**DA 17-959**

**Released: October 2, 2017**

**secure DATA ENCLAVE FOR ACCESSING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS DATA SERVICES (SPecial access) DATA will close on december 31, 2017**

**WC Docket Nos. 16-143, 15-247, 05-25; RM-10593**

In this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) announces that the secure data enclave used by authorized parties for accessing and analyzing highly confidential data filed in the business data services (special access) proceeding will close on **December 31, 2017**.

On December 18, 2012, the Commission released the *Data Collection Order* initiating a comprehensive data collection for analyzing the business data services market and delegated authority to the Bureau to implement the collection.[[1]](#footnote-1) Data collected include information from providers and purchasers of traditional circuit-based dedicated services, including DS1s and DS3s, packet-based dedicated services such as Ethernet, and from certain entities providing best effort business broadband Internet access service.[[2]](#footnote-2) By February 27, 2015, the last group of filers were required to respond to the data collection.[[3]](#footnote-3) The collected data and analysis based on the data are integral parts of the record in the broader rulemaking proceeding on what regulatory framework to apply to price cap incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) interstate business data services.[[4]](#footnote-4) The data was also incorporated into the record of the Commission’s investigation into terms and conditions of certain incumbent LEC tariff pricing plans for business data services.[[5]](#footnote-5)

The collected data includes highly competitively sensitive information only available subject to protective orders that govern the processes for designating, submitting and accessing confidential and highly confidential information.[[6]](#footnote-6) Access to the highly confidential data is limited to outside counsel and consultants, and their employees, not involved in competitive decision-making.[[7]](#footnote-7) Individuals seeking access to the data are required to execute and file with the Commission acknowledgments of confidentiality (Acknowledgments) subject to an objection process.[[8]](#footnote-8)

Given the scale and scope of the collection and to allow parties to participate meaningfully in the proceeding, the Bureau established a secure data enclave as the exclusive method for authorized parties to access and analyze the highly confidential data submitted in response to the data collection.[[9]](#footnote-9) The Commission contracted with the National Opinion Research Center dba NORC at the University of Chicago (NORC) to host the secure data enclave through its NORC Data Enclave.[[10]](#footnote-10) Authorized parties have been able to access the data and analytical software either remotely via a virtual private network (VPN) or in-person at NORC facilities in Bethesda, Maryland.[[11]](#footnote-11) The NORC Data Enclave provides access to the data, analytical software to analyze the data, and virtual lockers for storing notes and analysis results. Parties have also been able to remove their analysis results subject to NORC’s release process.[[12]](#footnote-12) These procedures provided authorized parties with a full opportunity to analyze the highly confidential data, and to present the results of their analysis and arguments based on those results to the Commission.[[13]](#footnote-13)

On April 28, 2017, after carefully reviewing commenters’ arguments raised in the record, including those based on analysis of highly confidential data available through the NORC Data Enclave, the Commission released the *Business Data Services Order* establishing a new regulatory framework for the provision of business data services.[[14]](#footnote-14) No party filed a petition for reconsideration of the *Business Data Services Order*, so there is no further need for authorized parties to access the NORC Data Enclave for the purpose of presenting arguments based on new data analysis not already in the record that the Commission has not had an opportunity to pass.[[15]](#footnote-15) While several parties petitioned for judicial review of the *Business Data Services Order*,[[16]](#footnote-16) the briefing schedule established by the court concludes on January 2, 2018.[[17]](#footnote-17) That deadline will afford the petitioners, respondents and intervenors ample time to access the NORC Data Enclave before it closes for purposes of judicial review.

On August 29, 2017, the D.C. Circuit remanded the Commission’s *Tariff Investigation Order*, which had declared unlawful certain incumbent LEC tariff terms and conditions for business data services.[[18]](#footnote-18) Given the scope of the analyses already presented to the Commission, the Commission’s findings in the *Business Data Services Order*, and the additional data collected as part of the tariff investigation, we find that it is highly unlikely that resolution of this remand will require any additional analysis of the data in the NORC Data Enclave.[[19]](#footnote-19)

In these circumstances, we find that there is little, if any, utility in maintaining continued access to the complete business data services dataset via the secure data enclave. Maintaining that access would entail significant public expense and require continued oversight by Commission staff. Even after the secure data enclave is closed, authorized parties will still be able to access unredacted highly confidential data in comments and reply comments filed in the record of this proceeding pursuant to the protective orders.[[20]](#footnote-20) Finally, most of the data collected dates from 2013 and is becoming increasingly less reflective of current market conditions.[[21]](#footnote-21) In sum, the financial and administrative burdens to the Commission and the public of continuing to maintain the secure data enclave far outweigh any benefits of continuing to provide parties with access to the data for analysis.

Accordingly, on December 31, 2017 the secure data enclave for business data services data will close. After that date, parties will no longer have access to the secure data enclave, including the business data services data, analytical software and any virtual lockers containing notes and analysis results.[[22]](#footnote-22) Any notes, analysis results or other documents, programs or files saved to virtual lockers will be deleted and parties will no longer be able to request copies from NORC.[[23]](#footnote-23) Up until December 31, 2017, parties may remove their notes, analysis results or other documents from the secure data enclave subject to NORC’s release process consistent with the protective orders.[[24]](#footnote-24) The Commission will retain the data collected in the business data services rulemaking proceeding consistent with applicable statutory requirements.[[25]](#footnote-25)

After December 31, 2017, the Bureau and NORC will no longer process requests to access the secure data enclave.[[26]](#footnote-26) Closure of the secure data enclave, however, will not affect authorized parties access to confidential and highly confidential information that is accessible outside the secure data enclave.[[27]](#footnote-27) Authorized parties will continue to be able to discuss and share the contents of confidential and highly confidential documents with other authorized parties, as appropriate,[[28]](#footnote-28) and to access the confidential and highly confidential portions of any documents issued by, or filed with, with the Commission or a reviewing court in the *Business Data Services* or the *Tariff Investigation* proceedings.[[29]](#footnote-29) Accordingly, the Bureau will continue to process requests seeking authorization to access confidential and highly confidential information subject to the applicable protective orders.[[30]](#footnote-30)

 For further information, please contact Christopher Koves, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-8209 or via email at Christopher.Koves@fcc.gov, or Joseph Price, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-1423 or via email at Joseph.Price@fcc.gov.
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