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P.O. Box 367

Haddon Heights, NJ 08035

 **In re:** Auction 99, Public Notice

 AU Docket No. 17-143

 DA 17-533

Petition for Reconsideration in Part

 Dear Mr. Schober:

We have before us a Petition for Reconsideration in Part (Petition) of the public notice, released by the Media Bureau and Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (Bureaus) June 6, 2017, announcing the first of two auction filing windows during which an AM broadcast station licensee or permittee could apply for a new cross-service FM translator to rebroadcast its AM station.[[1]](#footnote-2) Edward A. Schober, PE (Schober), a consulting engineer, member of entities that are licensees of an AM station and an FM translator, and himself a licensee and permittee of AM stations and FM translators, filed the Petition on June 10, 2017, requesting “limited reconsideration of the eligibility criterion” contained in the *June Filing Window Public Notice*.[[2]](#footnote-3) We dismiss the Petition.

**Background**. In its First Report and Order in the *AM Revitalization* proceeding,[[3]](#footnote-4) the Commission ordered the Media Bureau to provide four opportunities for AM licensees and permittees to acquire cross-service FM translators to rebroadcast their signals.[[4]](#footnote-5) The first two opportunities were modification windows during which an AM licensee or permittee seeking to rebroadcast on an FM translator could acquire and relocate one authorized non-reserved band FM translator station up to 250 miles, and specify any rule-compliant non-reserved band FM channel, as a minor modification application, notwithstanding contrary provisions of the Commission’s rules.[[5]](#footnote-6) The second two opportunities were auction filing windows during which an AM licensee or permittee could apply for a new cross-service FM translator station, which would be permanently linked to the AM primary station that it would rebroadcast. The Commission specified in the *AM Revitalization First R&O* that the first new translator auction window was open to Class C and D AM station applicants that “[did] not participate, i.e., file an application, in one of the modification windows.”[[6]](#footnote-7) The Commission went on to state in the same rulemaking order that the second and final auction window “will be open to all AM permittees and licensees that have not participated in any of the prior modification or auction windows.”[[7]](#footnote-8)

Schober did not seek reconsideration of the *AM Revitalization First R&O*. He did, however, file his Petition after release of the *June Filing Window Public Notice*, specifically disputing the Bureaus’ statement that “[a] licensee or permittee whose AM station was listed as the primary station in a modification application filed during one of the two 2016 modification windows is not eligible to apply during this filing window.”[[8]](#footnote-9) Schober states that the “blanket nature of the eligibility restriction . . . is unfair to certain licensees who applied in the previous windows,”[[9]](#footnote-10) going on to cite eight categories of applicant to which he believes the eligibility requirement is unfair.[[10]](#footnote-11) He thus seeks reconsideration of the criterion excluding applicants who previously filed applications in the modification windows.

**Discussion**. Eligibility to apply in the 2017 (Auction 99) and 2018 (Auction 100) auction filing windows for new cross-service FM translator stations was defined by the Commission in the *AM Revitalization First R&O*. The Commission clearly stated that any applicant that participated in either of the two modification windows would be ineligible to file in subsequent auction windows, and further defined participation as filing an application. The Bureaus merely repeated the Commission’s determination when they defined eligibility in the *June Filing Window Public Notice*. Because neither Schober nor any other party sought reconsideration of the *AM Revitalization First R&O* as to this issue, the Commission did not change its determination and, consequently, the eligibility criteria established by the Commission in the *AM Revitalization First R&O* were implemented correctly by the Bureaus for Auction 99. Accordingly, we dismiss the Petition as a late-filed request for reconsideration of the eligibility criteria established in the *AM Revitalization First R&O*.

We will consider, however, such requests on a showing of good cause, when the facts of a particular case make strict compliance with a rule inconsistent with the public interest if applied to the petitioner and when the relief requested would not undermine the policy objective of the rule in question.[[11]](#footnote-12) Thus, applicants that participated in prior FM translator modification windows or the first auction window that believe they can make the requisite compelling waiver showing to justify their eligibility for a later auction window may do so, and will receive the requisite “hard look.”[[12]](#footnote-13)

**Conclusion**. For the foregoing reasons, the Petition for Reconsideration in Part filed by Edward A. Schober IS DISMISSED.

Sincerely,

 Peter H. Doyle

 Chief, Audio Division

 Media Bureau
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