DA 18-567

Charles P. Adkins

1821 Leblanc St.

Lincoln Park, MI 48146

Dear Mr. Adkins:

We have before us your petition requesting that the Commission commence a rulemaking proceeding proposing to amend section 97.205 of the Commission’s rules[[1]](#footnote-2) to ensure that amateur repeater stations using digital communication protocols do not cause interference to analog amateur repeater stations.[[2]](#footnote-3) Specifically, you request that the rule be amended to separate analog and digital repeaters either geographically (by prohibiting digital repeaters within 250 car miles of an existing analog repeater system) or spectrally (by designating specific frequency segments for digital repeaters and limiting their power to ten watts).[[3]](#footnote-4) You state that digital repeaters are “a major annoyance” to analog operators.[[4]](#footnote-5) For the reasons set forth below, we dismiss the petition.

In 2008, we rejected a suggestion to amend section 97.205(b) to designate separate spectrum for digital repeaters in order to segregate digital and analog communications.[[5]](#footnote-6) We noted that that when the Commission has previously addressed the issue of interference between amateur stations engaging in different operating activities, it has declined to revise the rules to limit a frequency segment to one emission type in order to prevent interference to the operating activities of other amateur radio service licensees.[[6]](#footnote-7) Rather, interference between amateur stations is already addressed by section 97.101(b) and (d) of the Commission’s rules, which require amateur licensees to cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of amateur frequencies, provide that no amateur frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any station allocated to the Amateur Radio Service, and prohibit operators from willfully or maliciously interfering with or causing interference to any radio communication or signal.[[7]](#footnote-8) Moreover, section 97.205(c) already addresses how to resolve interference disputes between repeaters.[[8]](#footnote-9) You have not demonstrated any changed circumstances or other reason that would warrant revisiting this decision. Consequently, we dismiss your petition.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 4(i) and (j) and 303(r) of the

Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), (j), 303(r), and section 1.401(e) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR § 1.401(e), that the petition for rulemaking filed by Charles P. Adkins on April 8, 2016, IS DISMISSED.

This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.131 and 0.331.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Scot Stone

Deputy Chief, Mobility Division

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
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