**DA 18-73**

**Released: January 25, 2018**

*Via Electronic Mail*

Meredith S. Senter, Jr.

Lerman Senter PLLC

2001 L Street, NW, Suite 400

Washington, DC 20036

msenter@lermansenter.com

**Re: Petition for Declaratory Ruling by Spanish Broadcasting System, Inc. Pursuant to** **47 C.F.R. § 1.5004(f)(3).**

Dear Counsel:

This is in regard to the remedial petition for declaratory ruling filed by Spanish Broadcasting System, Inc. (SBS) seeking Commission approval to exceed the foreign ownership benchmark in Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended[[1]](#footnote-2) (the Act) or, in the alternative, to find that the remedial actions SBS has taken to come into compliance with Section 310(b)(4) are sufficient.[[2]](#footnote-3) For the reasons stated below, we find that SBS’s PDR requires additional information that is essential for our review.

*Background.* On November 13, 2017, SBS, the parent company of entities holding television and radio broadcast licenses, filed a notice[[3]](#footnote-4) with the Media Bureau pursuant to § 1.5004(f)(3)(i) of our Rules,[[4]](#footnote-5) which requires a licensee to inform the Commission within 10 days if it discovers that it is out of compliance with the foreign ownership restrictions of the Act. In the Notice, SBS claims that it learned that it could be out of compliance with the Commission’s foreign ownership rules after it was served with a lawsuit[[5]](#footnote-6) (the Complaint) filed in the Delaware Chancery Court by certain holders of its Series B Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock (Dissident Shareholders).[[6]](#footnote-7) On November 28, 2017, SBS filed an SEC Form 8-K, in which it explained that it had notified the holders of its Series B Cumulative Exchangeable Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series B Shareholders) that it had suspended all of their rights other than the right to transfer their shares to a citizen of the United States.[[7]](#footnote-8) In its SEC filing, SBS said that those shares had been exchanged for “Foreign Share Certificates.”[[8]](#footnote-9) According to SBS, the holder of a Foreign Share Certificate has no rights other than to (1) provide SBS with information sufficient to establish that the shareholder is a U.S. citizen; or (2) transfer the shares to a U.S. citizen.[[9]](#footnote-10) Holders of Foreign Share Certificates had until December 4, 2017, to provide certain information, including citizenship or place of incorporation, to SBS. [[10]](#footnote-11) If the holder of the Foreign Share Certificate refused to provide the requested information, SBS stated in the Form 8-K that it reserved the right to take further action.[[11]](#footnote-12) According to SBS, the suspension of rights generally will only be lifted once the level of foreign ownership in the company falls below 25% and SBS is in compliance with 310(b)(4).[[12]](#footnote-13) In its PDR, SBS also states that it has requested that its stock transfer agent coordinate with the Depository Trust Corporation (DTC) to suspend all trading in the Series B Shares.[[13]](#footnote-14)

On December 4, 2017, SBS filed its remedial PDR under 47 C.F.R.§1.5000(a)(1),[[14]](#footnote-15) as permitted by 1.5004(f)(3),[[15]](#footnote-16) seeking permission to temporarily exceed the limits of Section 310(b)(4) or, in the alternative, for the Commission to find that its remedial action suspending the rights of the Series B Shareholders and exchanging their shares for Foreign Share Certificates had brought it into compliance with the Act.[[16]](#footnote-17) SBS asserts that prior to being served with the Complaint, it did not know the identity of the Dissident Shareholders.[[17]](#footnote-18) On December 29, 2017, the Commission received a letter filed on behalf of the Dissident Shareholders asserting their right and intent to participate in this proceeding.[[18]](#footnote-19) The *December 29th Letter,* which states that, although the Dissident Shareholders, “disagree that their individual or collective interests cause SBS to exceed the 25% benchmark in 310(b)(4),” they “intend to cooperate in ensuring that SBS complies with the FCC’s foreign ownership rules.”[[19]](#footnote-20) The letter also states that the Dissident Shareholders plan to file comments or other requests in response to SBS allegations.[[20]](#footnote-21)

*Discussion.* The PDR does not provide enough information for us to proceed with a comprehensive review or to address SBS’s prayer for relief. SBS states that it requested ownership information from the Dissident Shareholders and that they failed to provide it.[[21]](#footnote-22) Because of their alleged lack of cooperation, SBS claims the only ownership information it had available regarding these shareholders came from the Complaint, and SBS does not concede the truth of the statements in the Complaint.[[22]](#footnote-23) Even if the ownership information in the Complaint is accurate, the PDR, *inter alia*:

* Does not provide an estimate of the overall level of foreign ownership in SBS;
* Does not identify and give the necessary information regarding the disclosable interest holders in SBS, including any disclosable interest holders that may need to be identified within the Dissident Shareholders, and the actual voting status of any such disclosable interest holders;
* Does not address whether any individuals or entities require specific approval.

Therefore, we require that the PDR be amended before we begin our review. We do not believe this is a burdensome requirement because the Dissident Shareholders indicated in the *December 29th Letter* that they would cooperate with SBS’s foreign ownership compliance efforts.[[23]](#footnote-24)

As you know, broadcast licensees must self-monitor their compliance with Section 310(b)(4). While it is not clear at what point SBS had or reasonably should have had knowledge of its foreign ownership composition, based on the *December 29th Letter,* SBS should now be in a position to obtain any information that it needs from the Dissident Shareholders. Given that, it is reasonable to provide further time to SBS to obtain the additional information needed to make a full and complete foreign ownership showing and to amend its PDR. As provided in the Commission’s rules, SBS will not be required to redeem the non-compliant foreign interest or to remedy the non-compliance while its PDR is pending.[[24]](#footnote-25) However, it must have a mechanism in place to come into compliance within thirty (30) days following an adverse decision on its PDR.[[25]](#footnote-26) We expect the Dissident Shareholders to cooperate with SBS in providing the necessary information to the Commission in order to keep the licensee from breaching the Commission’s rules and the Act. Furthermore, we will not put the PDR on public notice at this time, in part, because doing so would trigger Executive Branch review, which would waste government resources as such review could not be completed until the identities of any parties needing specific approval are known.

We remind all involved parties, that, although it is unambiguously the duty of SBS to be able to demonstrate that it is in compliance with 310(b)(4) and the Commission’s foreign ownership rules under the “known or reasonably should have known” standard as explained in the *Foreign Ownership Order*, that order contemplated that investors generally would cooperate with the licensee. [[26]](#footnote-27) As one of the numerous methods for determining the citizenship of its investors,the *Foreign Ownership Order* states that a licensee “should make direct inquiries” to its identifiable interest holders as part of its citizenship analysis as necessary and encourages licensees to inform the Commission if those inquiries are not productive.[[27]](#footnote-28) In doing so, the Commission demonstrated its concern that foreign investors might try to avoid the impact of 310(b)(4) by being uncooperative. That concern is even greater where the potential foreign investor is a disclosable interest holder or where it could require specific approval. Therefore, if, within a reasonable time, either SBS’s inquiries to the Dissident Shareholders in this case are not productive or if SBS is unable to determine their citizenship by other means, SBS should advise us and we reserve the right, if needed, to direct SBS to regard all non-responsive Dissident Investors as alien for both equity and voting purposes in preparing its amended PDR.

Considering that petitions filed pursuant to § 1.5004(f)(3) are intended to correct an ongoing violation, it is incumbent on SBS to act as quickly as possible to make sure that its filing is complete. To this end, we require SBS to file an amended PDR within thirty (30) days of this letter. If SBS, notwithstanding affirmative efforts, cannot make a complete foreign ownership showing and submit its amended PDR within thirty (30) days, it must instead file a written status report (Report) in (30) days on the progress of its efforts to obtain the information necessary to make a full and complete foreign ownership showing. The Report should also provide an update on status of the pending litigation between SBS and the Dissident Shareholders. Copies of the amended PDR and /or Report should be sent to David Roberts, Attorney-Advisor, Video Division; Christine Goepp, Attorney-Advisor, Audio Division; and Jamila Bess Johnson, Senior Legal Advisor, Industry Analysis Division. To the extent that this matter cannot be resolved in a timely fashion, we reserve the right to take appropriate enforcement action.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact David Brown, Deputy Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau, at (202) 418-1645 or David Roberts, Video Division, Media Bureau, at (202) 418-1618.

Sincerely,

Michelle M. Carey  
Chief, Media Bureau

cc: Mark D. Schneider
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