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**Adopted: January 9, 2017 Released:** **January 10, 2017**

By the Deputy Chief, Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. In this Order to Show Cause, Hearing Designation Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, we commence a hearing proceeding before the Administrative Law Judge to determine whether Acumen Communications (Acumen) is qualified to be and to remain a Federal Communications Commission (Commission) licensee, and as a consequence thereof, whether any or all of its licenses should be revoked, and whether any or all of the applications to which Acumen is a party should be denied.[[1]](#footnote-2)
2. As discussed more fully below, based on the totality of the evidence, there are substantial and material questions of fact as to whether Acumen repeatedly made misrepresentations to and lacked candor with the Commission in its submission of fifty applications in connection with various Wireless Radio Service authorizations.
3. The Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (Bureau), issues this Order to Show Cause, Hearing Designation Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing pursuant to Sections 309(e), 312(a)(1), 312(a)(2), 312(a)(4), and 313(c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act),[[2]](#footnote-3) and the Bureau’s delegated authority.[[3]](#footnote-4)

**II. BACKGROUND**

1. Acumen is a California corporation engaged in the business of providing two-way radio service in Los Angeles County, California. Acumen presently holds eight licenses for Private Land Mobile Radio (PMLR) stations in the Los Angeles area, one PLMR license authorizing itinerant operation nationwide, and two licenses for microwave stations in the Los Angeles area.[[4]](#footnote-5)
2. Acumen applied for its first license with the Commission in 2007. On October 17, 2007, Acumen submitted an application for a new license for PMLR Station WQHT327.[[5]](#footnote-6) Applicants for PLMR and microwave licenses must use Commission Form 601, entitled “FCC Application for Radio Service Authorization,” a form used for applications for radio service authorizations from the Bureau and from the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau.[[6]](#footnote-7) Under the heading “Basic Qualification Questions,” Question 50 asks whether “the Applicant or any party to this application, or any party directly or indirectly controlling the Applicant, [has] ever been convicted of a felony by any state or federal court.”[[7]](#footnote-8) Question 50 provides the Applicant with a place in which it can respond either “Y” for Yes or “N” for No.[[8]](#footnote-9) In connection with its application for a new license for PMLR Station WQHT327, Acumen responded “N” to Question 50.[[9]](#footnote-10) Acumen also answered “N” to Question 50 in forty-nine (49) subsequent Commission Form 601 submissions, either for a new license or to modify an existing license,[[10]](#footnote-11) most recently on May 11, 2015.[[11]](#footnote-12)
3. From the evidence before the Bureau, it appears that Hector Manuel Mosquera (Mr. Mosquera) is, and has been since Acumen’s October 17, 2007 submission of its first Commission application, a manager with Acumen. Mr. Mosquera signed Acumen’s first Commission Form 601, identifying his title as “manager.”[[12]](#footnote-13) Mr. Mosquera similarly identified himself as a “manager” of Acumen in each subsequent Commission Form 601 submission.[[13]](#footnote-14) It further appears that Mr. Mosquera is an officer of Acumen,[[14]](#footnote-15) and has been the sole shareholder of Acumen, since its creation.[[15]](#footnote-16) This evidence indicates that Mr. Mosquera directly or indirectly controls Acumen.
4. On January 26, 2015, Mobile Relay Associates (MRA) filed an Informal Objection to Acumen’s modification applications (File Nos. 0005834762, 0005839763, 0005840938, 0005962267, and 0006039610),[[16]](#footnote-17) and supplemented its pending Petition to Dismiss or Deny, Or Informal Objection to Acumen’s modification application (File No. 0005614865),[[17]](#footnote-18) based on assertions that Acumen “knowingly lied” to the Commission when it responded “N” to Question 50 on these modification applications.[[18]](#footnote-19) MRA asserts that Mr. Mosquera is a principal and officer of Acumen who was apparently convicted in March 1992 of possession for sale of a controlled substance, in violation of California Health Safety Code Section 11351, and sentenced to serve two years in California State Prison.[[19]](#footnote-20) MRA further asserts that because Mr. Mosquera signed Acumen’s modification applications, he “knew full well that [the “N”] answer was totally false.”[[20]](#footnote-21) MRA contends that Acumen’s false statements to the Commission were intentional and warrant denial of its pending applications and revocation of its licenses.[[21]](#footnote-22)
5. On November 17, 2016, the Enforcement Bureau sent a Letter of Inquiry (LOI) to Mr. Mosquera, investigating, *inter alia*, Mr. Mosquera’s control of Acumen, and whether he, or any other person who directly or indirectly controls Acumen, has ever been convicted of a felony.[[22]](#footnote-23) The LOI directed Mr. Mosquera to provide the requested information within 30 calendar days.[[23]](#footnote-24) Mr. Mosquera’s response to the LOI was due by December 19, 2016. Mr. Mosquera never responded to the LOI.

**III. DISCUSSION**

1. Pursuant to Section 309(e) of the Act,the Commission is required to designate an application for evidentiary hearing if a substantial and material question of fact is presented regarding whether grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[24]](#footnote-25)  The character of an applicant is among those factors that the Commission considers in determining whether the applicant has the requisite qualifications to be a Commission licensee.[[25]](#footnote-26)
2. *Misrepresentation/Lack of Candor and Section 1.17.* The Commission and the courts have recognized that “[t]he FCC relies heavily on the honesty and probity of its licensees in a regulatory system that is largely self-policing.”[[26]](#footnote-27) In considering an applicant’s character, one of the Commission’s primary purposes is to ensure that licensees will be truthful in their future dealings with the Commission. Full and clear disclosure of all material facts in every application is essential to the efficient administration of the Commission's licensing process, and proper analysis of an application is critically dependent on the accuracy and completeness of information and data which only the applicant can provide. Misrepresentation and lack of candor raise serious concerns as to the likelihood of such truthfulness.[[27]](#footnote-28)
3. Section 1.17(a)(1) of the Commission’s rules states that no person shall, in any written or oral statement of fact, intentionally provide material factual information that is incorrect or intentionally omit material information that is necessary to prevent any material factual statement that is made from being incorrect or misleading.[[28]](#footnote-29) We note that a misrepresentation is a false statement of fact made with the intent to deceive the Commission.[[29]](#footnote-30) Lack of candor is a concealment, evasion, or other failure to be fully informative, accompanied by an intent to deceive the Commission.[[30]](#footnote-31) A necessary and essential element of both misrepresentation and lack of candor is intent to deceive.[[31]](#footnote-32) Fraudulent intent can be found from “the fact of misrepresentation coupled with proof that the party making it had knowledge of its falsity.”[[32]](#footnote-33) Intent can also be found from motive or logical desire to deceive.[[33]](#footnote-34)
4. Section 1.17(a)(2) of the Commission’s rules further requires that no person may provide, in any written statement of fact, “material factual information that is incorrect or omit material information that is necessary to prevent any material factual statement that is made from being incorrect or misleading without a reasonable basis for believing that any such material factual statement is correct and not misleading.”[[34]](#footnote-35) Thus, even absent an intent to deceive, a false statement may constitute an actionable violation of Section 1.17 of the Commission’s rules if provided without a reasonable basis for believing that the material factual information it contains is correct and not misleading.[[35]](#footnote-36)
5. In the instant case, Acumen represented to the Commission on fifty (50) occasions that no party directly or indirectly controlling Acumen has ever been convicted of a felony by any state or federal court. As discussed above, the information before us indicates that Mr. Mosquera, a party directly or indirectly controlling Acumen, was convicted of a felony by a state court in California. The evidence further indicates that Mr. Mosquera signed Acumen’s initial application which apparently contained false information and each subsequent application in which Acumen answered “N” to Question 50. Yet, Acumen has not corrected its response to Question 50 in any of its applications. Indeed, even after MRA filed its Informal Objection and Supplement to Petition in January 2015, raising the question of whether Acumen misrepresented and/or lacked candor with the Commission by answering “N” to Question 50 in its applications, Acumen submitted an application in which it responded “N” to Question 50.[[36]](#footnote-37) We therefore designate for hearing appropriate issues to determine whether Acumen misrepresented and/or lacked candor in its dealings with the Commission either with an intent to deceive and/or in willful and repeated violation of Section 1.17 of the Commission’s rules.
6. *Failure to Maintain Completeness and Accuracy of Pending Applications*. Under Section 1.65 of the Commission’s rules, an applicant is responsible for the continuing accuracy and completeness of the information furnished in a pending application or in Commission proceedings involving a pending application.[[37]](#footnote-38) Whenever the information furnished in the pending application is no longer substantially accurate and complete in all significant respects, the applicant must, within 30 days, amend its application so as to furnish the additional or correct information.[[38]](#footnote-39) For the purposes of Section 1.65, an application is “pending” before the Commission from the time it is accepted for filing until a Commission grant (or denial) is no longer subject to reconsideration by the Commission or review by any court.[[39]](#footnote-40)
7. In the instant case, Acumen’s captioned applications remain pending.[[40]](#footnote-41) Thus, Acumen has been under a continuing obligation to ensure the accuracy of these applications and to amend them as appropriate. Nevertheless, Acumen has never informed the Commission that its pending applications contained information it must have known to be false. Even after MRA filed its Informal Objection and Supplement to Petition in January 2015, raising the question of whether Acumen misrepresented and/or lacked candor with the Commission by answering “N” to Question 50 in its applications, Acumen did not amend the then pending applications (File Nos. 0005614865, 0005834762, 0005839763, 0005840938, 0005962267, 0006039610). Accordingly, we designate for hearing an appropriate issue to determine whether Acumen willfully and/or repeatedly violated Section 1.65 of the Commission’s rules.

**III. ORDERING CLAUSES**

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to Sections 309(e), 312(a)(1), 312(a)(2), 312(a)(4), and 312(c) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 309(e), 312(a)(1), 312(a)(2), 312(a)(4), and 312(c), that Acumen Communications **SHALL SHOW CAUSE** why the authorizations for which it is the licensee set forth in Attachment A should not be revoked, and that the above-captioned applications filed by Acumen Communications are **DESIGNATED FOR HEARING** in a consolidated proceeding before an FCC Administrative Law Judge, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:
2. To determine whether Hector Manuel Mosquera directly or indirectly controls Acumen.
3. To determine whether Acumen engaged in misrepresentation and/or lack of candor in its applications with the Commission.
4. To determine whether Acumen failed to amend its pending applications, in willful and/or repeated violation of Section 1.65 of the Commission’s rules.
5. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether Acumen is qualified to be and remain a Commission licensee.
6. To determine, in light of the foregoing issues, whether the authorizations for which Acumen is the licensee should be revoked.
7. To determine, in light of the foregoing issues, whether the captioned applications filed by or on behalf of Acumen should be granted.
8. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in addition to the resolution of the foregoing issues, it shall be determined, pursuant to Section 503(b)(1) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1), whether an **ORDER OF FORFEITURE** should be issued against Acumen in an amount not to exceed the statutory limit for the willful and/or repeated violation of each rule section above for which the statute of limitations in Section 503(b)(6) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(6), has not lapsed.
9. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 312(c) of the Act and Sections 1.91(c) and 1.221 of the rules, 47 U.S.C. § 312(c) and 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.91(c) and 1.221, to avail itself of the opportunity to be heard and to present evidence at a hearing in this proceeding, Acumen, in person or by an attorney, **SHALL FILE** with the Commission, within 20 calendar days of the release of this Order, a written appearance stating that it will appear at the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified above.
10. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 1.91 of the rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.91, if Acumen fails to file a timely appearance, its right to a hearing shall be deemed to be waived. In the event the right to a hearing is waived, the Chief Administrative Law Judge (or presiding officer if one has been designated) shall, at the earliest practicable date, issue an order reciting the events or circumstances constituting a waiver of hearing, terminating the hearing proceeding, and certifying the case to the Commission. In addition, pursuant to Section 1.221 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.221, if any applicant to any of the captioned applications fails to file a timely written appearance, the captioned application shall be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute.
11. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Chief, Enforcement Bureau, shall be made a party to this proceeding without the need to file a written appearance.
12. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that pursuant to Section 312(d) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 312(d), and Section 1.91(d) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.91(d), the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof shall be upon the Enforcement Bureau as to the issues at 15(a)-(e), above, and that, pursuant to Section 309(e) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 309(e), and Section 1.254 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.254, the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof shall be upon Acumen as to the issue at 15(f), above.
13. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Mobile Relay Associates shall be made a party to this hearing in its capacity as a petitioner to one or more of the captioned applications.
14. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of each document filed in this proceeding subsequent to the date of adoption of this document **SHALL BE SERVED** on the counsel of record appearing on behalf of the Chief, Enforcement Bureau. Parties may inquire as to the identity of such counsel by calling the Investigations & Hearings Division of the Enforcement Bureau at (202) 418-1420. Such service copy **SHALL BE ADDRESSED** to the named counsel of record, Investigations & Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20554.
15. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that copies of this document shall be sent via Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested to the following:

Acumen Communications
c/o Doug Thompson
CARA Enterprises, Inc.
P.O. Box 400124
Las Vegas, NV 89140-0124

Acumen Communications
10670 S La Cienega #C
Inglewood, CA 90304
Attn: Radio Manager

Mobile Relay Associates
c/o David J. Kaufman
Rini O’Neil, PC
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

1. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this document, or a summary thereof, shall be published in the Federal Register.

 **FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

 Scot Stone

 Deputy Chief, Mobility Division

 Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

**Attachment A**

The following authorizations of which Acumen Communications is the licensee are the subject of this license revocation hearing:

1. WQHT327
2. WQHT586
3. WQJF635
4. WQKJ907
5. WQLT672
6. WQMD655
7. WQPP551
8. WQC7917
9. WQOI628
10. WQSI833
11. WQSI834

**Attachment B**

The following pending applications are designated for hearing in this proceeding:

1. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005614865.
2. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005834762.
3. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005839763.
4. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005840938.
5. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005962267.
6. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0006039610.
7. Acumen Communications, Application for Renewal, File No. 0006823865.

**Attachment C**

1. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003206364 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4187260>).
2. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003226585 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4209481>).
3. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0003231557 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4216578>).
4. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0003232349 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4216619>).
5. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003389931 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4403350>).
6. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0003519151 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4557667>).
7. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003543190 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4583850>).
8. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003613480 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4663765>).
9. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003619198 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4670471>).
10. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003724420 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4796344>).
11. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003772390 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4862214>).
12. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0003850693 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4967012>).
13. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003863496 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4983857>).
14. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No 0003960822 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5119224>).
15. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0003983568 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5154794>);
16. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0003985258 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5153808>).
17. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0004043412 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5236555>).
18. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004084657 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5290449>).
19. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004179068 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5415039>).
20. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004217150 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5476695>)
21. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0004263894 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5540040>).
22. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0004273262 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5552524>).
23. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0004298246 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5617111>).
24. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004310019 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5601959>).
25. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004590742 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5972747>).
26. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0004600385 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5980070>).
27. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0004621588 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6010347>).
28. Acumen Communications, Application for Amendment, File No. 0004644220 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6118102>).
29. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004668773 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6072880>).
30. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0004857805 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6302868>).
31. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005034798 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6572851>).
32. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005086980 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6640905>).
33. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005123745 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6691929>).
34. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005123762 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6691937>).
35. Acumen Communications, Application for Amendment, File No. 0005124386 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6798483>).
36. Acumen Communications, Application for New License, File No. 0005182423 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6774756>).
37. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005222630 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=6826942>).
38. Acumen Communications, Application for Amendment, File No. 0005452410 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7474337>).
39. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005583161 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7329384>).
40. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005614865 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7375290>).
41. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005834762 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7675687>).
42. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005839763 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7683264>).
43. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0005840938 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7685997>).
44. Acumen Communications, Application for Amendment, File No. 0005846136 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7693067>);
45. Acumen Communications, Application for Amendment, File No. 0005846253 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7693069>).
46. Acumen Communications, Application for Amendment, File No. 0005962267 (<http://appsint.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7864913>)
47. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0006039610 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=7988858>).
48. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0006188134 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=8185179>);
49. Acumen Communications, Application for Modification, File No. 0006797818 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=9009062>).
1. A list of the authorizations held by Acumen that are the subject of this Order is appended hereto as Attachment A. A list of the pending applications filed by Acumen that are the subject of this Order is appended hereto as Attachment B. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. *See* 47 U.S.C. §§ 309(e), 312(a)(1), 312(a)(2), 312(a)(4), and 313(c). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 0.131 and 0.331. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. *See* Attachment A, appended hereto. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. *See* File No. 0003202174 (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4182411>). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.913(a)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. *See*, *e.g*., Commission Form 601 at Question 50. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. *See id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. *See* File No. 0003202174, Commission Form 601 at Question 50. (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4182411>). [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. *See* Attachment C, appended hereto. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. *See* File No. 0006797818; *see also* Attachment C. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
12. *See* File No. 0003202174, Commission Form 601 at 4. (<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4182411>). For electronically filed applications, the electronic signature consists of the name of the applicant transmitted electronically via the Commission’s Universal Licensing System, and entered on the application as a signature. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.917(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
13. *See* Attachment C. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
14. *See* [Acumen’s] Response to *Forfeiture Order* issued by the Enforcement Bureau (DA 15-747), dated July 39 [sic], 2015, which Mr. Mosquera signed as an officer of Acumen Communications, Inc. *See also* *Acumen Communications*, Third Supplement to Petition to Dismiss or Deny, or Informal Objection, Application File No. 0005614865, filed October 2, 2015 (Third Supplement) at Exhibit 1, Verification (Mr. Mosquera declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that he is an officer of Acumen Communications, Inc.) and Exhibit 2, Verification (same); *Acumen Communications*, Second Supplement to Informal Objection, Application File Nos. 0005834762, 0005839763, 0005840938, 0005962267, and 0006039610, filed Oct. 9, 2015 (Second Supplement) at Exhibit 1, Verification and Exhibit 2, Verification. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
15. *See* Third Supplement at Exhibit 3 at 43:7-12 Exhibit 3 at 43:7-12 (deposition testimony that Mr. Mosquera is the only shareholder of Acumen); Second Supplement at Exhibit 3 at 43:7-12 (same). [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
16. *See Acumen Communications*, Informal Objection, Application File Nos. 0005834762, 0005839763, 0005840938, 0005962267, and 0006039610, filed Jan. 26, 2015 (Informal Objection). [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
17. *Acumen Communications*, Supplement to Petition to Dismiss or Deny, Or Informal Objection, Application File No. 0005614865, filed Jan. 26, 2015 (Supplement to Petition). [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
18. Informal Objection at 2; Supplement to Petition at 2. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
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