Before the

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of  AT&T Services Inc. and AT&T Corp.,  Complainants,  v.  Great Lakes Comnet, Inc. and  Westphalia Telephone Company,  Defendants. | )  )  )  )  )  )  )  )  )  )  )  ) | Proceeding Number 14-222  Bureau ID Number EB-14-MD-013 |

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

**Adopted:** May 4, 2017 **Released:** May 4, 2017

By the Acting Chief, Market Disputes Resolution Division, Enforcement Bureau:

1. On October 22, 2014, AT&T Services, Inc., and AT&T Corp. (collectively, AT&T) filed with this Commission a formal complaint against Great Lakes Comnet, Inc. (GLC) and Westphalia Telephone Company (WTC) (collectively, Defendants) under Section 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act), 47 U.S.C. § 208.[[1]](#footnote-2)
2. On March 18, 2015, the Commission issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order that granted count I of AT&T’s Complaint.[[2]](#footnote-3) Defendants filed a petition for review of the *Order* with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit). While the petition for review was pending, GLC filed a petition for bankruptcy with the Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Michigan.[[3]](#footnote-4) The bankruptcy court lifted the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code in order to allow the petition for review to be heard.[[4]](#footnote-5) The D.C. Circuit subsequently denied the petition for review in part and granted the petition for review in part, remanding one issue to the Commission for further consideration.[[5]](#footnote-6)
3. On May 2, 2017, the parties advised the Commission that they “reached a voluntary settlement of their disputes and that settlement has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court in which AT&T, WTC and GLC executed mutual releases.”[[6]](#footnote-7) The parties agree that the proceeding before the Commission should be dismissed with prejudice.[[7]](#footnote-8)
4. We are satisfied that granting the Motion will serve the public interest by promoting the private resolution of disputes, eliminating the need for further litigation, and conserving the resources of the parties and this Commission.
5. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to Sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), and 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), and 208, and Commission rules 0.111, 0.311, and 1.720-1.736, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.311, and 1.720-1.736, that the Motion is **GRANTED**, and that this proceeding is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
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