**DA 16-280**

**Released: March 16, 2016**

**WIRELINE COMPETITION BUREAU ADDRESSES APPLICABLE RATES FOR INMATE CALLING SERVICES AND EFFECTIVE DATES FOR PROVISIONS OF THE *INMATE CALLING SERVICES SECOND REPORT AND ORDER***

**WC Docket No. 12-375**

With this Public Notice, we remind providers of Inmate Calling Services (ICS) of the applicable rates for ICS and effective dates for provisions of the Federal Communications Commission’s (Commission) 2015 order governing ICS.[[1]](#footnote-2)

*Background.* On November 5, 2015, the Commission released the *2015 ICS Order*, which undertook comprehensive reform of the ICS marketplace and, among other things, established new rate caps for both interstate and intrastate ICS calls, limited ancillary service charges, and adopted other measures designed to ensure that ICS rates are fair, just, and reasonable. Several parties filed motions asking the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) to stay many of the rules adopted in the *2015 ICS Order.*[[2]](#footnote-3) On March 7, 2016, the D.C. Circuit stayed two individual provisions of the Commission’s ICSrules: 47 CFR § 64.6010 (setting caps on ICS calling rates that vary based on the size and type of facility being served) and 47 CFR § 64.6020(b)(2) (setting caps for single-call services).[[3]](#footnote-4) The D.C. Circuit’s *March 7 Order* left the Commission’s order and adopted rules undisturbed “in all other respects.”[[4]](#footnote-5)

*Effective Dates of Rules*.In accordance with the *2015 ICS Order*, the rules limiting charges for ancillary services – other than the rule related to single-call services, which the D.C. Circuit stayed – will take effect on March 17, 2016 for all ICS calls from prisons, and on June 20, 2016 for all ICS calls from jails.[[5]](#footnote-6) Those same effective dates also apply to the rates for ICS calls involving TTY devices,[[6]](#footnote-7) the rule governing the treatment of taxes and fees,[[7]](#footnote-8) the rule prohibiting per-call or per-connection charges,[[8]](#footnote-9) the rule prohibiting flat-rate calling,[[9]](#footnote-10) and the rules governing minimum and maximum calling account balances.[[10]](#footnote-11) In addition, as noted below, the interim rate caps – $0.21 per-minute for debit and prepaid ICS calls and $0.25 per-minute for collect ICS calls – first established in the *2013 ICS Order*[[11]](#footnote-12)and extended in the *2015 ICS Order*[[12]](#footnote-13)remain in effect for interstate ICS calls, and will take effect for intrastate calls from prisons on March 17, 2016, and for intrastate ICS calls from jails on June 20, 2016.[[13]](#footnote-14)

The rules requiring annual reporting and certification are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as is the rule requiring consumer disclosure of ICS rates.[[14]](#footnote-15) Those rules will take effect upon publication in the Federal Register of a notice of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval.[[15]](#footnote-16) All other rules and requirements adopted in the *2015 ICS Order* are either in effect, or will take effect on March 17, 2016, except for the one-time Mandatory Data Collection, which is to occur two years after it is approved by OMB.[[16]](#footnote-17)

*Telmate Request*. On March 11, 2016, Telmate, LLC (Telmate) sought clarification from the Wireline Competition Bureau as to the effectiveness of the interim rate caps with respect to intrastate calls.[[17]](#footnote-18)Contrary to certain statements made by Telmate, the interim rate caps will apply to all interstate and intrastate ICS calls. The interim rate caps apply to intrastate ICS calls by operation of the rules adopted in the *2015 ICS Order* and the terms of the D.C. Circuit’s *March 7 Order*.[[18]](#footnote-19) Rule 64.6000(j) defines “Inmate Calling Service” as “a service that allows Inmates to make calls to individuals outside the Correctional Facility where the Inmate is being held, regardless of the technology used to deliver the service.”[[19]](#footnote-20) The definition does not distinguish between interstate or intrastate calls, and thus the “Inmate Calling Services Interim Rate Cap” set forth in rule 64.6030 applies to both interstate and intrastate calls. More specifically, rule 64.6030 prohibits any “Provider” from charging rates for “Collect Calling . . . Debit Calling, Prepaid Calling, or Prepaid Collect Calling” in excess of the interim rate caps.[[20]](#footnote-21) The terms “Provider,” “Debit Calling,” “Prepaid Calling,” and “Prepaid Collect Calling” all incorporate the definition of “Inmate Calling Service” and thus apply to both interstate and intrastate calls.[[21]](#footnote-22) Likewise, the Commission’s definition of “Collect Calling” encompasses both interstate and intrastate calls.[[22]](#footnote-23) Accordingly, and as discussed above, the interim rate caps will remain in effect for interstate ICS calls and will take effect for intrastate calls in accordance with the schedule adopted in *2015 ICS Order*.

For further information, please contact Gil Strobel, Wireline Competition Bureau, Pricing Policy Division, at 202-418-7084 or via e-mail at [gil.strobel@fcc.gov](mailto:gil.strobel@fcc.gov).

**- FCC -**
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