



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

January 10, 2020

DA 20-42

Gregory Kucera
PO Box 24232
Santa Fe, NM 87502
Via email: gregoryedwardkucera@outlook.com

Re: FOIA No. 2020-000050 (FOIA
Request), FOIA No. 2020-000143 (Appeal);
FOIA No. 2020-000127 (FOIA Request),
FOIA No. 2020-000175 (Appeal)

Dear Mr. Kucera:

This is in response to the two above-referenced applications for review (AFR) filed in connection with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests that are associated with them. The first was filed via FOIAonline on October 27, 2019 and assigned FOIA Control Number 2020-000050. In that request, you sought: “the original and current authority who has signed a U.S. Code § 2518, procedures for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications based around my person[.]” The Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) responded that it found no records responsive to your request.¹

In FOIA No. 2020-000143, you state that the basis for your appeal is:

I am requesting any and/or related persons, data files, procedures, and/or all reasonably segregable material related to a long term counterintelligence and ongoing active measures/non-lethal and testing of neurological weapon base ultrasonic or sonic/microwave emitters and/or the original authority or 18 U.S. Code § 2516 wire or signal intercepts dossier materials that may date back as far as 2005, and which are related to my person, my mother Barbara Blessing-Kucera and our property at 432 Luisa Lane, Santa Fe, NM 87505.

The second request was filed via FOIAonline on November 30, 2019 and assigned FOIA Control No. 2020-000127. In that request you sought records of the

¹ Letter from Julius P. Knapp, Chief to Gregory Kucera (October 30, 2019).

Office of Inspector General (OIG) related to or concerning Barbara Ann Blessing Kucera or Gregory Kucera. OIG responded by releasing 13 documents.²

In FOIA No. 2020-000175, you state that the basis of your appeal is:

Both me and my mother are suffering from the direct and immediate lack of financial, medical, psychological, mental health, and information sharing due to the classification restrictions that we as a joint party have, we have no legal documentation of anything regarding the use of our persons and property for human and warfare related or whistleblower retaliation via directed satellite and ground based telemetry and radiological biocontaminate organized human experimentation

Your appeals raise no specific arguments that warrant the Commission's review of either decision. They merely reiterate language indicating that you seek information related to your complaint that you have suffered injuries related to government activities and include attachments containing similar material. You do not elaborate on the reasons for your appeals or how you believe OET or OIG erred in responding to your requests. You have not presented any arguments that you request the Commission to rule on. Accordingly, we dismiss your applications for review under section 0.251(j) of the Commission's rules for failure to articulate specific grounds for review.³

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii), we notify you of the provisions for judicial review under paragraph (a)(4) of the Freedom of Information Act.⁴ We note that as part of the Open Government Act of 2007, the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) was created to offer mediation services to resolve disputes between FOIA requesters and Federal agencies as a non-exclusive alternative to litigation. Using OGIS services does not affect your right to pursue litigation. You may contact OGIS in any of the following ways:

Office of Government Information Services
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS
College Park, MD 20740-6001
202-741-5770
877-684-6448

² Letter from Sharon R. Diskin, Acting Assistant Inspector General to Mr. Gregory Kucera (December 17, 2019).

³ See 47 C.F.R. § 0.251(j) (as the Commission's Chief FOIA Officer, "the General Counsel is delegated authority to dismiss FOIA applications for review that are untimely, repetitious, or fail to articulate specific grounds for review").

⁴ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) ("On complaint, the district court of the United States in the district in which the complainant resides, or has his principal place of business, or in which the agency records are situated, or in the District of Columbia, has jurisdiction to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant.")

ogis@nara.gov
ogis.archives.gov

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Elizabeth Lyle" with a small "CSL" written to the right of the name.

Elizabeth Lyle
Assistant General Counsel
Administrative Law Division
Office of General Counsel

cc: FOIA Officer