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**ORDER**

**Adopted: January 6, 2017 Released: January 6, 2017**

By the Chief, Media Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, we admonish Scripps Media, Inc. (Scripps), the licensee of Station WCPO-TV, Cincinnati, OH, for failing to include in WCPO-TV’s political file certain information about two requests to purchase broadcast time for non-candidate issue advertisements, in willful violation of Section 315(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), and Section 73.1212(e) of the Commission’s rules.[[1]](#footnote-2) The Order resolves a complaint[[2]](#footnote-3) filed jointly by Campaign Legal Center,[[3]](#footnote-4) Common Cause,[[4]](#footnote-5) Sunlight Foundation,[[5]](#footnote-6) and Benton Foundation[[6]](#footnote-7) (collectively Complainants) against Scripps on September 26, 2016.
2. In a companion *Political File Clarification Order* released today, we resolve 11 other complaints filed by Campaign Legal Center and Sunlight Foundation alleging violations of the political

file requirements by a number of broadcast licensees.[[7]](#footnote-8) We also clarify in that order certain record-keeping obligations that the Act and the Commission’s rules impose on broadcast licensees and other entities[[8]](#footnote-9) with respect to the purchase of broadcast time by legally qualified candidates for elective office and issue advertisers.[[9]](#footnote-10) We do not take enforcement action in the *Political File Clarification Order* in instances in which a licensee failed to satisfy a requirement that we clarify in that order, but we admonish licensees for other violations of the statute and our rules.[[10]](#footnote-11) We take the same approach here. Thus, we do not take enforcement action against Scripps for conduct that implicates the requirements we clarify in the *Political File Clarification Order*. However, we admonish Scripps for other violations and place it on notice that, going forward, it will be subject to enforcement action for willful and/or repeated failure to comply with its political file obligations, as clarified in the companion order.

# background

1. Broadcast licensees have long been required by the Communications Act and the Commission’s rules to maintain political files for public inspection. The Commission has stated that the political file record-keeping requirements are intended “to provide for accurate documentation of the disposition of requests for time.”[[11]](#footnote-12) Every broadcast licensee bears a critical responsibility to ensure that its political files are complete.
2. Section 315(e) of the Act requires licensees to maintain political files for certain types of advertisements. Specifically, pursuant to Section 315(e)(1)(B), licensees are required to make available for public inspection a “complete record” of each request to purchase broadcast time that “communicates a message relating to any political matter of national importance, including (i) a legally qualified candidate;[[12]](#footnote-13) (ii) any election to Federal office;[[13]](#footnote-14) or (iii) a national legislative issue of public importance.”[[14]](#footnote-15)
3. As to each such request to purchase broadcast time, licensees are required under Section 315(e)(2) to identify in their political files “the name of the candidate to which the communication refers and the office to which the candidate is seeking election, the election to which the communication refers, or the issue to which the communication refers (as applicable),”[[15]](#footnote-16) and a “list of the chief executive officers or members of the executive committee or of the board of directors of [the purchasing entity].”[[16]](#footnote-17) The file also must contain information regarding “whether the request to purchase broadcast time is accepted or rejected by the licensee,”[[17]](#footnote-18) “the rate charged for the broadcast time,”[[18]](#footnote-19) “the date and time on which the communication is aired,”[[19]](#footnote-20) and “the class of time that is purchased.”[[20]](#footnote-21)
4. Complainants allege that as of September 6, 2016, there were 17 entries in Station WCPO-TV’s non-candidate issue advertisement file for 2016. Of these 17 files, Complainants allege that 16 were in violation of the Commission’s political file requirements[[21]](#footnote-22) because the files did not include information required by Section 315(e)(2) of the Act.[[22]](#footnote-23) In its Answer, Scripps generally states that for each of those ads, it placed in its political file: (a) NAB Form PB-10 (or other purchase form) provided by the potential advertiser;[[23]](#footnote-24) (b) a Scripps-generated Station Issue Advertising Request Sheet (Request Form); and (c) one or more order forms.[[24]](#footnote-25) Scripps concedes, however, that in some instances required information was missing from Station WCPO-TV’s political file.[[25]](#footnote-26)

# dicussion

1. We admonish Scripps for maintaining deficient political files with respect to two requests to purchase broadcast time for non-candidate issue advertisements (sponsored by AARP and the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee).[[26]](#footnote-27) We do not take enforcement action against Scripps for alleged political file violations with regard to the remaining 14 advertisements subject to the Complaint[[27]](#footnote-28) because the conduct at issue involved licensee obligations that we clarify in the *Political File Clarification Order*.[[28]](#footnote-29)

## Advertisement Sponsored by AARP

1. We admonish Scripps for maintaining a record in its political file for an AARP[[29]](#footnote-30) advertisement that did not identify *any* officials of the sponsoring entity, in willful violation of Section 315(e)(2)(G) of the Act and Section 73.1212(e) of the Commission’s rules.[[30]](#footnote-31) Section 315(e)(2)(G) of the Act and Section 73.1212(e) of our rules require licensees to maintain in their political files, among other things, a list of the chief executive officers or members of the executive committee or of the board of directors of the requesting organization.[[31]](#footnote-32)
2. The AARP advertisement advocated for a “real plan to keep Social Security strong” and called on the Presidential candidates in the Ohio Presidential primary elections to “[g]ive us a plan.” [[32]](#footnote-33) Scripps does not dispute that disclosure obligations were triggered by this ad under Section 315(e)(1)(B) because the ad communicated a message relating to two political matters of national importance -- an election to Federal office and a national legislative issue of public importance.[[33]](#footnote-34) As we explain in the *Political File* *Clarification Order*, once the disclosure requirements under Section 315(e)(1) were triggered, Scripps was required to provide, among other things, the information required by Section 315(e)(2)(G) of the Act and Section 73.1212(e) of the Commission’s rules (i.e., the names of *all* chief executive officers or members of the executive committee or board of directors of AARP).[[34]](#footnote-35) Scripps admits, however, that Station WCPO-TV’s political file did not identify *any* AARP officers or other like officials.[[35]](#footnote-36) Had Scripps disclosed at least one AARP officer, given that the conduct occurred before release of our *Political File Clarification Order,* we would have refrained in this instance from taking action.[[36]](#footnote-37) However, because it failed to disclose *any* AARP officials, we conclude that an admonishment is warranted. Accordingly, we admonish Scripps for its failure to comply with this aspect of its disclosure obligation with regard to this advertisement.

## Advertisement Sponsored by the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee

1. We also admonish Scripps for maintaining a record in its political file for a Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee[[37]](#footnote-38) advertisement that did not identify the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee as the sponsoring entity, in willful violation of Section 315(e)(2)(G) of the Act.[[38]](#footnote-39) Section 315(e)(2)(G) requires, among other things, disclosure of “the name of the person purchasing the time” for political advertisements.[[39]](#footnote-40) Scripps concedes that it identified the sponsor of the ad in Station WCPO-TV’s political file using the acronym “DSCC-IE”[[40]](#footnote-41) and that this acronym was “insufficiently descriptive.”[[41]](#footnote-42)
2. Scripps’ identification of the sponsoring entity through use of the acronym “DSCC-IE” was not adequate to discharge its responsibility under Section 315(e)(2)(G) because the general public likely is not aware of what organization is represented by that acronym.[[42]](#footnote-43) Although Scripps maintains in its Answer that “any disclosure problem is substantially lessened by the fact that no ads from this buyer [had] yet run,”[[43]](#footnote-44) licensees are required under Section 315(e)(2)(G) to identify in their political files the name of the sponsoring organization for every request to purchase broadcast time that communicates a message relating to a political matter of national importance. Section 315(e) provides no exception from the obligation to disclose the sponsor of an ad in cases where an ad has not yet aired. Accordingly, we admonish Scripps for its failure to properly identify the sponsoring organization in Station WCPO-TV’s political file.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. **ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED** that Scripps Media, Inc. **IS ADMONISHED** for failing to maintain complete political files for Station WCPO-TV, in willful violation of Section 315(e)(2)(G) of the Act and Section 73.1212(e) of our rules.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the complaint filed by Campaign Legal Center, Common Cause, Sunlight Foundation, and Benton Foundation on September 26, 2016, is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated and is **DENIED IN ALL OTHER RESPECTS**.
3. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this Order shall be sent by United States first class and certified mail, return receipt requested, to: Kenneth C. Howard, Jr., Esq., Baker & Hostetler LLP, Washington Square, Suite 1100, 1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20036, Counsel for Scripps Media, Inc., licensee of Station WCPO-TV, Cincinnati, OH.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William T. Lake

Chief, Media Bureau
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