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**OFFICE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY AND WIRELINE COMPETITION BUREAU SEEK COMMENT ON LIST OF INTEROPERABLE DEVICES FOR DISCONTINUANCE APPLICATIONS UNDER THE ADEQUATE REPLACEMENT TEST**

**GN Docket No. 13-5**

**Comments Date: November 28, 2018**

**Reply Comment Date: December 13, 2018**

By this Public Notice, the Office of Engineering and Technology and the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureaus) seek comment on expanding the list of key applications and functionalities for which a carrier must demonstrate interoperability when requesting to discontinue a legacy voice service pursuant to the adequate replacement test.

The adequate replacement test allows a telecommunications carrier to discontinue voice service as part of a technology transition if the carrier can establish, among other things, that the available replacement service satisfies a three-prong test to ensure that it constitutes an adequate replacement for the service that the carrier is seeking authority to discontinue.[[1]](#footnote-3) To qualify, the discontinuing carrier must demonstrate, among other things, that the new service is interoperable “with key applications and functionalities.”[[2]](#footnote-4)

When it adopted the adequate replacement test, the Commission identified five low-speed modem devices—fax machines, home security alarms, medical monitoring devices, analog-only caption telephone sets, and point-of-sale terminals—as the initial list of key applications and functionalities (the interoperability list).[[3]](#footnote-5) The Commission also established a process for supplementing the interoperability list.[[4]](#footnote-6) To that end, the *Order* directed the Bureaus “to seek comment, and, based on the record developed, propose additions to the list of key applications and functionalities adopted [in the *Order*] for Commission review and approval.”[[5]](#footnote-7) The Commission identified four relevant considerations in determining whether an application or functionality should be interoperable with replacement services: (i) do customers rely on it for health or safety reasons; (ii) do other providers rely on it as a wholesale input; (iii) does it rely on the continued availability of necessary vendor equipment or inputs that have been discontinued; and (iv) is the service provider or the end-user customer the least-cost avoider.[[6]](#footnote-8)

Accordingly, we now invite consumers, carriers, and other stakeholders to submit comments on whether additional applications and functionalities should be added to the interoperability list in light of the considerations identified above, as well as changes in market conditions, industry developments, or Commission rules since the interoperability component of the adequate replacement test was adopted in 2016.

Pursuant to sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). *See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (1998). You may submit comments, identified by GN Docket No. 13-5, by any of the following methods:

* Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/>.
* Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

* All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St., SW, Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours are 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.
* Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.
* U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW, Washington DC 20554.
* People with Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: FCC504@fcc.gov or phone: 202-418-0530 (voice) or 202-418-0432 (tty).

For further information regarding this proceeding, contact Michele Levy Berlove, Wireline Competition Bureau, Competition Policy Division, Michele.Berlove@fcc.gov, 202-418-1477, or Walter Johnston, Office of Engineering and Technology, Electromagnetic Compatibility Division, Walter.Johnston@fcc.gov, 202-418-0807.

**-FCC-**
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