Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
Tele Circuit Network Corporation) Complaint Nos. 723476
) 765834
Complaints Regarding	829907
Unauthorized Change of	834364
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier	875889
	1017739/1124563
	2314960
) 2348203

ORDER

Adopted: April 19, 2019 Released: April 19, 2019

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider eight complaints¹ alleging that Tele Circuit Network Corporation (Tele Circuit) changed Complainants' telecommunications service providers without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainants in violation of the Commission's rules.² We find that Tele Circuit's actions violated the Commission's carrier change rules, and we therefore grant Complainants' complaints.
- 2. Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (the Act), as amended, prohibits the practice of "slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.³ The Commission's implementing rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.⁴ Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an appropriately qualified independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.⁵ The Commission also has adopted rules to limit the liability of subscribers when a carrier change occurs, and to require carriers involved in slamming practices to compensate subscribers whose carriers were changed without authorization.⁶

¹ See Appendix.

² See 47 CFR §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁴ See 47 CFR § 64.1120.

⁵ See id. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. *Id.* § 64.1130.

⁶ These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. If the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges (continued....)

- 3. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' telecommunications service providers had been changed without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, we notified Tele Circuit of the complaints. Tele Circuit responded to the complaints, stating that it obtained authorization from the Complainants through third party verification (TPV).
- 4. In each of the eight TPVs at issue in these cases, Tele Circuit's verifier does not confirm that the person is authorized to make a *carrier change*. Instead, the verifier asks the person on the call if he/she is authorized to make changes to the "telephone account," "telephone number," or "telephone line." An affirmative response to these questions does not establish whether the person is authorized to make a carrier change. A switch from one carrier to another carrier differs from merely being authorized to make changes on a telephone account, number, or line. In the *Fourth Report and Order*, the Commission required that "any description of the carrier change transaction . . . shall not be misleading" and emphasized that third-party verifiers must "convey explicitly that consumers will have authorized a carrier change," and not, for instance, an upgrade in existing service or bill consolidation. The Commission explained that "[t]he record reflects that carriers using ambiguous language to describe the nature of the transaction may lead to consumer confusion concerning the true purpose of the solicitation call." The Commission further stated that "such practices are misleading and unreasonable, and warrant specific treatment in our rules." We therefore find that Tele Circuit's actions were in violation of our carrier change rules, and we discuss Tele Circuit's liability below.
- 5. Tele Circuit must remove all charges incurred for service provided to Complainants for the first thirty days after the alleged unauthorized changes in accordance with the Commission's liability rules. ¹⁵ We have determined that Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized changes occurred and that neither the Complainants' authorized

⁷ See Appendix.

⁸ 47 CFR § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); *id.* § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

⁹ In one case, the verifier asks whether the person is authorized to make changes on the "phone service." *See* Informal Complaint No. 829907.

¹⁰ See Consumer Telcom, Inc., Order on Reconsideration, 27 FCC Rcd 5340 (CGB 2012) (the verifier's question—do you have authority to make changes to your long distance service?—did not confirm that the person was authorizing a change that would result in receiving service from a different carrier).

¹¹ See Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, Fourth Report and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 493, 501, para. 19 (2008) (Fourth Report and Order); see also 47 CFR § 64.1120(c)(3)(iii).

¹² Fourth Report and Order, 23 FCC Rcd at 501, para. 19.

¹³ *Id*.

carriers nor Tele Circuit may pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges.¹⁶ Any charges imposed by Tele Circuit on the subscribers for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscribers at the rates the subscribers were paying to their authorized carriers at the time of the unauthorized changes.¹⁷

- 6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints filed against Tele Circuit Network Corporation ARE GRANTED.
- 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 64.1170(d) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR § 64.1170(d), the Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized changes occurred and that Tele Circuit Network Corporation may not pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges.
 - 8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

Sincerely,

Nancy Stevenson Deputy Chief

Consumer Policy Division

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

⁽Continued from previous page) -

¹⁴ If any Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of the complaint, the Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. *See id.* § 1.719.

¹⁵ See id. § 64.1160(b).

¹⁶ See id. § 64.1160(d).

¹⁷ See id. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160.

APPENDIX

<u>COMPLAINT</u>	DATE OF	DATE OF CARRIER
<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>COMPLAINT</u>	<u>RESPONSE</u>
702476	D	I 25 2016
723476	December 23, 2015	January 25, 2016
765834	January 21, 2016	June 22, 2016
829907	February 24, 2016	June 22, 2016
834364	February 26, 2016	June 22, 2016
875889	March 24, 2016	May 4, 2016
1017739/1124563	June 3, 2016	June 22, 2016
2314960	March 20, 2018	April 6, 2018
2348203	April 3, 2018	April 16, 2018