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Order
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By the Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

# Introduction

1. By this Order, the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (CGB or Bureau) of the Federal Communications Commissions (FCC or Commission) extends the temporary waivers, previously granted to Sprint Corporation (Sprint) and Hamilton Relay Inc. (Hamilton), of two mandatory minimum standards applicable to certain telecommunications relay services (TRS) offered through state TRS programs.[[1]](#footnote-3) The waived standards require providers of traditional TRS, speech-to-speech relay service (STS), and non-Internet Protocol (IP) forms of captioned telephone service (CTS)[[2]](#footnote-4) to offer consumers (1) their choice of long distance carrier (equal access rule)[[3]](#footnote-5) and (2) multiple billing options for long distance calls.[[4]](#footnote-6) We extend these waivers until the earlier of August 24, 2019, or the effective date of a Commission decision as to the continuing application of the waived standards to the affected relay services.[[5]](#footnote-7)

# Background

1. As required by section 225 of the Communications Act (the Act),[[6]](#footnote-8) the Commission’s rules contain mandatory minimum standards to ensure that TRS provides telephone service for people who are deaf, hard of hearing, deaf-blind or have a speech disability that is functionally equivalent to voice communications service.[[7]](#footnote-9) The two standards at issue were adopted more than 25 years ago as a part of the Commission’s first proceeding to implement the TRS program.[[8]](#footnote-10) The equal access rule provides that “TRS users shall have access to their chosen interexchange carrier through the TRS, and to all other operator services to the same extent that such access is provided to voice users.”[[9]](#footnote-11) The billing options requirement directs TRS providers to offer “the same billing options (*e.g.*, sent-paid long distance, operator-assisted, collect, and third party billing) traditionally offered for wireline voice services.”[[10]](#footnote-12) Commission rules generally exempt providers of Internet-based forms of TRS—video relay service, IP Relay service, and IP CTS—from both of these requirements.[[11]](#footnote-13)
2. In 2015 and 2016, Sprint and Hamilton separately petitioned for temporary waivers of the above requirements as they apply to the provision of traditional TRS, STS, and non-IP forms of CTS.[[12]](#footnote-14) The providers also petitioned the Commission to initiate a rulemaking that would establish permanent exemptions from these requirements, similar to the exemptions adopted for Internet-based TRS.[[13]](#footnote-15) On August 24, 2016, the Bureau granted the waiver requests, with an expiration date of August 24, 2018, subject to the condition that providers of these services not assess charges on TRS users for long distance calls.[[14]](#footnote-16) On July 25, 2018, Hamilton filed a petition requesting an extension of the *Hamilton and Sprint Waiver Order* for itself and all similarly situated providers (at present, Sprint) for two years or until the effective date of any permanent exception from these rules with respect to its TRS, CTS, and STS offerings, whichever is earlier.[[15]](#footnote-17)

# Discussion

1. A Commission rule may be waived for “good cause shown.”[[16]](#footnote-18) In particular, a waiver is appropriate where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.[[17]](#footnote-19) In addition, we may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis.[[18]](#footnote-20) Such a waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule and such deviation will serve the public interest.[[19]](#footnote-21)
2. The Bureau previously concluded that it is in the public interest to waive, on a temporary basis, the equal access and billing options requirements for Sprint and Hamilton with respect to their provision of traditional TRS, STS, and CTS.[[20]](#footnote-22) We find that good cause continues to exists to extend these temporary waivers because the providers’ petition for rulemaking to adopt a permanent exemption remains pending and the circumstances that led to these waiver grants have not changed. Specifically, as was the case when the waiver was first approved, current practices in the voice telephone communications marketplace, including the routine inclusion of long distance services as part of wireline and wireless telephone service plans offered at a bundled or flat rate, continue to virtually eliminate the need for equal interexchange access and billing options, both for mainstream users and to achieve functional equivalency for TRS users.[[21]](#footnote-23) Thus, we find no reason to revisit our conclusion in the *Hamilton and Sprint Waiver Order* that, where providers of traditional TRS, STS, and non-IP forms of CTS do not separately charge for long distance, “any residual need for long distance billing options appears to be eliminated, rendering the requirement to offer this TRS feature a burden with no associated public interest benefit.”[[22]](#footnote-24)
3. We therefore conclude that it is in the public interest to extend for one year Sprint’s and Hamilton’s waivers of these requirements as they apply to the provision of traditional TRS, STS, and non-IP forms of CTS. Although the current waiver was granted for a two-year period, a one-year extension of the waivers is consistent with the Commission’s past practice regarding comparable waivers of such requirements[[23]](#footnote-25) and is likely to be sufficient time to allow the Commission to consider the pending petitions for rulemaking concerning the continued application of the equal access and billing options requirements to these forms of TRS. These temporary waivers will remain subject to the condition set forth in the current waiver, i.e., that covered providers not assess separate charges for long distance calls. Further, this waiver will expire on August 24, 2019, or on the effective date of a Commission decision as to the continuing application of the equal access and billing options requirements to these forms of TRS, whichever is earlier.[[24]](#footnote-26)

# Procedural Issues

1. To request materials in accessible formats (such as Braille, large print, electronic files, or audio format), send an e-mail to: fcc504@fcc.gov, or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (844) 432-2275 (videophone), or (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

# Ordering Clauses

1. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 0.141, 0.361, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.3, the Petition for Extension of Waiver filed by Hamilton Relay, Inc. is GRANTED in part, and the temporary, limited waivers of sections 64.604(a)(3)(ii) and 64.604(b)(3) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 64.604(a)(3)(ii) and 64.604(b)(3), previously granted to Sprint and Hamilton, are extended until August 24, 2019, or until the effective date of a Commission decision as to the continuing application of the waived provisions to traditional TRS, STS, and non-IP forms of CTS, whichever is earlier.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

 Patrick Webre, Chief

 Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
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