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ORDER

**Extended Comment Date: June 2, 2016**

**Extended Reply Comment Date: June 9, 2016**

**Adopted: May 26, 20164 Released: May 26, 2016**

By the Chief, Media Bureau:

1. On May 12, 2016, the Media Bureau and the Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis opened a comment window for the recently released *Hispanic TV Study*.[[1]](#footnote-2) The deadlines for filing comments and reply comments were set at May 26, 2016, and June 3, 2016, respectively.
2. On May 24, 2016, the National Hispanic Media Coalition (NHMC) filed a motion seeking a 30-day extension of time for the filing of comments and reply comments regarding the *Hispanic TV Study*.[[2]](#footnote-3) NHMC states that due to the highly technical and complex methodology of the study, it is “less realistic” for interested stakeholders and members of the public to be able to prepare thoughtful and useful comments in 14 days.[[3]](#footnote-4) NHMC contends that it is unreasonable to expect the public to be able to fully digest and opine on the study in the short period of time granted for comments and reply comments.[[4]](#footnote-5) NHMC further notes that parties interested in media diversity who may wish to comment on this study have been capacity-constrained in recent weeks due to deadlines in other Commission proceedings.[[5]](#footnote-6)
3. We recognize that the *Hispanic TV Study* presents an important opportunity for interested parties to comment on a range of issues pertaining to ownership and diversity, but we do not believe that the full extension of time requested by NHMC is necessary to facilitate meaningful comment on the study. The Commission does not routinely grant extensions of time, however, in an effort to encourage participation by organizations and individuals, we find a brief extension of time is warranted in this case.[[6]](#footnote-7) Given the importance of the issues in this proceeding and in the interest of encouraging thoughtful consideration of these issues, we believe that granting NHMC’s request, in part, will help facilitate the development of a robust record. Thus, the deadline for filing comments is extended to June 2, 2016, and the deadline for filing reply comments is extended to June 9, 2016.
4. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), and Sections 0.61, 0.283, and 1.46 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.61, 0.283, and 1.46, the motion for extension of time filed by the National Hispanic Media Coalition **is granted in part**, and the deadlines for submission of comment and reply comments are accordingly extended to June 2, 2016, and June 9, 2016, respectively.
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