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By the Chief, Media Bureau:

# Introduction

1. PMCM TV, LLC (PMCM), licensee of commercial broadcast television station WJLP, Middletown Township, New Jersey, filed the above-captioned must carry complaint pursuant to Sections 76.7 and 76.61 of the Commission’s rules,[[1]](#footnote-2) seeking to ensure carriage on cable channel 3, the channel number corresponding to the station’s RF channel assignment, on cable systems operated by RCN Telecom Services, LLC (RCN) in the New York, New York designated market area (New York DMA).[[2]](#footnote-3) RCN filed an opposition to PMCM’s complaint, and PMCM filed a reply.[[3]](#footnote-4) For the reasons that follow, we deny PMCM’s complaint.

# Background

1. Pursuant to Section 614 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act), and the implementing rules adopted by the Commission, commercial television broadcast stations, such as WJLP, are entitled to assert mandatory carriage rights on cable systems located within their market.[[4]](#footnote-5) A station’s market for this purpose is its DMA, as defined by the Nielsen Company.[[5]](#footnote-6) The Commission has clarified that “broadcast stations may assert their carriage and channel positioning rights at any time so long as they have not elected retransmission consent.”[[6]](#footnote-7) Section 614 of the Act and Section 76.57 of the Commission’s rules provide commercial television stations with four possible channel positioning options to which they may assert their rights.[[7]](#footnote-8) Specifically, a commercial broadcast station may elect to be carried on: (1) the channel number on which the station is broadcast over the air; (2) the channel number on which the station was carried on July 19, 1985; or (3) the channel number on which the station was carried on January 1, 1992.[[8]](#footnote-9) Alternatively, a broadcast station may be carried on any other channel number mutually agreed upon by the station and the cable operator.[[9]](#footnote-10)
2. RCN operates cable television systems serving various communities within the New York DMA. PMCM states that WJLP did not make a formal election on RCN’s systems and, as a result, defaulted to must carry status pursuant to Section 76.64(f)(3) of the Commission’s rules.[[10]](#footnote-11) At the time PMCM commenced operation of WJLP in September 2014, there was an ongoing dispute concerning WJLP’s virtual or PSIP[[11]](#footnote-12) major channel assignment.[[12]](#footnote-13) On June 5, 2015, the Media Bureau issued a declaratory ruling assigning WJLP virtual channel 33.[[13]](#footnote-14) On October 22, 2015, PMCM gave written notice to RCN pursuant to Section 76.61 of the Commission’s rules that RCN has failed to meet its statutory and regulatory carriage obligations by failing to carry WJLP on channel 3.[[14]](#footnote-15) RCN did not respond to this letter.[[15]](#footnote-16) On January 19, 2016, PMCM filed its must carry complaint seeking carriage of WJLP on cable channel 3.[[16]](#footnote-17) PMCM states in its complaint that RCN is currently carrying WJLP on cable channel 33 pursuant to the Media Bureau’s previously stated position that must-carry stations must be carried on the PSIP channel associated with the station.[[17]](#footnote-18)

# discussion

1. Initially, with regard to procedural issues, we note that PMCM seeks action on its complaint by the full Commission.[[18]](#footnote-19) However, Sections 0.61 and 0.283 of the Commission’s rules delegate authority to the Media Bureau to handle must carry complaints.[[19]](#footnote-20) Further, as discussed below, PMCM’s complaint does not “present novel questions of law, fact or policy that cannot be resolved under existing precedents and guidelines.”[[20]](#footnote-21) Accordingly, we will treat the complaint as having been filed with the Bureau.
2. We conclude that PMCM is not entitled to mandatory carriage of WJLP on RCN’s cable systems in the New York DMA on cable channel 3, the channel number corresponding to WJLP’s RF channel assignment. PMCM states that Section 614(b)(6) of the Act and Section 76.57 of the Commission’s rules require a cable operator to carry a local broadcast station electing must carry status “on the cable system channel number on which the local commercial television station is broadcast over the air.”[[21]](#footnote-22) PMCM asserts that it is entitled to carriage of WJLP on cable channel 3 because the FCC’s Post-DTV Table of Allotments specifies that the “channel” allotted to Middletown Township is channel 3 and the frequency on which channel 3 must broadcast over the air is 60-66 MHz.[[22]](#footnote-23) PMCM further asserts that Part 73 consistently identifies a station’s “channel” with the channel allotted to it in the Table of Allotments and the frequency on which it radiates its signal.[[23]](#footnote-24) PMCM acknowledges that “the Media Bureau has in some cases taken the position that a must-carry station’s cable carriage position is determined by its PSIP major number rather than the channel associated with the frequency on which it broadcasts over the air,” but argues that this position is at odds with the language of Section 614(b)(6) and would subvert the entire channel-based regulatory scheme of Part 73.[[24]](#footnote-25)
3. We find PMCM’s arguments unpersuasive. In Section 614(b)(4)(B) of the Act, Congress explicitly authorized the Commission “to establish any changes in the signal carriage requirements of cable television systems necessary” to accommodate the conversion from analog to digital television.[[25]](#footnote-26) Pursuant to this authority, the Commission considered whether and, if so, how the on-channel must-carry channel placement option should be modified as a result of the digital transition.[[26]](#footnote-27) At that time, the industry was developing the PSIP protocol. To ensure that cable subscribers would be able to tune to broadcast signals on their PSIP channel, the Commission modified its rules to require cable operators to pass through the PSIP information.[[27]](#footnote-28) Subsequently, as part of its implementation of the digital transition, the Commission amended its rules to adopt the ATSC PSIP Standard.[[28]](#footnote-29) In its *2008 Declaratory Order* addressing the responsibilities of cable operators with respect to carriage of digital broadcasters, the Commission clarified broadcasters’ rights with respect to the on-channel option.[[29]](#footnote-30) The Commission explained that “[i]n digital broadcasting, a broadcast station’s channel number is no longer identified by reference to its over-the-air radio frequency. Instead, in compliance with the ATSC standard, the station’s ‘major channel number’ is identified in its [PSIP].”[[30]](#footnote-31) The Commission further clarified that “any station carried pursuant to mandatory carriage may demand carriage on its major channel number as broadcast in the station’s PSIP.”[[31]](#footnote-32) As the basis for this clarification, the Commission explained that “Section 76.57(c), adopted in the *First Report and Order*, should be read as clarifying the manner in which cable operators are to determine the channel number on which a local commercial or qualified NCE station is ‘broadcast over the air’ when implementing such a station's election under Sections 76.57(a) or (b).”[[32]](#footnote-33) Thus, the Commission made clear that after the digital transition, a must-carry station’s carriage rights attach to its PSIP major channel number rather than its RF channel number.
4. Further, there is no indication in the Commission’s discussion of the on-channel carriage option in the *First Report and Order* or the *2008 Declaratory Order* that the Commission intended to add a new option, and had the Commission intended that result, it would have been sufficiently significant to warrant explicit recognition. For these reasons, we find no merit in PMCM’s assertion that the *2008 Declaratory Order* merely acknowledged that, following the digital transition, stations might prefer to claim carriage rights on their newly-adopted virtual channels and gave stations the discretion to demand carriage on either their virtual channels or their RF channels.[[33]](#footnote-34) We have previously rejected the argument that a station has two must carry channel positioning options in the digital era, the right to carriage on its RF channel number or to carriage on its PSIP major channel number.[[34]](#footnote-35) We also reject PMCM’s assertion that “to now suddenly tie cable carriage rights exclusively to PSIPs rather than allotted channels would in one stroke upset the cable carriage rights of possibly hundreds of stations across the country.”[[35]](#footnote-36) PMCM has presented no evidence that the decision in the *2008 Declaratory Order* has upset the cable carriage rights of hundreds of stations. To the contrary, there have been few must carry complaints concerning cable carriage of broadcast television signals on PSIP major channel numbers in the seven years since the digital transition. Accordingly, we conclude that under Section 614 of the Act and Section 76.57 of the Commission’s rules, PMCM’s channel positioning rights for WJLP may attach only to its major channel number as carried in its PSIP, namely channel 33, or such other channel as PMCM and RCN may determine is mutually agreeable, and WJLP is not entitled to be carried on channel 3 absent an agreement for carriage on that channel.[[36]](#footnote-37)
5. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 614 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 534, the must carry complaint filed by PMCM TV, LLC, licensee of commercial broadcast television station WJLP, Middletown Township, New Jersey, against RCN Telecom Services, LLC **IS DENIED**.
6. This action is taken under authority delegated by Section 0.283 of the Commission’s rules.[[37]](#footnote-38)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William T. Lake  
Chief, Media Bureau
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