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By the Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau:

1. The Audio Division has before it a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* (*Notice*)**[[1]](#footnote-2)** issued in response to a Petition for Rule Making (Petition) filed by Red Lake Nation (Petitioner),**[[2]](#footnote-3)** proposing to amend the FM Table of Allotments, Section 73.202(b) of the Commission’s rules,**[[3]](#footnote-4)** by allotting FM Channel 287C1 at Red Lake, Minnesota, as a Tribal Allotment and a first local Tribal-owned service to the community.**[[4]](#footnote-5)** Petitioner filed comments. No other comments were received. For the reasons discussed below, we grant the Petition and allot Channel 287C1 at Red Lake, Minnesota, to the FM Table of Allotments as a Tribal Allotment.
2. **Background.** In *Rural Radio*,[[5]](#footnote-6) the Commission concluded that the public interest would be served by establishing a Section 307(b) priority in favor of Tribes and Tribal Entities proposing the allotment of FM channels to serve Tribal Lands.[[6]](#footnote-7) The Commission instituted several eligibility criteria to qualify for a Tribal Allotment.[[7]](#footnote-8) Petitioner, a federally-recognized Tribe,[[8]](#footnote-9) seeks waiver of the Tribal Lands coverage criteria of the Tribal Allotment Criteria,[[9]](#footnote-10) specifically subpart (B)(2)(c). Petitioner states that the total population on Tribal Lands residing within the proposed service contour constitutes less than 50 percent of the total covered population.[[10]](#footnote-11) In support of its waiver request, Petitioner explains that the proposed Class C1 allotment was selected in order to provide “meaningful service” to as many Red Lake Nation Tribal members as possible, while taking into account transmitter site constraints.[[11]](#footnote-12) Petitioner states that there is limited selection for the proposed transmitter site due to several factors, including the infrastructure of Red Lake Nation Tribal Lands; the majority of the Tribal Lands are consumed by the Upper and Lower Red Lakes; and the international and domestic interference protection requirements.[[12]](#footnote-13) Given the constraints on the transmitter placement, Petitioner explains that the proposed Class C1 allotment service area is needed in order to provide the requisite coverage to Red Lake Nation Tribal Lands and the community of Red Lake;[[13]](#footnote-14) to serve the significant number of Tribal members who live on “ceded” lands north of Red Lake Nation’s legal boundaries;[[14]](#footnote-15) and to provide some coverage to Bemidji and Thief River Falls, Minnesota, which are employment, training, and retail sources for Tribal members.[[15]](#footnote-16) Thief River Falls, for example, is the headquarters location of the Tribally owned Seven Clans Casino, which employs 300 Red Lake Nation citizens and is the location where Red Lake and Warroad Casino (both also Tribally owned) employees receive training.[[16]](#footnote-17) Finally, Petitioner explains that the proposed facility will serve as a vital communications source for Tribal members traveling through the region.[[17]](#footnote-18)
3. Petitioner certifies that its proposal otherwise meets the requirements established in *Rural Radio* for a Tribal Priority.[[18]](#footnote-19) Petitioner states that (1) the proposed 70 dBu contour encompasses greater than 77 percent of Tribal Lands and serves 11,696 people living on Tribal Lands;[[19]](#footnote-20) (2) Red Lake, Minnesota, the proposed community of license, is located on Red Lake Nation Tribal Lands; (3) the proposed facility will be the first local transmission and Tribal-owned commercial radio service at Red Lake;[[20]](#footnote-21) and (4) the proposed principal community contour would not cover more than 50 percent of the Tribal Lands of a non-applicant Tribe.[[21]](#footnote-22) Petitioner further states that Red Lake, Minnesota, is a community for allotment purposes. Red Lake is a census-designated place (CDP) in Beltrami County, with its own ZIP code,[[22]](#footnote-23) and a 2010 census population of 1,731 persons, of whom over 97 percent are Native American,[[23]](#footnote-24) and Red Lake is home to the tribal government, high school, hospital, nursing home, and Red Lake Nation College.[[24]](#footnote-25) Petitioner filed comments supporting the allotment proposed in the *Notice*.[[25]](#footnote-26)
4. **Discussion.** We find that the public interest will be served, and that there is good cause,[[26]](#footnote-27) for waiver of subpart (B)(2)(c) of the Tribal Allotment Criteria. In the *Second R&O*, the Commission specifically stated that it will entertain waiver requests from applicants proposing Tribal service to areas where the population on Tribal Lands is less than 50 percent of the covered population.[[27]](#footnote-28) The Commission explained that it would be receptive to waiver showings for proposed facilities designed to minimize non-Tribal coverage, while still providing needed service to Tribal Lands, or to remedy the absence of Tribal radio service in the area.[[28]](#footnote-29) Here, Petitioner has demonstrated that due to transmitter site limitations, the proposed Class C1 maximum allotment is the only means to provide needed service to the legal boundaries of Red Lake Nation Tribal Lands (excluding Northwest Angle lands on the Canadian Border) and to as many Red Lake Nation Tribal members as possible. Petitioner also demonstrated that the proposed allotment will serve Tribal members who live on “ceded” land north of the Red Lake Reservation; those who are employed by or are training at Tribally owned businesses including casinos; and Tribal members traveling to and from major retail areas in the region.
5. The facts presented by Petitioner demonstrate that allotting Channel 287C1 at Red Lake, Minnesota, as a Tribal Allotment will serve the public interest by providing vital radio service to Red Lake and to surrounding Red Lake Nation Lands and by enabling the Petitioner to set its own communications priorities and goals with respect to this new service. The allotment will also further the public interest by providing a first local Tribal-owned transmission service at Red Lake, Minnesota. A staff engineering analysis confirms that Channel 287C1 can be allotted to Red Lake consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of the Commission’s rules with a site restriction of 42.4 km (25.34 miles) northwest of the community[[29]](#footnote-30) at reference coordinates 47-59-00 NL and 95-33-33 WL.
6. **Conclusion.** Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, That Red Lake Nation’s waiver request of subpart (B)(2)(c) of the Tribal Allotment Criteria,[[30]](#footnote-31) IS GRANTED.
7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That effective April 8, 2017, the FM Table of Allotments, 47 CFR Section 73.202(b), IS AMENDED as follows:[[31]](#footnote-32)

 Community Channel Number

 Red Lake, Minnesota 287C1[[32]](#footnote-33)

1. The Commission will send a copy of this *Report and Order* in a report to Congress and the **Government Accountability Office** pursuant to the Congressional Review Act.[[33]](#footnote-34) The Commission will release in the near future a public notice announcing a Threshold Qualifications Window. Any qualifying applicant may file an FCC Form 301 for this channel during the window.[[34]](#footnote-35)
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this proceeding IS TERMINATED.
3. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Adrienne Y. Denysyk, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2700.
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