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By the Chief, Broadband Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

# introduction

1. In this *Order*, we address the requests of three Multichannel Video and Data Distribution Service (MVDDS) licensees[[1]](#footnote-3) (Licensees) for waiver and extension of the 10-year, final construction requirement for 22 MVDDS licenses granted in 2006, and the 22 applications to renew these licenses.[[2]](#footnote-4) The Licensees seek a five-year extension of time, until April 3, 2021, to meet the construction requirement. For the reasons discussed below, we deny the waiver and extension requests, dismiss the renewal applications, and note that the Licenses automatically cancelled on April 3, 2016.

# background

1. MVDDS is a fixed wireless terrestrial service at 12.2-12.7 GHz that may be used for any digital fixed non-broadcast service, including one-way direct-to-home/office wireless service.[[3]](#footnote-5) MVDDS is authorized on a co-primary, non-harmful interference basis with incumbent Direct Satellite Services (DBS) providers and on a co-primary basis with non-geostationary satellite orbit fixed-satellite service (NGSO FSS) stations.[[4]](#footnote-6) MVDDS is licensed on a geographic area basis according to Nielsen’s 2002 Designated Market Areas (DMAs)[[5]](#footnote-7) and four FCC-defined areas.[[6]](#footnote-8) The Commission adopted specific service and technical rules to permit MVDDS operators to share the 12.2-12.7 GHz band with DBS and NGSO FSS without causing harmful interference.[[7]](#footnote-9)
2. In 2002, the Commission adopted a ten-year license term for MVDDS and gave licensees a renewal expectancy upon a showing of substantial service at license renewal, *i.e.*, ten years after license grant.[[8]](#footnote-10) Substantial service means “service that is sound, favorable, and substantially above a level of mediocre service which might minimally warrant renewal.”[[9]](#footnote-11) The Commission also adopted safe harbors to assist MVDDS licensees in satisfying the substantial service requirement, as well as additional factors that it would take into consideration in determining whether a licensee satisfies the substantial service standard.[[10]](#footnote-12) The Commission declined to adopt an interim deadline, stating that because of various operating restrictions and the complexity and contention surrounding the issues involving band sharing, a ten-year buildout period was appropriate.[[11]](#footnote-13) In 2003, however, the Commission added a five-year interim build-out requirement in response to commenters’ contentions that five years was sufficient time to deploy and that imposing an interim requirement would prevent spectrum warehousing and promote the rapid deployment of service to the public.[[12]](#footnote-14) The Commission applies a renewal expectancy to MVDDS licenses based on a showing of substantial service within five years into the license term and again at ten years into the license term.[[13]](#footnote-15)
3. In 2004, the Commission held Auction No. 53,[[14]](#footnote-16) and subsequently granted eleven licensees 192 of the 214 MVDDS licenses for ten years. The interim, five-year deadlines for demonstrating substantial service for these licenses were in 2009 but the Bureau extended these deadlines for all ten licensees of a total of 191 licenses[[15]](#footnote-17) because it found that the record demonstrated that there was a lack of viable, affordable equipment for MVDDS that could be deployed in the 12.2-12.7 GHz band and that the lack of equipment and the resulting challenge in deploying MVDDS service affects every MVDDS licensee and was thus widespread.[[16]](#footnote-18) In 2014, the ten licensees of the 191 licenses requested extensions of the final, 10-year deadlines and license renewals, which the Bureau granted based on a finding that the record, *in toto*, reflected circumstances beyond the licensees’ control: a lack of commercially viable MVDDS equipment. The Bureau also granted the 191 license renewal applications subject to the following condition: “If the licensee fails to meet its substantial service obligations (as defined in 47 CFR § 101.1413) by the [2019] buildout deadline date appearing on [each] license, the authorization terminates automatically (in whole) without specific Commission action. . . .”
4. In 2005, the Commission held Auction No. 63 for the 22 MVDDS licenses that remained unsold in Auction No. 53[[17]](#footnote-19) and, on April 3, 2006,[[18]](#footnote-20) the Bureau granted the 22 licenses to three licensees for ten-year terms. The interim, five-year deadline for demonstrating substantial service was April 3, 2011, but the Bureau granted the three licensees’ requests for waiver and extension of this deadline based on its 2010 finding. In so doing, however, the Bureau also made clear that each licensee “must demonstrate substantial service ten years from license grant (April 3, 2016), i.e., at license renewal.” [[19]](#footnote-21)
5. In April 2016, the three Licensees filed 22 requests for extension of the MVDDS final, 10-year construction requirement under Section 1.946(e)(1) of the Commission’s Rules and 22 license renewal applications.[[20]](#footnote-22) The Licensees ask for a five-year extension of time to construct, which would be April 3, 2021.
6. MDS Operations holds a total of 80 MVDDS licensees: 60 licenses granted in 2004 with a 2014 final deadline that we previously extended until 2019, and 20 licenses granted in 2006 with a 2016 final deadline. MDS Operations contends that we should extend the 2016 deadline applicable to 20 of its licenses based on the showing that it made in 2014 for its 60 licenses with the 2014 final deadline.[[21]](#footnote-23) Braunston Spectrum LLC and Montana Internet Corporation similarly note that we extended MVDDS final deadlines in 2014 and maintain that “providing substantial service is not practical at present” because of the unavailability of reasonable priced commercially deployable equipment and because of unavoidable technical constraints beyond their control.[[22]](#footnote-24) There were no oppositions filed.

# discussion

1. We find that the Licensees have not justified grant of an extension of time, or waiver of the construction deadline, for the 22 Licenses. Without such extension or waiver, the 22 Licenses automatically terminated, by operation of Sections 1.946(c) and 1.955(a)(2) of the Commission’s Rules, as of April 3, 2016. We explain these findings in detail below.
2. Licensees may request a waiver of the performance requirement under Section 1.925(b)(3) or Section 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, or an extension pursuant to Section 1.946(e).[[23]](#footnote-25)  Section 1.925(b)(3) of the Commission’s rules states that the Commission may grant a waiver when either “(i) [t]he underlying purpose of the rule(s) would not be served or would be frustrated by application to the instant case, and that a grant of the requested waiver would be in the public interest,” or “(ii) in view of unique or unusual factual circumstances of the instant case, application of the rule(s) would be inequitable, unduly burdensome or contrary to the public interest, or the applicant has no reasonable alternative.”[[24]](#footnote-26)
3. As with other Commission rules, requests to waive a performance requirement must “meet a high hurdle at the starting gate.”[[25]](#footnote-27) We note that the waiver standard must be applied in light of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, which provides that the Commission shall include performance requirements to ensure intensive use of valuable spectrum and to prevent the stockpiling and warehousing of spectrum by licensees.[[26]](#footnote-28) While each case must be determined in light of its specific circumstances, waivers of performance requirements are granted infrequently and are only appropriate when consistent with the statute and the public interest.[[27]](#footnote-29) The Commission also may waive its rules for good cause shown under Section 1.3 of its rules.[[28]](#footnote-30) Alternatively, the Commission may grant a licensee an extension of time pursuant to Section 1.946(e), but only “if the failure to commence service is due to causes beyond its control.”[[29]](#footnote-31) We further observe that it is a licensee’s responsibility to exercise due diligence to confirm that it can satisfy performance requirements in advance of acquiring spectrum, a fact of which we routinely remind licensees prior to auctioning licenses.[[30]](#footnote-32)
4. The Licensees were on notice of the obligation to build out their respective markets by the end of their respective license terms and of the technical requirements applicable to the MVDDS before they acquired their licenses. As a general matter, it is a licensee’s responsibility to conduct adequate due diligence prior to acquisition of licenses: potential licensees are required to familiarize themselves with all applicable rules (including any technical restrictions) with respect to deployment of the relevant spectrum, to assure that they can timely construct as specified per service rules, and to confirm that the spectrum is suitable for the licensee’s business plans and needs. The Commission’s rules do not contemplate generally waiving rules (including those involving deadlines) for types of considerations that licensees should take into account as part of this type of due diligence. Indeed, the Commission has repeatedly reminded prospective licensees that each licensee has an obligation to conduct adequate research in order to assess whether it has the ability to fully comply with all applicable technical and legal requirements, and the wherewithal to shoulder the inherent risks of taking on the responsibilities of a licensee.[[31]](#footnote-33) With respect to MVDDS licenses specifically, the Bureau, upon establishing the auction bidding procedures for this spectrum in 2005, cautioned potential applicants that Commission rules as well as incumbent operations may affect how MVDDS licensees use the spectrum, and directed bidders to become familiar with any applicable rules, orders, pending proceedings and incumbent operations prior to participating in the auction.[[32]](#footnote-34)
5. In addition to the notice provided by the rules themselves, as well as the auction notices, the Bureau specifically cautioned that “Licensee must demonstrate substantial service ten years from license grant (April 3, 2016), i.e., at license renewal” when it extended the April 3, 2011, interim deadline for each of the 22 licenses.[[33]](#footnote-35)
6. In considering each extension request, we evaluate the licensee’s efforts since the grant of the prior extension request in 2011.[[34]](#footnote-36)  Based on the record of each of the captioned extension requests, we find that the Licensees have offered no evidence of any efforts to meet their April 3, 2016, final deadline—either since 2011 or since we last granted extensions for MVDDS licenses based on filings in 2014. Accordingly, we are not persuaded that the Licensees would be able to meet an extended final deadline and we find no basis for concluding that the Licensees have met the waiver standard under section 1.925 of the Commission’s rules.[[35]](#footnote-37) Additionally, apart from their general reliance on findings made regarding MVDDS in 2014, the Licensees offer no evidence to support their assertions that failure to meet their 2016 deadline was caused by circumstances beyond their control. Under these circumstances, we find that the Licensees have not met their burden under section 1.946(e).

# conclusion

1. The Licensees have failed to justify a waiver or extension of time to meet the April 3, 2016, construction requirement applicable to 22 MVDDS licensees under Section 101.1413 of the Commission’s Rules. We therefore deny the above-captioned Extension Applications and declare that the 22 licenses automatically terminated on April 3, 2016.

# ordering clauses

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 309, and Sections 1.925 and 1.946 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.925, 1.946, that the above-captioned 22 requests for waiver or extension of time to demonstrate substantial service ARE DENIED.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 309of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 309, and Sections 1.925 and 1.946 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.925, 1.946, that the above-captioned applications for renewal of 22 licenses ARE DISMISSED.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 303(r), and Section 1.955(a)(2) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.955(a)(2), that the 22 licenses of MDS Operations, Inc. (20 licenses), Montana Internet Corporation (1 license), and Braunston Spectrum LLC (1 license) listed in note 2, *supra*, ARE DECLARED TERMINATED as of April 3, 2016.
4. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.131, 0.331.
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