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By the Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau:

1. **INTRODUCTION**
2. At the request of Connecticut Public Broadcasting, Inc. (CPBI), licensee of television station WEDW, channel \*49, Bridgeport, Connecticut (WEDW), the Commission has before it a contested *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (*NPRM*) to change WEDW’s community of license from Bridgeport to Stamford, Connecticut.[[1]](#footnote-3) CPBI filed comments supporting the *NPRM*.[[2]](#footnote-4) PMCM TV, LLC (PMCM) subsequently filed comments in opposition to the *NPRM*, to which CPBI filed a reply.[[3]](#footnote-5) For the reasons below, we: (1) grant CPBI’s request to change WEDW’s community of license to Stamford, Connecticut; (2) deny PMCM’s opposition; (3) amend the Post-Transition Table of DTV Allotments to reflect the change; and (4) modify WEDW’s license to reflect that its community of license is Stamford, Connecticut.
3. **BACKGROUND**
4. On August 23, 2017, CPBI filed a petition for rulemaking proposing to change WEDW’s community of license from Bridgeport to Stamford (Petition)[[4]](#footnote-6) pursuant to Section 1.420(i) of the Commission’s rules.[[5]](#footnote-7) CPBI claimed that the proposal is mutually exclusive with its existing allotment because it would not affect the technical specifications currently authorized for WEDW.[[6]](#footnote-8) CPBI also claimed that Stamford qualifies as a community for allotment purposes and that the proposal would represent a preferential arrangements of allotment pursuant to the Commission’s second allotment priority (Priority 2).[[7]](#footnote-9) CPBI noted that Stamford, with a 2010 U.S. Census population of 122,643, is the third largest city in Connecticut, the seventh largest city in New England, and a city where numerous Fortune 500 companies have located their corporate headquarters.[[8]](#footnote-10) However, Stamford does not have a full-power television station licensed to it.[[9]](#footnote-11) Finally, CPBI states that the proposal would not deprive Bridgeport of its sole broadcast station as it will continue to be served by full-power television station WZME, Bridgeport, Connecticut (WZME), licensed to NRJ TV NY License Co., LLC (NRJ).[[10]](#footnote-12)
5. On May 14, 2018, the Video Division issued the *NPRM*, whichconcluded that CPBI provided sufficient information to warrant further consideration of its proposal.[[11]](#footnote-13) Accordingly, the Video Division sought public comment on whether the Commission should grant CPBI’s proposed community of license change.[[12]](#footnote-14)
6. CPBI filed comments in support of the *NPRM* on August 6, 2018, reiterating its claims from the rulemaking petition.[[13]](#footnote-15) CPBI also stated that it “will promptly apply for a change of community of license when the change is made and will promptly implement that change within the time limit specified by the Commission.”[[14]](#footnote-16)
7. In its Opposition, PMCM raises four arguments for why the Commission should not grant the proposed change in community of license. First, PMCM argues that the proposal is inconsistent with the Commission’s *Public Notice* partially lifting the freeze on the filing of changes to a station’s community of license.[[15]](#footnote-17) Although the Petition did not propose to change WEDW’s currently authorized technical facilities at the time of filing, PMCM states that CPBI was attempting to “sidestep” the *Public Notice*’s restriction on such changes by filing a request to relocate WEDW’s technical facilities from Bridgeport to Stamford after filing the Petition.[[16]](#footnote-18) Second, PMCM argues that granting the proposal, coupled with that station’s granted application to move the station closer to New York, is inconsistent with the public interest because the grant will result in a loss of service to substantial parts of central and southwestern Connecticut.[[17]](#footnote-19) Specifically, PMCM claims that 537,714 households - representing 2,612,775 people - would lose service.[[18]](#footnote-20) Third, PMCM argues that Stamford is merely “a dummy site” to achieve CPBI’s real goal to serve New York City.[[19]](#footnote-21) PMCM claims that CPBI’s distributed transmission system (DTS) application for WEDW[[20]](#footnote-22) proposes to “broadcast from the Empire State Building over a large swath of New York and northern New Jersey, including the same portions of Westchester County, New York, western Long Island, and a number of counties in New Jersey.”[[21]](#footnote-23) PMCM states that the Media Bureau rejected a similar proposal in 2009 after finding that such a proposal would “constitute[e] an ‘effective reallocation’ to New York.”[[22]](#footnote-24) Finally, PMCM argues that granting the proposed change “will open the floodgates” to similar applications seeking to use the DTS rules “to effectively reallocate licenses,” which would be inconsistent with the goals of Section 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.[[23]](#footnote-25) Thus, PMCM suggests that the Commission expand this rulemaking proceeding, or open a new proceeding and hold the community of license proceeding in abeyance, while PMCM seeks comment on a number of issues relating to the Commission’s rules and policies regarding DTS.[[24]](#footnote-26)
8. In its Reply, CPBI, in addition to reiterating its claims in the Petition, refutes PMCM’s arguments in a footnote, claiming that PMCM’s arguments should be rejected because they are “misplaced” and “without merit.”[[25]](#footnote-27) Specifically, CPBI notes that the December 2017 grant of its modification application to move WEDW’s transmission facility to Stamford is final and therefore not subject to review.[[26]](#footnote-28) CPBI also asserts that its pending DTS application is separate and apart from this rulemaking proceeding, should be considered on its own merits, and has no bearing on the proposed change in community of license.[[27]](#footnote-29)
9. **DISCUSSION**
10. First, we reject PMCM’s argument that granting the proposal is inconsistent with the *Public Notice* partially lifting the freeze on the filing of changes to a station’s community of license*.* As it relates here, the Commission lifted the freeze by restoring the normal processing of such petitions “*where no technical facility changes are required* to comply with the Commission’s principal community coverage requirements."[[28]](#footnote-30) In this case, the Petition did not request to change WEDW’s authorized technical facilities nor is such a change required to comply with the Commission’s community coverage requirements.[[29]](#footnote-31) Therefore, we find that the Petition is consistent with the *Public Notice* and that CBPI did not need to file for a waiver of the 2004 Freeze.
11. Second, we reject PMCM’s argument that the proposal is inconsistent with the public interest because it will cause substantial parts of central and southwestern Connecticut to lose service. Because the proposal is based on WEDW’s currently authorized facilities, there will be no loss of service to central and southwestern Connecticut, as PMCM suggests.[[30]](#footnote-32) In this regard, we conclude that the proposal is a preferential arrangement of allotments because it will provide Stamford, the third largest city in Connecticut, with its service first broadcast television service. We also reiterate that our grant of the proposal will not deprive Bridgeport of its sole broadcast television service because Bridgeport will continue to be served by station WZME.
12. Third, we reject PMCM’s argument that the proposal will effectively relocate WEDW to New York City. As stated above, CPBI is not moving its currently authorized technical facilities from its site near Bridgeport. This means that WEDW’s service area will remain the same. For these reasons, we find that the proposed reallotment will not cause any loss of service to central and southwestern Connecticut. Moreover, we will not consider any potential service gains or the merits of WEDW’s pending DTS application – which PMCM opposes – because the instant request for a change in community of license does not depend on Commission action on the pending DTS application. In other words, our grant of the proposal is separate and independent from our December 2017 grant of WEDW’s minor modification application to move its transmission facility or its pending application for a DTS facility.
13. Finally, we find it unnecessary to address PMCM’s argument that granting of the proposed reallotment “will open the floodgates” to similar applications seeking to use the DTS rules “to effectively reallocate licenses.”[[31]](#footnote-33) PMCM did not provide evidence supporting this argument, which is speculative at best. Therefore, we will not consider the merits of this argument in this rulemaking proceeding to change WEDW’s community of license from Bridgeport to Stamford, which does not propose any changes to WEDW’s technical facilities.
14. **ORDERING CLAUSES**
15. **ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED** that the Petition for Rulemaking filed by Connecticut Public Broadcasting, Inc., licensee of WEDW, Bridgeport, Connecticut, **IS GRANTED** only for the currently authorized technical facilities of the station**.**
16. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that PMCM TV, LLC’s opposition to CPBI’s rulemaking petition to change WEDW’s community of license **IS DENIED**.
17. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to the authority in Sections 154(i), 155(c)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,[[32]](#footnote-34) and Sections 0.61, 0.204(b), and 0.283 of the Commission’s rules,[[33]](#footnote-35) the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(i) of the Commission’s rules, **IS AMENDED**, with respect to Bridgeport and Stamford, Connecticut, to read as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  Channel No.  |
| City and State | Present  | Amended |
| Bridgeport, Connecticut | 42, \*49, |  42, \*49 |
| Stamford, Connecticut | - | \*49 |

1. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, after filing the required applications and paying the necessary fees, the authorization for station WEDW **WILL BE MODIFIED** to reflect WEDW’s community of license as Stamford, Connecticut.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any authorization implementing this community of license change **IS CONDITIONED UPON** continued service to Bridgeport, Connecticut.
3. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Commission will send a copy of this order to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act.[[34]](#footnote-36)
4. **IT IS FURTHER OREDERD** that this proceeding **IS TERMINATED**.
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