penalty amounts to the lowest available Price Cap Zone 1 Channel Termination rate for both PBTC and SWBT, which became effective on August 30, 2016.[[9]](#footnote-10) We conclude that these compliance filings resolve the issues raised in the *July 15 Suspension Order*. Accordingly, further investigation is not required.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to section 204(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 204(a), and through the authority delegated pursuant to sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91 and 0.291, the further tariff investigation initiated on July 15, 2016 in WC Docket No. 15-247 as to the shortfall and early termination provisions from the Pacific Bell Telephone Company DS1 High Capacity Service Portability Commitment, Tariff F.C.C. No. 1, and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company DS1 High Capacity Service Portability Commitment, Tariff F.C.C. No. 73, IS TERMINATED.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the accounting order applicable to Pacific Bell Telephone Company and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company IS TERMINATED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Matthew S. DelNero

Chief

Wireline Competition Bureau
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