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**Revised Comment Date: September 21, 2017**

**Revised Reply Comment Date: October 6, 2017**

By the Chief, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, and the Chief, Competition and Infrastructure Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

1. By this Order, the Wireline Competition Bureau and Wireless Telecommunications Bureau extend the deadline for filing initial and reply comments in response to the Thirteenth Section 706 Report Notice of Inquiry until September 21, 2017 and October 6, 2017, respectively.[[1]](#footnote-2)
2. The Thirteenth Section 706 Report Notice of Inquiry set dates for filing comments and reply comments on September 7, 2017 and September 22, 2017, respectively. On August 31, 2017, New America’s Open Technology Institute, American Library Association, Center for Democracy & Technology, Center for Media Justice, Center for Rural Strategies, Common Cause, Computer & Communications Industry Association, INCOMPAS, National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of their low-income clients), National Hispanic Media Coalition, OpenMedia, Open Media and Information Companies Initiative (Open MIC), and Public Knowledge (collectively, Movants) jointly filed a Request for Extension of Time to File Initial and Reply Comments (Motion) seeking an eight-week extension of the comment and reply comment deadlines in this proceeding.[[2]](#footnote-3) In addition, on August 31, 2017, twelve United States Senators jointly sent a letter (Request) to the Chairman and Commissioners seeking a 30-day extension for both the initial and reply comment periods.[[3]](#footnote-4)
3. Movants contend that an extension is warranted because (1) the Commission’s current Section 706 proceeding could have “an enormous impact on the Commission’s role in regulating broadband internet access service providers and promoting broadband deployment”; (2) the questions raised by the Section 706 Notice of Inquiry are “directly tied to questions posed in other proceedings for which comment cycles are currently in progress”; (3) the record sought by the Section 706 Notice of Inquiry is a “detailed record that takes considerable time to procure”; and (4) the requested extension “will not cause undue delay or prejudice for the Commission.”[[4]](#footnote-5) The Senators’ Request states that the Section 706 Notice of Inquiry “signals potentially significant changes in agency policy” and “that such substantial shifts in policy require greater consideration and debate” than the current schedule for comments.[[5]](#footnote-6)
4. While it is the policy of the Commission that “extensions shall not be routinely granted,”[[6]](#footnote-7) we find that an extension of the comment and reply comment deadline is appropriate in this case in order to allow interested parties to review relevant public materials and develop thorough, informative submissions. While we recognize that the Motion and Request seek lengthier extensions, we find, consistent with past Commission precedent granting partial extensions, that an additional two weeks is an appropriate period of time to extend the comment and reply comment deadlines in order to provide parties additional time to analyze the technical, legal, and policy issues raised in this proceeding.[[7]](#footnote-8) Furthermore, given Section 706’s mandated 180-day timeframe for completion of the inquiry,[[8]](#footnote-9) a longer extension could inhibit the Commission’s ability to fully consider the record and meet its statutory obligations. We find that permitting interested parties an additional two weeks in which to file their comments and reply comments will allow parties to provide the Commission with more thorough comments, ensuring that the Commission has a complete record on which to develop its decisions while giving the Commission sufficient time to meet its statutory obligation.
5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), 5, and 303(r) of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 155, and 303(r), and sections 0.91, 0.131, 0.291, 0.331, 1.46, and 1.415 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.131, 0.291, 0.331, 1.46, and 1.415, that the Motion for Extension of Time to File Initial and Reply Comments filed by New America’s Open Technology Institute, American Library Association, Center for Democracy & Technology, Center for Media Justice, Center for Rural Strategies, Common Cause, Computer & Communications Industry Association, INCOMPAS, National Consumer Law Center, National Hispanic Media Coalition, OpenMedia, Open Media and Information Companies Initiative, and Public Knowledge on August 31, 2017, IS GRANTED, IN PART, and the deadline for filing comments is extended to September 21, 2017 and the deadline for filing reply comments is extended to October 6, 2017.
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