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ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

**Adopted: November 8, 2019 Released: November 8, 2019**

**Reply Comment Date: November 20, 2019**

By the Chiefs, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. In this Order, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB) and the Wireline Competition Bureau (WCB) (collectively, Bureaus) grant in part a motion filed by the National League of Cities and a number of local governments and associations representing local governments (collectively, NLC et al.) seeking an extension of time to file reply comments in the above-captioned proceedings.[[1]](#footnote-3) For the reasons stated below, we find that a seven day extension of time is warranted, and we extend the deadline to file reply comments to November 20, 2019.
2. On September 13, 2019, the Bureaus issued a Public Notice seeking comment on a Petition for Rulemaking and a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Wireless Infrastructure Association (WIA) and a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by CTIA—The Wireless Association (CTIA).[[2]](#footnote-4) The Public Notice established comment and reply comment filing dates of October 15 and October 30, 2019, respectively. Organizations representing utilities and local government associations subsequently filed separate motions requesting that the comment deadline be extended to November 14 and that the reply comment deadline be extended to December 16, 2019.[[3]](#footnote-5) In an Order released on September 30, 2019, the Bureaus granted a 14-day extension of time, which made the deadlines for comments and reply comments October 29 and November 13, respectively.[[4]](#footnote-6)
3. On November 4, 2019, NLC et al. filed a motion that requested another extension for filing reply comments, this time for 21 days to December 4, 2019.[[5]](#footnote-7) The motion argues that the November 13 deadline for reply comments does not provide local governments with enough time to respond to the volume of material and technical content of the material filed in the record, or to industry claims of misconduct by local communities.[[6]](#footnote-8)
4. The Commission does not routinely grant motions for extension of time.[[7]](#footnote-9) In this instance, however, given the volume of comments and the complexity of issues, we find there is good cause to grant a limited extension of time to file reply comments. We believe an additional seven days should provide sufficient time for parties to analyze the issues raised in the petitions and meaningfully address them. Moreover, if additional relevant information becomes available after the close of the comment period, parties may submit such information on an ex parte basis in these permit-but-disclose proceedings. We therefore grant the motion in part and establish a new reply comment deadline of November 20, 2019.
5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), 5, and 303(r) of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 155, and 303(r), and sections 0.91, 0.131, 0.291, 0.331, 1.2, and 1.46 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.131, 0.291, 0.331, 1.2, and 1.46, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed by NLC et al. on November 4, 2019, IS GRANTED IN PART.
6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the date for filing reply comments IS EXTENDED to November 20, 2019.
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