**DA 16- 651**

Suzanne S. Goodwyn, Esq.

Law Office of Suzanne S. Goodwyn

1234 Tottenham Court

Reston, VA 20194

Dear Ms. Goodwyn:

On October 28, 2015, the Shekinah Network filed a “Motion for Declaratory Ruling” (Motion) with the Federal Communication Commission.[[1]](#footnote-1) In its Motion**,** Shekinah Network asks the Commission to use its authority under Section 1.2 of the Commission’s rules to clarify whether the ITFS Air Time Lease Agreement (Lease) between Shekinah Network and Des Moines F MPSG Partnership (Partnership) for the use of the excess capacity of EBS Station WND401 in Des Moines, Iowa can continue.[[2]](#footnote-2) For the reasons stated below, we deny the Motion.[[3]](#footnote-3)

The Commission has broad discretion whether or not to consider a request for declaratory relief.[[4]](#footnote-4) In this case, we conclude that the Motion should not be considered because the Commission is not the appropriate forum for the relief Shekinah seeks.

In 2004, the Commission applied the spectrum leasing rules established in the Secondary Markets proceeding to EBS (formerly ITFS) excess capacity leases for new leases entered into after the effective date of that order (which was January 10, 2005), while grandfathering existing leases under the previous ITFS rules, which limited such leases to a term of no more than fifteen years.[[5]](#footnote-5) There were disputes between commercial parties and EBS licensees concerning how to apply the fifteen year limit for grandfathered leases. Finally, in 2009, the Commission adopted a compromise proposal jointly submitted by the Wireless Communications Association International and the National EBS Association.[[6]](#footnote-6) Among other things, the proposal adopted by the Commission provided:

“any agreement entered into between January 24, 1999 and January 9, 2005 that provided for the spectrum leasing to commence at a point following execution of the document will be grandfathered for up to 15 years from the spectrum leasing start date agreed to by the parties in the agreement.”[[7]](#footnote-7)

In order to determine the limitation on a lease executed prior to 2005, the Commission needs to know the actual start date of said lease. The Lease was executed on August 15, 2000; thus, its effect is limited to 15 years after the start date, as defined by the parties.[[8]](#footnote-8) We cannot determine the start date of the lease because the start date is conditional on multiple events.[[9]](#footnote-9) Neither party has provided evidence of when, if at all, all these conditions were met, nor is the Commission the proper authority to adjudicate such matters. To the extent there is a factual dispute regarding the start date of the lease, we believe the proper forum for resolving that dispute is a forum that normally handles contractual disputes, such as a court of competent jurisdiction or an arbitrator. Our decision here is consistent with prior Commission precedent where the Commission has consistently refused to become involved in private contractual matters that can best be resolved in a court of competent jurisdiction.[[10]](#footnote-10) The Commission has held that it “generally does not adjudicate private contractual disputes, but instead attempts to reach a fair accommodation between its exclusive authority over licensing matters and the authority of state and local courts through procedures that defer contractual matters to courts to decide under state and local law.”[[11]](#footnote-11) We see no reason to depart from that principle in this case. Our denial of the Motion is without prejudice to either Shekinah or the Partnership filing such requests for relief as they deem appropriate following the conclusion of any civil litigation or arbitration proceeding.

For the reasons stated above, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Section 1.2 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.2, that the Motion for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Shekinah Network on October 28, 2015 IS DENIED.

This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.131, 0.331.

Federal Communications Commission

John J. Schauble

Deputy Chief, Broadband Division

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

cc: Steven A Lancelotta, Esq.

Butzel Long P.C.

1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington DC 20006
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