## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

| In the Matter of                        | ) |                        |
|---|---|------------------------|
|   | ) |                        |
| Sprint                                  | ) | Complaint Nos. 1731669 |
|   | ) | 2268214                |
| Complaints Regarding                    | ) | 2316108                |
| Unauthorized Change of                  | ) | 2427378                |
| Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier | ) |                        |

## **ORDER**

Adopted: June 11, 2019 Released: June 11, 2019

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider four complaints¹ alleging that Sprint changed Complainants' telecommunications service providers without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainants as required by the Commission's rules.² We conclude that Sprint's actions did not result in unauthorized changes in Complainants' telecommunications service providers as defined in the rules, and we deny Complainants' complaints.
- 2. Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), prohibits the practice of "slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.<sup>3</sup> The Commission's implementing rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.<sup>4</sup> Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that satisfies our rules; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an appropriately qualified independent third party to verify the order.<sup>5</sup> The Commission also has adopted rules to limit the liability of subscribers when a carrier change occurs, and to require carriers involved in slamming practices to compensate subscribers whose carriers are changed without authorization.<sup>6</sup>

-----

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 47 CFR §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 47 CFR § 64.1120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See id. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. *Id.* § 64.1130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. If the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. *See id.* §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.* Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150 percent of those charges

- 3. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' telecommunications service providers had been changed without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to our rules, we notified Sprint of the complaints and Sprint responded. In each case, the evidence shows that Sprint did not submit requests to change Complainants' carriers, but that it billed Complainants for calls that were routed on its network on a casual calling basis. We therefore find that Sprint's actions did not result in "unauthorized changes" in Complainants' telecommunications service providers, as defined by the rules.
- 4. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints filed against Sprint ARE DENIED.
  - 5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy Stevenson Deputy Chief

Consumer Policy Division

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 47 CFR § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); *id.* § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See id. § 64.1100(e). If either Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of its complaint, such Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, id. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of such Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to such Complainant. See id. § 1.719.

## APPENDIX

| INFORMAL<br>COMPLAINT<br>NUMBER | DATE OF<br>COMPLAINT | DATE OF<br>RESPONSE |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1731669                         | June 27, 2017        | July 26, 2017       |
| 2268214                         | February 27, 2018    | March 7, 2018       |
| 2316108                         | March 20, 2018       | April 2, 2018       |
| 2427378                         | May 4, 2018          | May 14, 2018        |